Relevant correspondence with the following federal and state regulatory agencies is provided in Appendix G:

- Advisory Council on Historic Preservation
- Maryland Department of Natural Resources
- Maryland Department of the Environment
- National Marine Fisheries Service
- National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration
- Natural Resources Conservation Service
- United States Environmental Protection Agency
- United States Fish and Wildlife Service

Section 106 correspondence to date and memoranda of consulting parties meetings on June 11, 2013, and August 8, 2013, are provided in Appendix G.



August 14, 2013

Ms. Brigid Hynes-Cherin Regional Administrator Federal Transit Administration 1760 Market Street, Suite 500 Philadelphia, PA 19103-4124

Ref: Proposed Purple Line Project
Montgomery and Prince George's Counties, Maryland

Dear Ms. Hynes-Cherin:

On August 5, 2013, the Advisory Council on Historic Preservation (ACHP) received notification and supporting documentation regarding the development of a Programmatic Agreement (PA) for the referenced project. Based upon the information that was provided, we have concluded that Appendix A, *Criteria for Council Involvement in Reviewing Individual Section 106 Cases*, of our regulations, "Protection of Historic Properties" (36 CFR Part 800), does not apply to this undertaking. Accordingly, we do not believe that our participation in the consultation to develop this agreement is needed. However, if we receive a request for participation from the State Historic Preservation Officer (SHPO), a Tribal Historic Preservation Officer, an affected Indian tribe, a consulting party or other party, we may reconsider this decision. Additionally, should circumstances change and you determine that our participation is needed to conclude the consultation process, please notify us.

Pursuant to 36 CFR §800.6(b)(1)(iv), you will need to file the final PA, developed in consultation with the Maryland SHPO, and any other consulting parties, and related documentation with the ACHP at the conclusion of the consultation process. The filing of the PA and supporting documentation with the ACHP is required in order to complete the requirements of Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act.

Thank you for providing us with your notification of adverse effect. If you have any questions or need additional assistance, please contact Kelly Fanizzo at 202-606-8507, or via email at kfanizzo@achp.gov.

Sincerely,

Raymond V. Wallace

Raymond V. Z/allace

Historic Preservation Technician Office of Federal Agency Programs

> ADVISORY COUNCIL ON HISTORIC PRESERVATION 1100 Pennsylvania Avenue NW, Suite 803 • Washington, DC 20004 Phone: 202-606-8503 • Fax: 202-606-8647 • achp@achp.gov • www.achp.gov



MARYLAND TRANSIT ADMINISTRATION

MARYLAND DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Martin O'Malley, Governor • Anthony G. Brown, Lt. Governor Beverley K. Swaim-Staley, Secretary • Ralign T. Wells, Administrator

September 22, 2011

Ms. Lori Byrne
Maryland Department of Natural Resources
Forests, Wildlife and Heritage Service
Tawes State Office Bldg., E-1
580 Taylor Avenue
Annapolis, MD 21401

Subject:

Purple Line, Montgomery and Prince's Georges Counties, MD

Rare, Threatened and Endangered Species Identification

Dear Ms. Byrne:

The Maryland Transit Administration is currently preparing a Final Environmental Impact Statement (FEIS) for the proposed Purple Line Transit Project located in Montgomery and Prince George's Counties, Maryland. The purpose of this letter is to request information and comments from the Forests, Wildlife and Heritage Service regarding species of interest within the project area. Any information you may have regarding the presence or habitat of species of interest within the project area would be helpful.

The proposed transitway is a 16 mile corridor between Bethesda and New Carrollton, Maryland (See Vicinity Map). The Purple Line will connect Metrorails's Red Line (Bethesda and Silver Spring stations), Green Line (College Park station), and Orange Line (New Carrollton station). This project is intended to provide transit options to people in the corridor, to support economic development and transit oriented development, and to address the region's air quality issues.

We appreciate your review assistance. If you have any questions, please do not hesitate to contract me at inewton@mta.maryland.gov or 410.767.3769.

Sincerely,

John Newton, Manager

Environmental Planning Division

cc: file



Martin O'Malley, Governor Anthony G. Brown, Lt. Governor John R. Griffin, Secretary Joseph P. Gill, Deputy Secretary

Coordination Sheet for Maryland Department of Natural Resources, Environmental Review Unit information on fisheries resources, including anadromous fish, related to project locations and study areas 12-M15-053

DATE OF REQUEST: 9/30/2011

NAME: Bridgette Garner (Coastal Resources) PHONE: 443-837-2145

PROJECT NAME / LOCATION / DESCRIPTION: Purple Line Transit Study, Montgomery County and Prince

George's County, MD (16 mile transit Project)

NAME OF STREAM(S) (and MDE Use Classification) WITHIN THE STUDY AREA: Coquelin Run (Use I-P, Rock Creek-Use I-p; Sligo Creek-Use I-P; Long Branch- Use I-P; Paint Branch-Use I-P Northeast Branch- Use I-P; Northwest Branch-north of MD 410-Use IV, Northwest Branch remaining segments Use I-P

SUB-BASIN (6 digit watershed): 02-14-02 (Washington Metropolitan Area)
DNR RESPONSE (sections below to be completed by MD DNR):
X Generally, no instream work is permitted in Use I streams during the period of March 1 through June 15 inclusive, during any year.
X Generally, no instream work should be conducted in Use IV streams during the period March 1 through May 31 inclusive, during any year.

ADDITIONAL FISHERIES RESOURCE NOTES:

Fish species documented by DNR in locations in proximity to the project work areas including various streams noted above include Blacknose Dace, Longnose Dace, Green Sunfish, Fantail Darter among others.

ADDITIONAL COMMENTS ON BEST MANAGEMENT PRACTICES:

Areas designated for the access of equipment and for the removal or disposal of material required to support construction should avoid impacts to these stream systems and associated riparian vegetation. Any temporarily disturbed areas should be restored and re-vegetated. Any use of concrete or grouting required to construct improvements should be managed to assure curing processes do not impact these stream systems or modify PH.

Any expected potential fish species should be adequately protected by the Use I and IV work prohibition time of year restriction referenced above, through sediment and erosion control measures, and application of other Best Management Practices.

MD DNR, Environmental Review Unit signature

DATE: ----1-9-2011 ----- The 1-9-2011 should read 1-9-2012 based on Date of Request Which 15 9-30-11.



Martin O'Malley, Governor Anthony G. Brown, Lt. Governor John R. Griffin, Secretary Joseph P. Gill, Deputy Secretary

October 26, 2011

Mr. John Newton Maryland Transit Administration 6 Saint Paul Street Baltimore, MD 21202-1614



RE: Environmental Review for Proposed Purple Line Transit Project, Prince George's and Montgomery Counties, Maryland.

Dear Mr. Newton:

The Wildlife and Heritage Service's database indicates that there is a waterbird colony located within close proximity to the proposed project site. The approximate location of the colony site is indicated on the attached map. Heronries are a rare resource that should be protected. Conservation of the few Great Blue Heron colonies that are located outside of the Chesapeake Bay Critical Area is strongly encouraged. Significant mortality of chicks or eggs resulting from disturbance of the colony during the breeding season is a violation of the U.S. Migratory Bird Treaty Act. Disturbance includes actions such as cutting nest trees, cutting nearby trees or nearby construction that causes abandonment of chicks by the adults. We would like the opportunity to review project details for the area near the waterbird colony as they are developed. It is likely that we would recommend a time-of-year restriction on work that falls within ½-mile of the colony.

Our analysis of the information provided also suggests that the forested area on or adjacent to the project site contains Forest Interior Dwelling Bird habitat. Populations of many Forest Interior Dwelling Bird Species (FIDS) are declining in Maryland and throughout the eastern United States. The conservation of FIDS habitat is strongly encouraged by the Department of Natural Resources. The following guidelines will help minimize the project's impacts on FIDS and other native forest plants and wildlife:

- 1. Avoid placement of new roads or related construction in the forest interior. If forest loss or disturbance is absolutely unavoidable, restrict development to the perimeter of the forest (i.e., within 300 feet of the existing forest edge), and avoid road placement in areas of high quality FIDS habitat (e.g., old-growth forest). Maximize the amount of remaining contiguous forested habitat.
- 2. Do not remove or disturb forest habitat during April-August, the breeding season for most FIDS. This seasonal restriction may be expanded to February-August if certain early nesting FIDS (e.g., Barred Owl) are present.
- 3. Maintain forest habitat as close as possible to the road, and maintain canopy closure where possible.
- 4. Maintain grass height at least 10" during the breeding season (April-August).

Thank you for allowing us the opportunity to review this project. If you should have any further questions regarding this information, please contact me at (410) 260-8573.

Sincerely,

Lori A. Byrne,

Environmental Review Coordinator Wildlife and Heritage Service


MD Dept. of Natural Resources

ER# 2011.1383.pg/mo Cc: T. Redman, DNR


D. Brinker, DNR

Attachment











MARYLAND TRANSIT ADMINISTRATION

MARYLAND DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Martin O'Malley, Governor • Anthony G. Brown, Lt. Governor Beverley K. Swaim-Staley, Secretary • Ralign T. Wells, Administrator

February 27, 2012

Ms. Lori Byrne Maryland Department of Natural Resources Wildlife and Heritage Service 580 Taylor Avenue Annapolis, MD 21401

Subject:

Purple Line Transit Project

Prince George's and Montgomery counties, Maryland

Dear Ms. Byrne,

Thank you for your October 26, 2011 response letter to our request for information regarding rare, threatened, and endangered species within the Purple Line project area. Your letter indicates the present of a heron colony within the Coquelin Run stream valley. Provided below is information on project activities in the area of the heron colony. We would also like to request a meeting with Wildlife and Heritage staff to further discuss potential indirect impacts that may occur during construction of the Purple Line project.

Enclosed, we have provided a plan set of the project area in closest proximity to the heron colony. Currently, the interim Capital Crescent hiker-biker trail runs in the existing Montgomery County-owned Georgetown Branch right-of-way, which is the proposed alignment for the Purple Line project in this area. The hiker-biker trail, in the general area of the rookery, is characterized as a paved path bordered by a narrow (10 to 30-foot-wide) vegetative strip on either side of the trail, separating the alignment from backyards, buildings, and commercial areas. This urban edge community is dominated by small trees from 8 to 12 inches diameter at breast height (dbh).

Proposed project activities within this part of the alignment include removal of vegetation within the Georgetown Branch right-of-way to accommodate ballasted tracks for the transitway. The trail will be moved to the north side of the tracks with an 11-foot landscaped buffer between the trail and the transitway. All construction-related activities in the general area of the rookery will occur within the existing right-of-way. Access to the project site will occur through properties immediately adjacent to the trail. No direct impacts to the Coquelin Run stream valley or its interior, such as tree clearing, are anticipated as part of this project. The project is located within 1/4 mile of the colony but is buffered by the community that is located along Chevy Chase Lake Drive to the north, substantially diminishing the potential for direct impacts.

We would appreciate the opportunity to meet with someone from your division to understand the potential impacts to the colony during the construction stages of the project so that the project engineers can plan accordingly. If you could identify a contact person, I will follow up on scheduling a meeting date.

Feel free to contact me with any question or concerns you may have at 410.767.3769 or jnewton@mta.maryland.gov. Thank you for your help on this project, and I look forward to hearing from you at your earliest convenience.

Sincerely,

John Newton, Manager

Environmental Planning Division

Office of Planning

Enclosures


Cc: Mr. Steve Hawtof, Gannett Fleming


Mr. Mike Madden, Maryland Transit Administration

Ms. Leslie Roche, AECOM, Inc.



SPILELS SDATES





FILELS FOATER



Martin O'Malley, Governor Anthony G. Brown, Lt. Governor John R. Griffin, Secretary Joseph P. Gill, Deputy Secretary

Coordination Sheet for Maryland Department of Natural Resources, Environmental Review Unit information on fisheries resources, including anadromous fish, related to project locations and study areas

12-MIS-053

DATE OF REQUEST: 9/30/2011

NAME: Bridgette Garner (Coastal Resources) PHONE: 443-837-2145

PROJECT NAME / LOCATION / DESCRIPTION: Purple Line Transit Study, Montgomery County and Prince George's County, MD (16 mile transit Project)

NAME OF STREAM(S) (and MDE Use Classification) WITHIN THE STUDY AREA: Coquelin Run (Use I-P, Rock Creek-Use I-p; Sligo Creek-Use I-P; Long Branch- Use I-P; Paint Branch-Use I-P Northeast Branch- Use I-P; Northwest Branch-north of MD 410-Use IV, Northwest Branch remaining segments Use I-P

SUB-BASIN (6 digit watershed): 02-14-02 (Washington Metropolitan Area)
DNR RESPONSE (sections below to be completed by MD DNR):
X Generally, no instream work is permitted in Use I streams during the period of March 1 through June 15, inclusive, during any year.
X Generally, no instream work should be conducted in Use IV streams during the period March 1 through May 31 inclusive, during any year.

ADDITIONAL FISHERIES RESOURCE NOTES:

Fish species documented by DNR in locations in proximity to the project work areas including various streams noted above include Blacknose Dace, Longnose Dace, Green Sunfish, Fantail Darter among others.

ADDITIONAL COMMENTS ON BEST MANAGEMENT PRACTICES:

Areas designated for the access of equipment and for the removal or disposal of material required to support construction should avoid impacts to these stream systems and associated riparian vegetation. Any temporarily disturbed areas should be restored and re-vegetated. Any use of concrete or grouting required to construct improvements should be managed to assure curing processes do not impact these stream systems or modify PH.

Any expected potential fish species should be adequately protected by the Use I and IV work prohibition time of year restriction referenced above, through sediment and erosion control measures, and application of other Best Management Practices.

MD DNR, Environmental Review Unit signature

DATE: -----1-9-2011-----



Martin O'Malley, Governor Anthony G. Brown, Lt. Governor John R. Griffin, Secretary Joseph P. Gill, Deputy Secretary

June 13, 2012

Heather Speargas Coastal Resources Inc. 25 Old Solomons Island Road Annapolis, MD 21401

Tel: 410-956-9000 Fax: 410-956-0566

Email: heathers@coastal-resources.net

Dear Ms. Speargas,

MTA Purple Line – Montgomery and Prince George's Counties, Maryland, Forest Stand Delineation (FSD) Approval — FCP #S12-19

This is to inform you that the Forest Stand Delineation (FSD) pertaining to the MTA Purple Line has been reviewed. The FSD is determined to be correct.

Refer to FCP #S12-19 in all future correspondence pertaining to this project. Please do not hesitate to contact me should you have any questions or concerns.

The Department of Natural Resources considers all documents submitted as part of a forest conservation plan public information under the Maryland Public Information Act. An applicant seeking to exempt documents submitted to the Department from public inspection must submit written request to the Department detailing how the document or documents qualify for an exemption under thee Annotated Code of Maryland, State Government Article Section 10-618.

Sincerely,

Horace Henry

Southern Region Urban & Community Forestry Coordinator



Register all newly planted trees today!
www.trees.maryland.gov





Applying Practical Science to Improve Communities August 25, 2011

Mrs. Joane Mueller
PIA Coordinator
Maryland Department of the Environment
1800 Washington Blvd.
Baltimore, MD 21230

RE: Request for Information concerning the Purple Line Hazardous Waste Investigations, Montgomery and Prince George's Counties, MD [CEM Project No.: 10-037.001]

Dear Mrs. Mueller:

We are currently contracted by the Maryland Transit Administration (MTA) to review any available data regarding pollution/contamination incidents that may have occurred in the vicinity of the study area located in Montgomery and Price George's Counties in Maryland.

Under the Public Information Act, I would like to request any regulatory records published for this location or the surrounding area in order to search for any information you may have regarding air, land, or water pollution incidents, including:

- · Chemical or Petroleum releases
- Underground Storage Tanks (USTs)
- RCRA Generators
- Asbestos or lead paint information

- CERCLA or Superfund haz-waste sites
- Hazardous or Toxic materials/waste spills
- Clean Air Act, RCRA, TSCA, or SARA violations

The properties in question are summarized in the attached table [Attachment 1].

Thank you very much for your time and consideration. I would appreciate your permission to review this information as soon as possible. If the cost associated with this file search would exceed \$25, please contact me. If you have any additional questions, please do not hesitate to contact me at our offices at (410)-893-9016 at any time, or you can email me at kdimartino@cemscience.com.

Best Regards,

Kevin DiMartino

Kein Di Martino

Chesapeake Environmental Management

Project Leader

MDE

MARYLAND DEPARTMENT OF THE ENVIRONMENT

1800 Washington Boulevard • Baltimore, Maryland 21230 410-537-3000 • 1-800-633-6101 • http://www.mde.state.md.us

Martin O'Malley Governor Robert M. Summers, Ph.D Secretary

September 7, 2011

Kathy M. Kinsey Deputy Secretary

Anthony G. Brown Lieutenant Governor

> Mr. Kevin DiMartino Chesapeake Enivironmental Management 100 S. Charles Street Tower 1, 10th Floor Baltimore MD 21201

> > RE:

Tracking Number: 2011-48989

Request Received September 6, 2011

MULTI

MTA-1265A

CEM 10-037,001

Dear Mr. DiMartino:

The Maryland Department of the Environment (MDE) received your recent request for information under the Public Information Act (PIA).

Your request has been assigned the tracking number listed above. Please use this number in all communications referring to this request. Your request has been reviewed and distributed to all appropriate MDE programs. After all programs have completed the search, you will be notified by mail as to whether or not pertinent records exist. If files exist, the notification letter will contain instructions for reviewing the records. Only after you schedule an appointment to review files will the requested files be gathered in preparation for your review.

There may be fees associated with the search whether or not files are located. The PIA fees are limited to standard charges for direct document search, review, duplication, and postage. The first two hours of search are free of charge. If your request did not indicate a willingness to pay fees, you will be notified only if the fees are likely to exceed \$25.

When requesting information regarding this request, please cite the tracking number referenced above. If you have any questions, please call me at (410) 537-4120.

Sincerely,

Joane Mueller PIA Coordinator



1800 Washington Boulevard • Baltimore, Maryland 21230 410-537-3000 • 1-800-633-6101 • http://www.mde.state.md.us

Martin O'Malley Governor Robert M. Summers, Ph.D Secretary

September 28, 2011

Kathy M. Kinsey Deputy Secretary

Anthony G. Brown Lieutenant Governor

> Mr. Kevin DiMartino Chesapeake Enivironmental Management 100 S. Charles Street Tower 1, 10th Floor Baltimore MD 21201

> > RF.

Tracking Number: 2011-48989

Request Received September 6, 2011

MULTI

MM 12651

Dear Mr. DiMartino:

cc:

The Maryland Department of the Environment (MDE) received your recent request for information under the Public Information Act (PIA).

Files have been located in the following MDE Administration(s):

Administration, Contact, Phone Number

Air & Radiation Management Administration, Laramie Daniel, (410) 537-3220 Science Services Administration, Susan Douglas, (410) 537-3899 Land Management Administration, Maria Stephens, (410) 537-3422

You may contact the personnel listed above to schedule an appointment for file review or to arrange for photocopies of all releasable materials. You will be invoiced for all applicable search, review, duplication and postage charges. It is requested that you make arrangements to review available files within 30 days of receipt of this letter. After 30 days your request will be closed and it will be necessary to file a new request.

The Land Management Administration had a file on this site, however, the file was destroyed in accordance with the Land Management Administration's record retention schedule. This program maintains archived files for 2 years prior to destruction. MDE is required to send you this notice pursuant to Code of Maryland Regulation 26.01.04.10.

When requesting information regarding this request, please cite the tracking number referenced above. If you have any questions, please call me at (410) 537-4120.

Sincerely.

Joane Mueller PIA Coordinator

Other MDE Administrations

Jane & Mul

Laramie Daniel, Air & Radiation Management Administration Susan Douglas, Science Services Administration Maria Stephens, Land Management Administration



Applying Practical Science to Improve Communities September 19, 2011

Mrs. Joane Mueller PIA Coordinator Maryland Department of the Environment 1800 Washington Blvd. Baltimore, MD 21230

RE: Request for Information concerning the Purple Line Hazardous Waste Investigations, Montgomery and Prince George's Counties, MD [CEM Project No.: 10-037.001]

Dear Mrs. Mueller:

We are currently contracted by the Maryland Transit Administration (MTA) to review any available data regarding pollution/contamination incidents that may have occurred in the vicinity of the study area located in Montgomery and Price George's Counties in Maryland.

Under the Public Information Act, I would like to request any regulatory records published for this location or the surrounding area in order to search for any information you may have regarding air, land, or water pollution incidents, including:

- Chemical or Petroleum releases
- Underground Storage Tanks (USTs)
- RCRA Generators
- Asbestos or lead paint information

- CERCLA or Superfund haz-waste sites
- · Hazardous or Toxic materials/waste spills
- Clean Air Act, RCRA, TSCA, or SARA violations

The properties in question are summarized in the attached table [Attachment 1].

Thank you very much for your time and consideration. I would appreciate your permission to review this information as soon as possible. If the cost associated with this file search would exceed \$25, please contact me. If you have any additional questions, please do not hesitate to contact me at our offices at (410)-893-9016 at any time, or you can email me at kdimartino@cemscience.com.

Best Regards,

Kevin DiMartino

Kein Di Mastro

Chesapeake Environmental Management

Project Leader



1800 Washington Boulevard • Baltimore, Maryland 21230 410-537-3000 • 1-800-633-6101 • http://www.mde.state.md.us

Martin O'Malley Governor Robert M. Summers, Ph.D Secretary

September 28, 2011

Kathy M. Kinsey Deputy Secretary

Anthony G. Brown Lieutenant Governor

> Mr. Kevin DiMartino Chesapeake Environmental Management, Inc. 260 Gateway Drive Suite 21-C Bel Air MD 21014

INTA-1265A

CEM 10-037.001

RE:

Tracking Number: 2011-49120 Request Received September 26, 2011

MULTI

Dear Mr. DiMartino:

The Maryland Department of the Environment (MDE) received your recent request for information under the Public Information Act (PIA).

Your request has been assigned the tracking number listed above. Please use this number in all communications referring to this request. Your request has been reviewed and distributed to all appropriate MDE programs. After all programs have completed the search, you will be notified by mail as to whether or not pertinent records exist. If files exist, the notification letter will contain instructions for reviewing the records. Only after you schedule an appointment to review files will the requested files be gathered in preparation for your review.

There may be fees associated with the search whether or not files are located. The PIA fees are limited to standard charges for direct document search, review, duplication, and postage. The first two hours of search are free of charge. If your request did not indicate a willingness to pay fees, you will be notified only if the fees are likely to exceed \$25.

When requesting information regarding this request, please cite the tracking number referenced above. If you have any questions, please call me at (410) 537-4120.

Sincerely,

Joane Mueller PIA Coordinator

MDE

MARYLAND DEPARTMENT OF THE ENVIRONMENT

1800 Washington Boulevard • Baltimore, Maryland 21230 410-537-3000 • 1-800-633-6101 • http://www.mde.state.md.us

Martin O'Malley Governor Robert M. Summers, Ph.D Secretary

October 18, 2011

Kathy M. Kinsey Deputy Secretary

Anthony G. Brown Lieutenant Governor

> Mr. Kevin DiMartino Chesapeake Environmental Management, Inc. 260 Gateway Drive Suite 21-C Bel Air MD 21014

> > RE:

Tracking Number: 2011-49120

Request Received September 26, 2011

MULTI

CEM# 10-037.001

Dear Mr. DiMartino:

cc:

The Maryland Department of the Environment (MDE) received your recent request for information under the Public Information Act (PIA).

Files have been located in the following MDE Administration(s):

Administration, Contact, Phone Number

Air & Radiation Management Administration, Laramie Daniel, (410) 537-3220 Land Management Administration, Maria Stephens, (410) 537-3422

You may contact the personnel listed above to schedule an appointment for file review or to arrange for photocopies of all releasable materials. You will be invoiced for all applicable search, review, duplication and postage charges. It is requested that you make arrangements to review available files within 30 days of receipt of this letter. After 30 days your request will be closed and it will be necessary to file a new request.

When requesting information regarding this request, please cite the tracking number referenced above. If you have any questions, please call me at (410) 537-4120.

Sincerely,

Joane Mueller

PIA Coordinator

Other MDE Administrations

Laramie Daniel, Air & Radiation Management Administration Maria Stephens, Land Management Administration



Applying Practical Science to Improve Communities October 17, 2011

Mrs. Joane Mueller PIA Coordinator Maryland Department of the Environment 1800 Washington Blvd. Baltimore, MD 21230

RE: Request for Information concerning the Purple Line Hazardous Waste Investigations, Montgomery and Prince George's Counties, MD [CEM Project No.: 10-037.001]

Dear Mrs. Mueller:

We are currently contracted by the Maryland Transit Administration (MTA) to review any available data regarding pollution/contamination incidents that may have occurred in the vicinity of the study area located in Montgomery and Price George's Counties in Maryland.

Under the Public Information Act, I would like to request any regulatory records published for this location or the surrounding area in order to search for any information you may have regarding air, land, or water pollution incidents, including:

- · Chemical or Petroleum releases
- Underground Storage Tanks (USTs)
- RCRA Generators
- Asbestos or lead paint information

- CERCLA or Superfund haz-waste sites
- Hazardous or Toxic materials/waste spills
- Clean Air Act, RCRA, TSCA, or SARA violations

The properties in question are summarized in the attached table [Attachment 1].

Thank you very much for your time and consideration. I would appreciate your permission to review this information as soon as possible. If the cost associated with this file search would exceed \$25, please contact me. If you have any additional questions, please do not hesitate to contact me at our offices at (410)-893-9016 at any time, or you can email me at kdimartino@cemscience.com.

Best Regards,

Kevin DiMartino

Kein Di Mastro

Chesapeake Environmental Management

Project Leader



1800 Washington Boulevard • Baltimore, Maryland 21230 410-537-3000 • 1-800-633-6101 • http://www.mde.state.md.us

Martin O'Malley Governor Robert M. Summers, Ph.D Secretary

November 2, 2011

Kathy M. Kinsey Deputy Secretary

Anthony G. Brown Lieutenant Governor

> Mr. Kevin DiMartino Chesapeake Environmental Management, Inc. 260 Gateway Drive Suite 21-C Bel Air MD 21014

> > RE:

Tracking Number: 2011-49368 Request Received October 27, 2011

MULTI

Dear Mr. DiMartino:

The Maryland Department of the Environment (MDE) received your recent request for information under the Public Information Act (PIA).

Your request has been assigned the tracking number listed above. Please use this number in all communications referring to this request. Your request has been reviewed and distributed to all appropriate MDE programs. After all programs have completed the search, you will be notified by mail as to whether or not pertinent records exist. If files exist, the notification letter will contain instructions for reviewing the records. Only after you schedule an appointment to review files will the requested files be gathered in preparation for your review.

There may be fees associated with the search whether or not files are located. The PIA fees are limited to standard charges for direct document search, review, duplication, and postage. The first two hours of search are free of charge. If your request did not indicate a willingness to pay fees, you will be notified only if the fees are likely to exceed \$25.

When requesting information regarding this request, please cite the tracking number referenced above. If you have any questions, please call me at (410) 537-4120.

Sincerely,

Joane Mueller
PIA Coordinator



1800 Washington Boulevard • Baltimore, Maryland 21230 410-537-3000 • 1-800-633-6101 • http://www.mde.state.md.us

Martin O'Malley Governor Robert M. Summers, Ph.D Secretary

December 5, 2011

Anthony G. Brown Lieutenant Governor

> Mr. Kevin DiMartino Chesapeake Environmental Management, Inc. 260 Gateway Drive Suite 21-C Bel Air MD 21014

> > RE:

Tracking Number: 2011-49368 Request Received October 27, 2011

MULTI

Dear Mr. DiMartino:

The Maryland Department of the Environment (MDE) received your recent request for information under the Public Information Act (PIA).

Files have been located in the following MDE Administration(s):

Administration, Contact, Phone Number

Air & Radiation Management Administration, Laramie Daniel, (410) 537-3220 Science Services Administration, Susan Douglas, (410) 537-3899 Land Management Administration, Maria Stephens, (410) 537-3422

You may contact the personnel listed above to schedule an appointment for file review or to arrange for photocopies of all releasable materials. You will be invoiced for all applicable search, review, duplication and postage charges. It is requested that you make arrangements to review available files within 30 days of receipt of this letter. After 30 days your request will be closed and it will be necessary to file a new request.

The Land Management Administration had a file on this site, however, the file was destroyed in accordance with the Land Management Administration's record retention schedule. This program maintains archived files for 2 years prior to destruction. MDE is required to send you this notice pursuant to Code of Maryland Regulation 26.01.04.10.

When requesting information regarding this request, please cite the tracking number referenced above. If you have any questions, please call me at (410) 537-4120.

Sincerely,

Joane Mueller
PIA Coordinator

Other MDE Administrations

Laramie Daniel, Air & Radiation Management Administration Susan Douglas, Science Services Administration Maria Stephens, Land Management Administration



Applying Practical Science to Improve Communities November 14, 2011

Mrs. Joane Mueller PIA Coordinator Maryland Department of the Environment 1800 Washington Blvd. Baltimore, MD 21230

RE: Request for Information concerning the Purple Line Hazardous Waste Investigations, Montgomery and Prince George's Counties, MD [CEM Project No.: 10-037.001]

Dear Mrs. Mueller:

We are currently contracted by the Maryland Transit Administration (MTA) to review any available data regarding pollution/contamination incidents that may have occurred in the vicinity of the study area located in Montgomery and Price George's Counties in Maryland.

Under the Public Information Act, I would like to request any regulatory records published for this location or the surrounding area in order to search for any information you may have regarding air, land, or water pollution incidents, including:

- Chemical or Petroleum releases
- Underground Storage Tanks (USTs)
- RCRA Generators
- Asbestos or lead paint information

- CERCLA or Superfund haz-waste sites
- Hazardous or Toxic materials/waste spills
- Clean Air Act, RCRA, TSCA, or SARA violations

The properties in question are summarized in the attached table [Attachment 1].

Thank you very much for your time and consideration. I would appreciate your permission to review this information as soon as possible. If the cost associated with this file search would exceed \$25, please contact me. If you have any additional questions, please do not hesitate to contact me at our offices at (410)-893-9016 at any time, or you can email me at kdimartino@cemscience.com.

Best Regards,

Kevin DiMartino

Kein Di Mastro

Chesapeake Environmental Management

Project Leader



1800 Washington Boulevard • Baltimore, Maryland 21230 410-537-3000 • 1-800-633-6101 • http://www.mde.state.md.us

Martin O'Malley Governor Robert M. Summers, Ph.D Secretary

MTA-1265A

CFM 10-037,001

December 5, 2011

Anthony G. Brown Lieutenant Governor

Mr. Kevin DiMartino
Chesapeake Environmental Management, Inc.
260 Gateway Drive
Suite 21-C
Bel Air MD 21014

RE:

Tracking Number: 2011-49565

Request Received November 28, 2011

MULTI

Dear Mr. DiMartino:

The Maryland Department of the Environment (MDE) received your recent request for information under the Public Information Act (PIA).

Your request has been assigned the tracking number listed above. Please use this number in all communications referring to this request. Your request has been reviewed and distributed to all appropriate MDE programs. After all programs have completed the search, you will be notified by mail as to whether or not pertinent records exist. If files exist, the notification letter will contain instructions for reviewing the records. Only after you schedule an appointment to review files will the requested files be gathered in preparation for your review.

There may be fees associated with the search whether or not files are located. The PIA fees are limited to standard charges for direct document search, review, duplication, and postage. The first two hours of search are free of charge. If your request did not indicate a willingness to pay fees, you will be notified only if the fees are likely to exceed \$25.

When requesting information regarding this request, please cite the tracking number referenced above. If you have any questions, please call me at (410) 537-4120.

Sincerely,

Joane Mueller PIA Coordinator



1800 Washington Boulevard • Baltimore, Maryland 21230 410-537-3000 • 1-800-633-6101 • http://www.mde.state.md.us

Martin O'Malley Governor Robert M. Summers, Ph.D Secretary

MTA-1265A

CEM 10-037,001

January 26, 2012

Anthony G. Brown Lieutenant Governor

> Mr. Kevin DiMartino Chesapeake Environmental Management, Inc. 260 Gateway Drive Suite 21-C Bel Air MD 21014

> > RE:

Tracking Number: 2011-49565

Request Received November 28, 2011

MULTI

Dear Mr. DiMartino:

The Maryland Department of the Environment (MDE) received your recent request for information under the Public Information Act (PIA).

Files have been located in the following MDE Administration(s):

Administration, Contact, Phone Number

Air & Radiation Management Administration, Laramie Daniel, (410) 537-3220 Land Management Administration, Maria Stephens, (410) 537-3422

You may contact the personnel listed above to schedule an appointment for file review or to arrange for photocopies of all releasable materials. You will be invoiced for all applicable search, review, duplication and postage charges. It is requested that you make arrangements to review available files within 30 days of receipt of this letter. After 30 days your request will be closed and it will be necessary to file a new request.

The Land Management Administration had a file on this site, however, the file was destroyed in accordance with the Land Management Administration's record retention schedule. This program maintains archived files for 2 years prior to destruction. MDE is required to send you this notice pursuant to Code of Maryland Regulation 26.01.04.10.

When requesting information regarding this request, please cite the tracking number referenced above. If you have any questions, please call me at (410) 537-4120.

Sincerely,

Joane Mueller PIA Coordinator

Other MDE Administrations

Dane Dohill

Laramie Daniel, Air & Radiation Management Administration Maria Stephens, Land Management Administration



Applying Practical Science to Improve Communities December 19, 2011

Mrs. Joane Mueller
PIA Coordinator
Maryland Department of the Environment
1800 Washington Blvd.
Baltimore, MD 21230

RE: Request for Information concerning the Purple Line Hazardous Waste Investigations, Montgomery and Prince George's Counties, MD [CEM Project No.: 10-037.001]

Dear Mrs. Mueller:

We are currently contracted by the Maryland Transit Administration (MTA) to review any available data regarding pollution/contamination incidents that may have occurred in the vicinity of the study area located in Montgomery and Price George's Counties in Maryland.

Under the Public Information Act, I would like to request any regulatory records published for this location or the surrounding area in order to search for any information you may have regarding air, land, or water pollution incidents, including:

- Chemical or Petroleum releases
- Underground Storage Tanks (USTs)
- RCRA Generators
- Asbestos or lead paint information

- CERCLA or Superfund haz-waste sites
- Hazardous or Toxic materials/waste spills
- Clean Air Act, RCRA, TSCA, or SARA violations

The properties in question are summarized in the attached table [Attachment 1].

Thank you very much for your time and consideration. I would appreciate your permission to review this information as soon as possible. If the cost associated with this file search would exceed \$25, please contact me. If you have any additional questions, please do not hesitate to contact me at our offices at (410)-893-9016 at any time, or you can email me at kdimartino@cemscience.com.

Best Regards,

Kevin DiMartino

Kein Di Martino

Chesapeake Environmental Management

Project Leader



1800 Washington Boulevard • Baltimore, Maryland 21230 410-537-3000 • 1-800-633-6101 • http://www.mde.state.md.us

Martin O'Malley Governor Robert M. Summers, Ph.D Secretary

December 29, 2011

Anthony G. Brown Lieutenant Governor

> Mr. Kevin DiMartino Chesapeake Environmental Management, Inc. 260 Gateway Drive Suite 21-C Bel Air MD 21014

> > RE:

Tracking Number: 2011-49720

Request Received December 21, 2011

MULTI 10-037.001

Dear Mr. DiMartino:

The Maryland Department of the Environment (MDE) received your recent request for information under the Public Information Act (PIA).

Your request has been assigned the tracking number listed above. Please use this number in all communications referring to this request. Your request has been reviewed and distributed to all appropriate MDE programs. After all programs have completed the search, you will be notified by mail as to whether or not pertinent records exist. If files exist, the notification letter will contain instructions for reviewing the records. Only after you schedule an appointment to review files will the requested files be gathered in preparation for your review.

There may be fees associated with the search whether or not files are located. The PIA fees are limited to standard charges for direct document search, review, duplication, and postage. The first two hours of search are free of charge. If your request did not indicate a willingness to pay fees, you will be notified only if the fees are likely to exceed \$25.

When requesting information regarding this request, please cite the tracking number referenced above. If you have any questions, please call me at (410) 537-4120.

Sincerely,

Joane Mueller PIA Coordinator



1800 Washington Boulevard • Baltimore, Maryland 21230 410-537-3000 • 1-800-633-6101 • http://www.mde.state.md.us

Martin O'Malley Governor Robert M. Summers, Ph.D Secretary

January 18, 2012

Anthony G. Brown Lieutenant Governor

> Mr. Kevin DiMartino Chesapeake Environmental Management, Inc. 260 Gateway Drive Suite 21-C Bel Air MD 21014

> > RE:

Tracking Number: 2011-49720

Request Received December 21, 2011

MULTI

C=M#10-037,001

Dear Mr. DiMartino:

cc:

The Maryland Department of the Environment (MDE) received your recent request for information under the Public Information Act (PIA).

Files have been located in the following MDE Administration(s):

Administration, Contact, Phone Number

Air & Radiation Management Administration, Laramie Daniel, (410) 537-3220 Land Management Administration, Maria Stephens, (410) 537-3422

You may contact the personnel listed above to schedule an appointment for file review or to arrange for photocopies of all releasable materials. You will be invoiced for all applicable search, review, duplication and postage charges. It is requested that you make arrangements to review available files within 30 days of receipt of this letter. After 30 days your request will be closed and it will be necessary to file a new request.

The Land Management Administration had a file on this site, however, the file was destroyed in accordance with the Land Management Administration's record retention schedule. This program maintains archived files for 2 years prior to destruction. MDE is required to send you this notice pursuant to Code of Maryland Regulation 26.01.04.10.

When requesting information regarding this request, please cite the tracking number referenced above. If you have any questions, please call me at (410) 537-4120.

Sincerely,

Joane Mueller PIA Coordinator

Other MDE Administrations

The Drul

Laramie Daniel, Air & Radiation Management Administration Maria Stephens, Land Management Administration



Applying Practical Science to Improve Communities January 17, 2012

Mrs. Joane Mueller PIA Coordinator Maryland Department of the Environment 1800 Washington Blvd. Baltimore, MD 21230

RE: Request for Information concerning the Purple Line Hazardous Waste Investigations, Montgomery and Prince George's Counties, MD [CEM Project No.: 10-037.001]

Dear Mrs. Mueller:

We are currently contracted by the Maryland Transit Administration (MTA) to review any available data regarding pollution/contamination incidents that may have occurred in the vicinity of the study area located in Montgomery and Price George's Counties in Maryland.

Under the Public Information Act, I would like to request any regulatory records published for this location or the surrounding area in order to search for any information you may have regarding air, land, or water pollution incidents, including:

- Chemical or Petroleum releases
- Underground Storage Tanks (USTs)
- RCRA Generators
- · Asbestos or lead paint information

- CERCLA or Superfund haz-waste sites
- Hazardous or Toxic materials/waste spills
- · Clean Air Act, RCRA, TSCA, or SARA violations

The properties in question are summarized in the attached table [Attachment 1].

Thank you very much for your time and consideration. I would appreciate your permission to review this information as soon as possible. If the cost associated with this file search would exceed \$25, please contact me. If you have any additional questions, please do not hesitate to contact me at our offices at (410)-893-9016 at any time, or you can email me at kdimartino@cemscience.com.

Best Regards,

Kein Di Mantioo

Kevin DiMartino Chesapeake Environmental Management Project Leader

MDE

MARYLAND DEPARTMENT OF THE ENVIRONMENT

1800 Washington Boulevard • Baltimore, Maryland 21230 410-537-3000 • 1-800-633-6101 • http://www.mde.state.md.us

Martin O'Malley Governor Robert M. Summers, Ph.D Secretary

January 26, 2012

Anthony G. Brown Lieutenant Governor

> Mr. Kevin DiMartino Chesapeake Environmental Management, Inc. 260 Gateway Drive Suite 21-C Bel Air MD 21014

> > RE:

Tracking Number: 2012-49977

Request Received January 24, 2012

MULTI

#10-037.001

Dear Mr. DiMartino:

The Maryland Department of the Environment (MDE) received your recent request for information under the Public Information Act (PIA).

Your request has been assigned the tracking number listed above. Please use this number in all communications referring to this request. Your request has been reviewed and distributed to all appropriate MDE programs. After all programs have completed the search, you will be notified by mail as to whether or not pertinent records exist. If files exist, the notification letter will contain instructions for reviewing the records. Only after you schedule an appointment to review files will the requested files be gathered in preparation for your review.

There may be fees associated with the search whether or not files are located. The PIA fees are limited to standard charges for direct document search, review, duplication, and postage. The first two hours of search are free of charge. If your request did not indicate a willingness to pay fees, you will be notified only if the fees are likely to exceed \$25.

When requesting information regarding this request, please cite the tracking number referenced above. If you have any questions, please call me at (410) 537-4120.

Sincerely,

Joane Mueller PIA Coordinator



1800 Washington Boulevard • Baltimore, Maryland 21230 410-537-3000 • 1-800-633-6101 • http://www.mde.state.md.us

Martin O'Malley Governor Robert M. Summers, Ph.D Secretary

February 14, 2012

Anthony G. Brown Lieutenant Governor

> Mr. Kevin DiMartino Chesapeake Environmental Management, Inc. 260 Gateway Drive Suite 21-C Bel Air MD 21014

> > RE:

Tracking Number: 2012-49977 Request Received January 24, 2012

MULTI

CEM# 10-037.001

Dear Mr. DiMartino:

cc:

The Maryland Department of the Environment (MDE) received your recent request for information under the Public Information Act (PIA).

Files have been located in the following MDE Administration(s):

Administration, Contact, Phone Number

Air & Radiation Management Administration, Laramie Daniel, (410) 537-3220 Land Management Administration, Maria Stephens, (410) 537-3422

You may contact the personnel listed above to schedule an appointment for file review or to arrange for photocopies of all releasable materials. You will be invoiced for all applicable search, review, duplication and postage charges. It is requested that you make arrangements to review available files within 30 days of receipt of this letter. After 30 days your request will be closed and it will be necessary to file a new request.

The Land Management Administration had a file on this site, however, the file was destroyed in accordance with the Land Management Administration's record retention schedule. This program maintains archived files for 2 years prior to destruction. MDE is required to send you this notice pursuant to Code of Maryland Regulation 26.01.04.10.

When requesting information regarding this request, please cite the tracking number referenced above. If you have any questions, please call me at (410) 537-4120.

Sincerely,

Joane Mueller PIA Coordinator

Other MDE Administrations

Laramie Daniel, Air & Radiation Management Administration Maria Stephens, Land Management Administration



Applying Practical Science to Improve Communities February 8, 2012

Mrs. Joane Mueller
PIA Coordinator
Maryland Department of the Environment
1800 Washington Blvd.
Baltimore, MD 21230

RE: Request for Information concerning the Purple Line Hazardous Waste Investigations, Montgomery and Prince George's Counties, MD [CEM Project No.: 10-037.001]

Dear Mrs. Mueller:

We are currently contracted by the Maryland Transit Administration (MTA) to review any available data regarding pollution/contamination incidents that may have occurred in the vicinity of the study area located in Montgomery and Price George's Counties in Maryland.

Under the Public Information Act, I would like to request any regulatory records published for this location or the surrounding area in order to search for any information you may have regarding air, land, or water pollution incidents, including:

- Chemical or Petroleum releases
- Underground Storage Tanks (USTs)
- RCRA Generators
- Asbestos or lead paint information

- CERCLA or Superfund haz-waste sites
- Hazardous or Toxic materials/waste spills
- Clean Air Act, RCRA, TSCA, or SARA violations

The properties in question are summarized in the attached table [Attachment 1].

Thank you very much for your time and consideration. I would appreciate your permission to review this information as soon as possible. If the cost associated with this file search would exceed \$25, please contact me. If you have any additional questions, please do not hesitate to contact me at our offices at (410)-893-9016 at any time, or you can email me at kdimartino@cemscience.com.

Best Regards,

Kevin DiMartino

Kein Di Martino

Chesapeake Environmental Management

Project Leader



MARYLAND DEPARTMENT OF THE ENVIRONMENT

1800 Washington Boulevard • Baltimore, Maryland 21230 410-537-3000 • 1-800-633-6101 • http://www.mde.state.md.us

Martin O'Malley Governor Robert M. Summers, Ph.D Secretary

February 15, 2012

Anthony G. Brown Lieutenant Governor

> Mr. Kevin DiMartino Chesapeake Environmental Management, Inc. 260 Gateway Drive Suite 21-C Bel Air MD 21014

> > RE:

Tracking Number: 2012-50118

Request Received February 13, 2012

MULTI

10-137.001

Dear Mr. DiMartino:

The Maryland Department of the Environment (MDE) received your recent request for information under the Public Information Act (PIA).

Your request has been assigned the tracking number listed above. Please use this number in all communications referring to this request. Your request has been reviewed and distributed to all appropriate MDE programs. After all programs have completed the search, you will be notified by mail as to whether or not pertinent records exist. If files exist, the notification letter will contain instructions for reviewing the records. Only after you schedule an appointment to review files will the requested files be gathered in preparation for your review.

There may be fees associated with the search whether or not files are located. The PIA fees are limited to standard charges for direct document search, review, duplication, and postage. The first two hours of search are free of charge. If your request did not indicate a willingness to pay fees, you will be notified only if the fees are likely to exceed \$25.

When requesting information regarding this request, please cite the tracking number referenced above. If you have any questions, please call me at (410) 537-4120.

Sincerely,

Joane Mueller PIA Coordinator

Other MDE Administrations

MDE

MARYLAND DEPARTMENT OF THE ENVIRONMENT

1800 Washington Boulevard • Baltimore, Maryland 21230 410-537-3000 • 1-800-633-6101 • http://www.mde.state.md.us

Martin O'Malley Governor Robert M. Summers, Ph.D Secretary

March 8, 2012

Anthony G. Brown Lieutenant Governor

Mr. Kevin DiMartino
Chesapeake Environmental Management, Inc.
260 Gateway Drive
Suite 21-C
Bel Air MD 21014

RE:

Tracking Number: 2012-50118
Request Received February 13, 2012

MULTI

10-037,001

Dear Mr. DiMartino:

The Maryland Department of the Environment (MDE) received your recent request for information under the Public Information Act (PIA).

Files have been located in the following MDE Administration(s):

Administration, Contact, Phone Number

Air & Radiation Management Administration, Colleen Williams, (410) 537-4127 Land Management Administration, Maria Stephens, (410) 537-3422

You may contact the personnel listed above to schedule an appointment for file review or to arrange for photocopies of all releasable materials. You will be invoiced for all applicable search, review, duplication and postage charges. It is requested that you make arrangements to review available files within 30 days of receipt of this letter. After 30 days your request will be closed and it will be necessary to file a new request.

The Land Management Administration had a file on this site, however, the file was destroyed in accordance with the Land Management Administration's record retention schedule. This program maintains archived files for 2 years prior to destruction. MDE is required to send you this notice pursuant to Code of Maryland Regulation 26.01.04.10.

When requesting information regarding this request, please cite the tracking number referenced above. If you have any questions, please call me at (410) 537-4120.

Sincerely,

Joane Mueller PIA Coordinator

Other MDE Administrations

Colleen Williams, Air & Radiation Management Administration Maria Stephens, Land Management Administration



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration NATIONAL MARINE FISHERIES SERVICE

Habitat Conservation Division Chesapeake Bay Program Office 410 Severn Ave., Suite 107A Annapolis, Maryland 21403

May 9, 2012

MEMORANDUM TO:

John Newton

Maryland Transit Administration

FROM:

John S. Nichols

SUBJECT:

Purple Line

This pertains to impacts to National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS) trust resources from the proposed Purple Line Rapid Transit Connection in Montgomery and Prince George's Counties. NMFS attended an interagency field review of the Purple Line corridor on May 9, 2012. NEPA coordination comments and recommendations made by NMFS during that review are provided below. Our final comments on this project will be made to the Corps of Engineers during their Section 404 regulatory review.

NMFS concerns are focused on the eastern portion of the corridor in Prince George's County; specifically, proposed impacts to Paint Branch, Brier Ditch, and the Northeast Branch of the Anacostia River. These waterways are documented or potential spawning ground for anadromous blueback herring, alewife, and hickory shad; and, nursery ground for catadromous American eel. Paint Branch, in particular, has substrate and water quality of high suitability for migratory fish.

Our chief concern pertains to the proposed rail line section along Paint Branch Parkway in College Park, adjacent to the University of Maryland campus (i.e., Impact 13, Jurisdictional Determination Materials Package, May 2012 [JDMP]). Based on discussions with your environmental consultant (Coastal Resources), we have learned that the proposed rail line will be situated along the north side of the parkway, paralleling a tributary to Paint Branch for a distance of approximately 600 feet, at a distance of less than 50 feet from the stream channel. The riparian buffer along this section of Paint Branch, comprised of wooded and grassed areas, is narrow, increasing the vulnerability of the stream to impacts from project construction, and run-off from new impervious surface. We offer the following recommendations regarding Impact 13 along Paint Branch.

- 1. The integrity of the Paint Branch riparian zone should not be compromised by the Paint Branch Parkway section of rail. If the rail line must be situated along the north side of Paint Branch Parkway, if is preferred that the parkway be realigned, slightly, to the south (i.e., toward University of Maryland campus, thereby providing space for the rail line along the north side of the parkway without creating new impervious surface, and without affecting the riparian zone of Paint Branch. If this is not feasible, the rail line should hug the north-side shoulder of Paint Branch Parkway, minimizing, to the maximum degree, impacts to the riparian zone.
- 2. Stormwater run-off from the proposed rail line should be adequately treated prior to discharge to the Paint Branch watershed. Direct discharge of run-off from the rail line



- to the Paint Branch is unacceptable. Opportunities for retrofitting existing untreated stormwater discharges to Paint Branch should be investigated.
- 3. There should be no net loss of Paint Branch wooded riparian zone along the Impact 13 section of the corridor. Opportunities for expanding the wooded riparian zone should be investigated (e.g., by converting grassed areas to woodland; i.e., restricting mowing, and allowing volunteer wooded growth to develop).

The proposed crossing of the Northeast Branch of the Anacostia River (Impact 15, JDMP) will use an existing bridge structure to support the rail line. However, because stream flow diversion will be used during construction of the crossing, in-stream work (cofferdam installation and removal, pile driving, fill or rock placement) should be restricted in Northeast Branch during the anadromous fish spawning period; i.e., February 15 - June 15, of any year.

The proposed Glenridge Maintenance Facility (Impact 18, JDMP) will affect an unnamed tributary to Brier Ditch (WUS 48, JDMP). Based on discussions during the May 9th interagency field review, we understand that the Limit of Disturbance boundary for the Maintenance Facility has been relocated to avoid this tributary. However, we also recommend that a no-disturbance buffer be maintained along the east side of the tributary, which extends from the stream channel to the top of an embankment along the tributary.

Finally, impacts along the western portions of the rail corridor (i.e., in Montgomery County) will affect reaches of stream systems that lie upstream of natural fall line barriers to anadromous fish. Therefore, NMFS will not comment on issues pertaining to the Montgomery County section of the project corridor.

If you have any questions, please contact me at (410) 267-5675; or, John.Nichols@NOAA.GOV.



MARYLAND TRANSIT ADMINISTRATION

MARYLAND DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Martin O'Malley, Governor • Anthony G. Brown, Lt. Governor Beverley K. Swaim-Staley, Secretary • Ralign T. Wells, Administrator

September 22, 2011

Ms. Mary A. Colligan
Assistant Regional Administrator for Protected Resources
National Marine Fisheries Service
Northeast Region
55 Great Republic Drive
Gloucester, MA 01930-2276

Subject:

Purple Line, Montgomery and Prince's Georges Counties, MD

Fisheries Information Request

Dear Ms. Colligan:

The Maryland Transit Administration is currently preparing a Final Environmental Impact Statement (FEIS) for the proposed Purple Line Transit Project located in Montgomery and Prince George's Counties, Maryland. The purpose of this letter is to request information and comments from the National Marine Fisheries Service regarding fisheries of interest within the project area. Any information you may have regarding the presence or habitat of fisheries of interest within the project area would be helpful.

The proposed transitway is a 16 mile corridor between Bethesda and New Carrollton, Maryland (See Vicinity Map). The Purple Line will connect Metrorails's Red Line (Bethesda and Silver Spring stations), Green Line (College Park station), and Orange Line (New Carrollton station). This project is intended to provide transit options to people in the corridor, to support economic development and transit oriented development, and to address the region's air quality issues.

We appreciate your review assistance. If you have any questions, please do not hesitate to contract me at inewton@mta.maryland.gov or 410.767.3769.

Sincerely,

John Newton, Manager

Environmental Planning Division

cc:

file

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration NATIONAL MARINE FISHERIES SERVICE NORTHEAST REGION 55 Great Republic Drive Gloucester, MA 01930-2276

OCT - 5 2011

John Newton Maryland Transit Administration Maryland Department of Transportation 6 Saint Paul Street Baltimore, Maryland 21202-1614

Re: Purple Line Transit Project

Dear Mr. Newton,

This is in response to your letter dated September 22, 2011, requesting information on the presence of species listed by NOAA's National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS) in the vicinity of the proposed Purple Line Transit Project located in Montgomery and Prince George's Counties, Maryland.

No federally listed or proposed threatened or endangered species and/or designated critical habitat for listed species under the jurisdiction of NMFS are known to exist in the vicinity of your proposed project. Based on this information, NMFS does not intend to offer additional comments on this proposal and thus, no further coordination with NMFS Protected Resources Division is needed. Should project plans change or new information become available that changes the basis for this determination, further coordination should be pursued. If you have any questions regarding these comments, please contact Danielle Palmer at (978) 282-8468.

Sincerely,

Mary A. Colligan

Assistant Regional Administrator

for Protected Resources

EC: Palmer

File Code: Sec 7 No Species Present 2011







May 01, 2012

James E. Brewer, CPSS/SC Resource Soil Scientist United States Department of Agriculture-National Resource Conservation Service 28577 Mary's Court, Suite 3 Easton, Maryland 21601

Re: Maryland Purple Line Light Rail

Dear Mr. Brewer:

Whitman, Requardt, and Associates, LLP, is writing on behalf of the Maryland Transit Administration as the general engineering consultant for the Maryland Purple Line Light Rail project. This letter is to follow up on our previous email and phone correspondence regarding the potential for farmland soil conversion as a result of the Maryland Purple Line. The Purple Line is a 16.3 mile light rail project that is intended to provide reliable and efficient transit service to passengers along the east-west corridor between Bethesda and New Carrollton, Maryland. As shown on the enclosed figure, the Purple Line would be constructed entirely within urbanized area, as designated by the most recent United States Census Bureau mapping (2010). Due to the developed nature of the proposed project corridor, any potential impacts to soils rated for farmland is not regulated under the stipulations of the Farmland Protection Policy Act (FPPA) 7 CFR 658.

Please find the enclosed Form AD-1006 for the Maryland Purple Line Light Rail project, to be filed with the NRCS. Please provide your concurrence at your earliest convenience. Should you have any questions or need any additional information, please do not hesitate to contact me. Your assistance and guidance in this effort is greatly appreciated.


Sincerely,

Caleb T. Parks

Environmental Planner

Enclosures (2): Urbanized Area-2010 U.S. Census, Farmland Conversion Impact Rating Form AD-1006





U.S. Department of Agriculture

FARMLAND CONVERSION IMPACT RATING

PART I (To be completed by Federal Agency)	Date Of Land Evaluation Request						
Name Of Project		Federal Ag	ency Involved				
Proposed Land Use		County And	d State				
PART II (To be completed by NRCS)	Date Request Received By NRCS						
Does the site contain prime, unique, statewide	or local important fo	armland?	Yes N	lo Acres Irrigate	d Average Far	m Size	
(If no, the FPPA does not apply do not com					a /worago r ar	0.20	
Major Crop(s)	Farmable Land In Acres:	Govt. Jurisdiction	n %	Amount Of Fa	armland As Defir	ned in FPPA %	
Name Of Land Evaluation System Used	Name Of Local Site	e Assessment S	ystem	Date Land Ev	aluation Returne	d By NRCS	
PART III (To be completed by Federal Agency)				Alternative	Site Rating		
			Site A	Site B	Site C	Site D	
A. Total Acres To Be Converted Directly B. Total Acres To Be Converted Indirectly							
C. Total Acres In Site						+	
	Justian Information						
PART IV (To be completed by NRCS) Land Eva							
A. Total Acres Prime And Unique Farmland	(F						
B. Total Acres Statewide And Local Importar		Converted					
C. Percentage Of Farmland In County Or Loc D. Percentage Of Farmland In Govt. Jurisdiction W							
PART V (To be completed by NRCS) Land Eva		elative value					
Relative Value Of Farmland To Be Conv		100 Points)					
PART VI (To be completed by Federal Agency) Site Assessment Criteria (These criteria are explained in	7 CER 658 5/h)	Maximum Points					
Area In Nonurban Use	17 011 000.0(b)	Foilits				+	
Perimeter In Nonurban Use						+	
3. Percent Of Site Being Farmed						+	
Protection Provided By State And Local G	overnment					+	
5. Distance From Urban Builtup Area						+	
6. Distance To Urban Support Services							
7. Size Of Present Farm Unit Compared To	Average						
8. Creation Of Nonfarmable Farmland							
Availability Of Farm Support Services							
10. On-Farm Investments							
11. Effects Of Conversion On Farm Support S							
12. Compatibility With Existing Agricultural Use							
TOTAL SITE ASSESSMENT POINTS	160						
PART VII (To be completed by Federal Agency)							
Relative Value Of Farmland (From Part V)		100					
Total Site Assessment (From Part VI above or a local site assessment)		160					
TOTAL POINTS (Total of above 2 lines)		260					
Site Selected:	Date Of Selection			Was A Local Site		sed? No 🗌	

Reason For Selection:

United States Department of Agriculture



Natural Resources Conservation Service 28577 Mary's Court, Suite 3 Easton, Maryland 21601-7499 Phone: 410 822-1577 ext. 3

TO:

Caleb T. Parks, Environmental Planner

WR&A, LLP

3701 Pender Dr. Suite 450

Fairfax, VA 22030

DATE: 5/16/2012

SUBJECT:

Farmland Protection Policy Act

Environmental Assessment for

Maryland Purple Line Light Rail Project

Montgomery and Prince George's Counties, Maryland

Dear Mr. Parks:

The Natural Resources Conservation Service responsibility pertaining to the Farmland Protection Policy Act (FPPA) is to provide technical assistance for the Act by evaluating and completing Parts II, IV, and V of the Farmland Conversion Impact Rating Form, AD-1006. The purpose of the Act is to minimize the extent to which Federal programs contribute to the unnecessary and irreversible conversion of farmland to nonagricultural uses.

We have determined that the Policy Act does not pertain to the proposed Maryland Purple Line Light Rail Project in Montgomery and Prince George's Counties. This is because the site for the proposed project has been "identified as urbanized area (UA) on the Census Bureau Map". Therefore the land at the sites does not meet the Act's definition of Farmland.

We are returning the Rating Form AD 1006 with "No" checked in Part II.

If you require any additional information, please feel free to contact me.

Sincerely.

James E. Brewer, CPSS/SC

NRCS Resource Soil Scientist

camos E. Brewer

Easton, Maryland

410 822-1577 ext. 121

james.brewer@md.usda.gov

cc:

John G. Warfield Jr., Derwood, MD

Joseph A. Haamid, Upper Marlboro, MD

Amanda Moore, Annapolis, MD

U.S. Department of Agriculture

FARMLAND CONVERSION IMPACT RATING

Proposed Land Use Public Transit County And State Montgomery and Prince George's Court PART II (To be completed by NRCS) Date Request Received By NRCS Date Request Received By NRCS (If no, the FPPA does not apply – do not complete additional parts of this form). Major Crop(s) Major Crop(s) Farmable Land In Govt. Jurisdiction Acres: Name Of Land Evaluation System Used Name Of Local Site Assessment System PART III (To be completed by Federal Agency) A. Total Acres To Be Converted Directly B. Total Acres To Be Converted Indirectly	PART I (To be completed by Federal Agency)		Date Of Land Evaluation Request 5/1/12						
PART II (To be completed by NRCS) Does the site contain prime, unique, statewide or local important farmland? Yes (If no, the FPPA does not apply – do not complete additional parts of this form). Major Crop(s) Major Crop(s) Name Of Land Evaluation System Used Name Of Local Site Assessment System Name Of Land Evaluation System Used Name Of Local Site Assessment System Date Land Evaluation Returned B Site A Acres: Name Of Local Site Assessment System Date Land Evaluation Returned B Site A Acres: Name Of Local Site Assessment System Date Land Evaluation Returned B Site A Acres: Name Of Local Site Assessment System Date Land Evaluation Returned B Site A Acres: Date Land Evaluation Returned B Site A Alternative Site Rating Site A Site B Site C A. Total Acres To Be Converted Directly B. Total Acres To Be Converted Directly C. Total Acres In Site PART IV (To be completed by NRCS) Land Evaluation Information A. Total Acres Prime And Unique Farmland C. Percentage Of Farmland In County Or Local Govt. Unit To Be Converted D. Percentage Of Farmland In County Or Local Govt. Unit To Be Converted D. Percentage Of Farmland In County Or Local Govt. Unit To Be Converted D. Percentage Of Farmland To Be Converted (Scale of 0 to 100 Points) Site Assessment Criteria (These criteria are explained in 7 CFR 658.5(b) PART VI (To be completed by Federal Agency) Site Assessment Of Site Being Farmed 4. Protection Provided By Sitate And Local Government 5. Distance From Urban Builtup Area 6. Distance Trom Urban Builtup Area 6. Distance From Urban Builtup Area 7. Size Of Present Farm Unit Compared To Average 8. Creation Of Nonfarmable Farmland 9. Availability Of Farm Support Services 10. On-Farm Investments 11. Effects Of Conversion On Farm Support Services 12. Compatibility With Existing Agricultural Use TOTAL SITE ASSESSMENT POINTS 160 0 0 0 0 0 PART VII (To be completed by Federal Agency) Relative Value Of Farmland (From Part V)	Name Of Project Maryland Purple Line Light Rail		Federal Agency Involved The Federal Transit Administration						
Does the site contain prime, unique, statewide or local important farmland? (If no, the FPPA does not apply – do not complete edditional parts of this form). Major Crop(s) Major Crop(s) Name Of Land Evaluation System Used Name Of Local Site Assessment System Name Of Local Site Assessment System Date Land Evaluation Returned B Site A Site B Site C PART III (To be completed by Federal Agency) A. Total Acres To Be Converted Directly B. Total Acres To Be Converted Indirectly C. Total Acres To Be Converted Indirectly C. Total Acres Fishe A. Total Acres Prime And Unique Farmland B. Total Acres Statewide And Local Important Farmland C. Percentage Of Farmland In County Or Local Govt. Unit To Be Converted D. Percentage Of Farmland In County Or Local Govt. Unit To Be Converted D. Percentage Of Farmland In County Or Local Govt. Unit To Be Converted PART V (To be completed by NRCS) Land Evaluation Criterion Relative Value Of Farmland To Be Converted (Scale of 0 to 100 Points) 1. Area In Nonurban Use 2. Perimeter In Nonurban Use 2. Perimeter In Nonurban Use 3. Percent Of Site Being Farmed 4. Protection Provided By State And Local Government 5. Distance Trom Urban Builitup Area 6. Distance To Urban Support Services 7. Size Of Present Farm Unit Compared To Average 8. Creation Of Nonfarmable Farmland 9. Availability Of Farm Support Services 10. On-Farm Investments 11. Effects Of Conversion On Farm Support Services TOTAL SITE ASSESSMENT POINTS 160 0 0 0 0 PART VII (To be completed by Federal Agency) Relative Value Of Farmland (From Part V) Relative Value Of Farmland (From Part V) Relative Value Of Farmland (From Part V)	Proposed Land Use Public Transit								
Major Crop(s) Farmable Land in Govt. Jurisdiction Acroes: Name Of Land Evaluation System Used Name Of Local Site Assessment System Date Land Evaluation System Used Name Of Local Site Assessment System Date Land Evaluation Returned B Site A Site B Site C Site A Site B Site C									
Acres: Name Of Land Evaluation System Used Name Of Local Site Assessment System Date Land Evaluation Returned E Site A Site A Site B Site C	Does the site contain prime, unique, statewic (If no, the FPPA does not apply – do not co	le or local important fa mplete additional part	armland? ts of this form			s Irrigated Average F	arm Size		
PART III (To be completed by Federal Agency) A. Total Acres To Be Converted Directly B. Total Acres To Be Converted Indirectly C. Total Acres In Site C. Total Acres In Site PART IV (To be completed by NRCS) Land Evaluation Information A. Total Acres Prime And Unique Farmland B. Total Acres Statewide And Local Important Farmland C. Percentage Of Farmland In County Or Local Govt. Unit To Be Converted D. Percentage Of Farmland In County Or Local Govt. Unit To Be Converted D. Percentage Of Farmland To Be Converted (Scale of 0 to 100 Points) PART VI (To be completed by NRCS) Land Evaluation Criterion Relative Value Of Farmland To Be Converted (Scale of 0 to 100 Points) 1. Area In Nonurban Use 2. Perimeter In Nonurban Use 3. Percent Of Site Being Farmed 4. Protection Provided By State And Local Government 5. Distance From Urban Builtup Area 6. Distance From Urban Builtup Area 6. Distance To Urban Support Services 7. Size Of Present Farm Unit Compared To Average 8. Creation Of Nonfarmable Farmland 9. Availability Of Farm Support Services 10. On-Farm Investments 11. Effects Of Conversion On Farm Support Services 12. Compatibility With Existing Agricultural Use TOTAL SITE ASSESSMENT POINTS 10. 0 0 0 0 0 PART VII (To be completed by Federal Agency) Relative Value Of Farmland (From Part V) 100 0 0 0 0 0 0	Major Crop(s)		Farmable Land In Govt. Jurisdiction			Amount Of Farmland As Defined in FPPA Acres: %			
A. Total Acres To Be Converted Directly B. Total Acres To Be Converted Indirectly C. Total Acres To Be Converted Indirectly C. Total Acres In Site B. Total Acres In Site A. Total Acres In Site B. Total Acres In Site A. Total Acres In Site A. Total Acres In Site B. Total Acres Prime And Unique Farmland B. Total Acres Prime And Local Important Farmland C. Percentage Of Farmland In County Or Local Govt. Unit To Be Converted D. Percentage Of Farmland In Govt. Jurisdiction With Same Or Higher Relative Value PART V (To be completed by NRCS). Land Evaluation Criterion Relative Value Of Farmland To Be Converted (Scale of 0 to 100 Points) PART VI (To be completed by Faderal Agency) Site Assessment Criteria (These criteria are explained in 7 CFR 658.5(b) Particular In Nonurban Use D. Percentage Of Farmland To Be Converted (Scale of 0 to 100 Points) PART VI (To be completed by Faderal Agency) Site Assessment Criteria (These criteria are explained in 7 CFR 658.5(b) Particular In Nonurban Use D. Percentor Of Site Being Farmed D. Percentor Of Site Being Farmed Distance From Urban Builitup Area Distance From Urban Sulptup Area Distance To Urban Support Services Distance To Urban Support Services Distance To Urban Support Services Distance To Monurban Use Creation Of Nonfarmable Farmland D. Availability Of Farm Support Services D. On-Farm Investments D. Creation Of Nonfarmable Farmland D. Availability With Existing Agricultural Use TOTAL SITE ASSESSMENT POINTS D. O O O O DART VII (To be completed by Federal Agency) Relative Value Of Farmland (From Part V) D. O O O O DART VIII (To be completed by Federal Agency) Relative Value Of Farmland (From Part V) D. O O O O D. O O	Name Of Land Evaluation System Used	Name Of Local Site	e Assessment	System	Date	Date Land Evaluation Returned By NRCS			
A. Total Acres To Be Converted Directly B. Total Acres To Be Converted Indirectly C. Total Acres In Site 290.8 0.0 0.0 0.0 0 PART IV (To be completed by NRCS) Land Evaluation Information A. Total Acres Prime And Unique Farmland B. Total Acres Prime And Local Important Farmland C. Percentage Of Farmland In County Or Local Govt. Unit To Be Converted D. Percentage Of Farmland In Govt. Jurisdiction With Same Or Higher Relative Value PART V (To be completed by NRCS) Land Evaluation Criterion Relative Value Of Farmland To Be Converted (Scale of 0 to 100 Points) PART VI (To be completed by Federal Agency) Site Assessment Criteria (These criteria are explained in 7 CFR 658.5(b) 1. Area In Nonurban Use 2. Perimeter In Nonurban Use 3. Percent Of Site Being Farmed 4. Protection Provided By State And Local Government 5. Distance From Urban Builtup Area 6. Distance From Urban Support Services 7. Size Of Present Farm Unit Compared To Average 8. Creation Of Nonfarmable Farmland 9. Availability Of Farm Support Services 10. On-Farm Investments 11. Effects Of Conversion On Farm Support Services 12. Compatibility With Existing Agricultural Use TOTAL SITE ASSESSMENT POINTS 160 0 0 0 0 PART VII (To be completed by Federal Agency) Relative Value Of Farmland (From Part V) 100 0 0 0	ART III (To be completed by Federal Agency)								
B. Total Acres To Be Converted Indirectly C. Total Acres In Site 290.8 0.0 0.0 0.0 0 PART IV (To be completed by NRCS) Land Evaluation Information A. Total Acres Prime And Unique Farmland B. Total Acres Statewide And Local Important Farmland C. Percentage Of Farmland In County Or Local Govt. Unit To Be Converted D. Percentage Of Farmland In Govt. Jurisdiction With Same Or Higher Relative Value PART V (To be completed by NRCS) Land Evaluation Criterion Relative Value Of Farmland To Be Converted (Scale of 0 to 100 Points) PART VI (To be completed by Federal Agency) Site Assessment Criteria (These criteria are explained in 7 CFR 658.5(b) 1. Area In Nonurban Use 2. Perimeter In Nonurban Use 3. Percent Of Site Being Farmed 4. Protection Provided By State And Local Government 5. Distance From Urban Builitup Area 6. Distance To Urban Support Services 7. Size Of Present Farm Unit Compared To Average 8. Creation Of Nonfarmable Farmland 9. Availability Of Farm Support Services 10. On-Farm Investments 11. Effects Of Conversion On Farm Support Services 12. Compatibility With Existing Agricultural Use TOTAL SITE ASSESSMENT POINTS 160 0 0 0 PART VII (To be completed by Federal Agency) Relative Value Of Farmland (From Part V) 100 0 0 0					Site	B Site C	Site D		
C. Total Acres In Site PART IV (To be completed by NRCS) Land Evaluation Information A. Total Acres Prime And Unique Farmland B. Total Acres Statewide And Local Important Farmland C. Percentage Of Farmland In County Or Local Govt. Unit To Be Converted D. Percentage Of Farmland In Govt. Jurisdiction With Same Or Higher Relative Value PART V (To be completed by NRCS) Land Evaluation Criterion Relative Value Of Farmland To Be Converted (Scale of 0 to 100 Points) PART VI (To be completed by Federal Agency) Site Assessment Criteria (These criteria are explained in 7 CFR 658.5(b)) 1. Area In Nonurban Use 2. Perimeter In Nonurban Use 3. Percent Of Site Being Farmed 4. Protection Provided By State And Local Government 5. Distance From Urban Builtup Area 6. Distance To Urban Support Services 7. Size Of Present Farm Unit Compared To Average 8. Creation Of Nonfarmable Farmland 9. Availability Of Farm Support Services 10. On-Farm Investments 11. Effects Of Conversion On Farm Support Services 12. Compatibility With Existing Agricultural Use TOTAL SITE ASSESSMENT POINTS 160 0 0 0 PART VII (To be completed by Federal Agency) Relative Value Of Farmland (From Part V) 100 0 0 0				290.8			_		
PART IV (To be completed by NRCS) Land Evaluation Information A. Total Acres Prime And Unique Farmland B. Total Acres Statewide And Local Important Farmland C. Percentage Of Farmland In County Or Local Govt. Unit To Be Converted D. Percentage Of Farmland In Govt. Jurisdiction With Same Or Higher Relative Value PART V (To be completed by NRCS) Land Evaluation Criterion Relative Value Of Farmland To Be Converted (Scale of 0 to 100 Points) PART VI (To be completed by Federal Agency) Site Assessment Criteria (These criteria are explained in 7 CFR 658.5(b) 1. Area In Nonurban Use 2. Perimeter In Nonurban Use 3. Percent Of Site Being Farmed 4. Protection Provided By State And Local Government 5. Distance From Urban Builtup Area 6. Distance From Urban Builtup Area 6. Distance To Urban Support Services 7. Size Of Present Farm Unit Compared To Average 8. Creation Of Nonfarmable Farmland 9. Availability Of Farm Support Services 10. On-Farm Investments 11. Effects Of Conversion On Farm Support Services 12. Compatibility With Existing Agricultural Use TOTAL SITE ASSESSMENT POINTS 160 0 0 0 PART VII (To be completed by Federal Agency) Relative Value Of Farmland (From Part V) 100 0 0 0				200.9	0.0	0.0	0.0		
A. Total Acres Prime And Unique Farmland B. Total Acres Statewide And Local Important Farmland C. Percentage Of Farmland in County Or Local Govt. Unit To Be Converted D. Percentage Of Farmland in Govt. Jurisdiction With Same Or Higher Relative Value PART V (To be completed by NRCS) Land Evaluation Criterion Relative Value Of Farmland To Be Converted (Scale of 0 to 100 Points) PART VI (To be completed by Federal Agency) Site Assessment Criteria (These criteria are explained in 7 CFR 658.5(b) 1. Area In Nonurban Use 2. Perimeter In Nonurban Use 3. Percent Of Site Being Farmed 4. Protection Provided By State And Local Government 5. Distance From Urban Builtup Area 6. Distance From Urban Builtup Area 6. Distance To Urban Support Services 7. Size Of Present Farm Unit Compared To Average 8. Creation Of Nonfarmable Farmland 9. Availability Of Farm Support Services 10. On-Farm Investments 11. Effects Of Conversion On Farm Support Services 12. Compatibility With Existing Agricultural Use TOTAL SITE ASSESSMENT POINTS 160 0 0 0 0 PART VII (To be completed by Federal Agency) Relative Value Of Farmland (From Part V) 100 0 0 0				280.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
B. Total Acres Statewide And Local Important Farmland C. Percentage Of Farmland In County Or Local Govt. Unit To Be Converted D. Percentage Of Farmland In Govt. Jurisdiction With Same Or Higher Relative Value PART V (To be completed by NRCS) Land Evaluation Criterion Relative Value Of Farmland To Be Converted (Scale of 0 to 100 Points) PART VI (To be completed by Federal Agency) Site Assessment Criteria (These criteria are explained in 7 CFR 658.5(b) 1. Area In Nonurban Use 2. Perimeter In Nonurban Use 3. Percent Of Site Being Farmed 4. Protection Provided By State And Local Government 5. Distance From Urban Builtup Area 6. Distance From Urban Builtup Area 6. Distance To Urban Support Services 7. Size Of Present Farm Unit Compared To Average 8. Creation Of Nonfarmable Farmland 9. Availability Of Farm Support Services 10. On-Farm Investments 11. Effects Of Conversion On Farm Support Services 12. Compatibility With Existing Agricultural Use TOTAL SITE ASSESSMENT POINTS 160 0 0 0 0 PART VII (To be completed by Federal Agency) Relative Value Of Farmland (From Part V) 100 0 0 0	ART IV (To be completed by NRCS) Land E	aluation Information							
B. Total Acres Statewide And Local Important Farmland C. Percentage Of Farmland In County Or Local Govt. Unit To Be Converted D. Percentage Of Farmland In Govt. Jurisdiction With Same Or Higher Relative Value PART V (To be completed by NRCS) Land Evaluation Criterion Relative Value Of Farmland To Be Converted (Scale of 0 to 100 Points) PART VI (To be completed by Federal Agency) Site Assessment Criteria (These criteria are explained in 7 CFR 658.5(b) 1. Area In Nonurban Use 2. Perimeter In Nonurban Use 3. Percent Of Site Being Farmed 4. Protection Provided By State And Local Government 5. Distance From Urban Builtup Area 6. Distance From Urban Builtup Area 6. Distance To Urban Support Services 7. Size Of Present Farm Unit Compared To Average 8. Creation Of Nonfarmable Farmland 9. Availability Of Farm Support Services 10. On-Farm Investments 11. Effects Of Conversion On Farm Support Services 12. Compatibility With Existing Agricultural Use TOTAL SITE ASSESSMENT POINTS 160 0 0 0 0 PART VII (To be completed by Federal Agency) Relative Value Of Farmland (From Part V) 100 0 0 0	A. Total Acres Prime And Unique Farmland								
C. Percentage Of Farmland In County Or Local Govt. Unit To Be Converted D. Percentage Of Farmland In Govt. Jurisdiction With Same Or Higher Relative Value PART V (To be completed by NRCS) Land Evaluation Criterion Relative Value Of Farmland To Be Converted (Scale of 0 to 100 Points) PART VI (To be completed by Federal Agency) Site Assessment Criteria (These criteria are explained in 7 CFR 658.5(b) 1. Area In Nonurban Use 2. Perimeter In Nonurban Use 3. Percent Of Site Being Farmed 4. Protection Provided By State And Local Government 5. Distance From Urban Builitup Area 6. Distance From Urban Support Services 7. Size Of Present Farm Unit Compared To Average 8. Creation Of Nonfarmable Farmland 9. Availability Of Farm Support Services 10. On-Farm Investments 11. Effects Of Conversion On Farm Support Services 12. Compatibility With Existing Agricultural Use TOTAL SITE ASSESSMENT POINTS 160 0 0 0 PART VII (To be completed by Federal Agency) Relative Value Of Farmland (From Part V) 100 0 0 0		nt Farmland							
D. Percentage Of Farmland In Govt. Jurisdiction With Same Or Higher Relative Value PART V (To be completed by NRCS) Land Evaluation Criterion Relative Value Of Farmland To Be Converted (Scale of 0 to 100 Points) PART VI (To be completed by Federal Agency) Site Assessment Criteria (These criteria are explained in 7 CFR 658.5(b) 1. Area In Nonurban Use 2. Perimeter In Nonurban Use 3. Percent Of Site Being Farmed 4. Protection Provided By State And Local Government 5. Distance From Urban Builtup Area 6. Distance To Urban Support Services 7. Size Of Present Farm Unit Compared To Average 8. Creation Of Nonfarmable Farmland 9. Availability Of Farm Support Services 10. On-Farm Investments 11. Effects Of Conversion On Farm Support Services 12. Compatibility With Existing Agricultural Use TOTAL SITE ASSESSMENT POINTS 160 0 0 0 PART VII (To be completed by Federal Agency) Relative Value Of Farmland (From Part V) 100 0 0 0	C. Percentage Of Farmland In County Or L	cal Govt. Unit To Be	Converted						
PART V (To be completed by NRCS) Land Evaluation Criterion Relative Value Of Farmland To Be Converted (Scale of 0 to 100 Points) PART VI (To be completed by Federal Agency) Site Assessment Criteria (These criteria are explained in 7 CFR 658.5(b) 1. Area In Nonurban Use 2. Perimeter In Nonurban Use 3. Percent Of Site Being Farmed 4. Protection Provided By State And Local Government 5. Distance From Urban Builtup Area 6. Distance To Urban Support Services 7. Size Of Present Farm Unit Compared To Average 8. Creation Of Nonfarmable Farmland 9. Availability Of Farm Support Services 10. On-Farm Investments 11. Effects Of Conversion On Farm Support Services 12. Compatibility With Existing Agricultural Use TOTAL SITE ASSESSMENT POINTS 160 0 0 0 PART VII (To be completed by Federal Agency) Relative Value Of Farmland (From Part V) 100 0 0 0									
PART VI (To be completed by Federal Agency) Site Assessment Criteria (These criteria are explained in 7 CFR 658.5(b) 1. Area In Nonurban Use 2. Perimeter In Nonurban Use 3. Percent Of Site Being Farmed 4. Protection Provided By State And Local Government 5. Distance From Urban Builtup Area 6. Distance To Urban Support Services 7. Size Of Present Farm Unit Compared To Average 8. Creation Of Nonfarmable Farmland 9. Availability Of Farm Support Services 10. On-Farm Investments 11. Effects Of Conversion On Farm Support Services 12. Compatibility With Existing Agricultural Use TOTAL SITE ASSESSMENT POINTS 160 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	PART V (To be completed by NRCS) Land Evaluation Criterion			0	0	0	0		
2. Perimeter In Nonurban Use 3. Percent Of Site Being Farmed 4. Protection Provided By State And Local Government 5. Distance From Urban Builtup Area 6. Distance To Urban Support Services 7. Size Of Present Farm Unit Compared To Average 8. Creation Of Nonfarmable Farmland 9. Availability Of Farm Support Services 10. On-Farm Investments 11. Effects Of Conversion On Farm Support Services 12. Compatibility With Existing Agricultural Use TOTAL SITE ASSESSMENT POINTS 160 0 0 0 PART VII (To be completed by Federal Agency) Relative Value Of Farmland (From Part V) 100 0 0 0		in 7 CFR 658.5(b)							
3. Percent Of Site Being Farmed 4. Protection Provided By State And Local Government 5. Distance From Urban Builtup Area 6. Distance To Urban Support Services 7. Size Of Present Farm Unit Compared To Average 8. Creation Of Nonfarmable Farmland 9. Availability Of Farm Support Services 10. On-Farm Investments 11. Effects Of Conversion On Farm Support Services 12. Compatibility With Existing Agricultural Use TOTAL SITE ASSESSMENT POINTS 160 0 0 0 PART VII (To be completed by Federal Agency) Relative Value Of Farmland (From Part V) 100 0 0	Area In Nonurban Use								
3. Percent Of Site Being Farmed 4. Protection Provided By State And Local Government 5. Distance From Urban Builtup Area 6. Distance To Urban Support Services 7. Size Of Present Farm Unit Compared To Average 8. Creation Of Nonfarmable Farmland 9. Availability Of Farm Support Services 10. On-Farm Investments 11. Effects Of Conversion On Farm Support Services 12. Compatibility With Existing Agricultural Use TOTAL SITE ASSESSMENT POINTS 160 0 0 0 PART VII (To be completed by Federal Agency) Relative Value Of Farmland (From Part V) 100 0 0	2. Perimeter In Nonurban Use								
4. Protection Provided By State And Local Government 5. Distance From Urban Builtup Area 6. Distance To Urban Support Services 7. Size Of Present Farm Unit Compared To Average 8. Creation Of Nonfarmable Farmland 9. Availability Of Farm Support Services 10. On-Farm Investments 11. Effects Of Conversion On Farm Support Services 12. Compatibility With Existing Agricultural Use TOTAL SITE ASSESSMENT POINTS 160 0 0 0 PART VII (To be completed by Federal Agency) Relative Value Of Farmland (From Part V) 100 0 0									
5. Distance From Urban Builtup Area 6. Distance To Urban Support Services 7. Size Of Present Farm Unit Compared To Average 8. Creation Of Nonfarmable Farmland 9. Availability Of Farm Support Services 10. On-Farm Investments 11. Effects Of Conversion On Farm Support Services 12. Compatibility With Existing Agricultural Use TOTAL SITE ASSESSMENT POINTS 160 0 0 0 PART VII (To be completed by Federal Agency) Relative Value Of Farmland (From Part V) 100 0 0		Government							
6. Distance To Urban Support Services 7. Size Of Present Farm Unit Compared To Average 8. Creation Of Nonfarmable Farmland 9. Availability Of Farm Support Services 10. On-Farm Investments 11. Effects Of Conversion On Farm Support Services 12. Compatibility With Existing Agricultural Use TOTAL SITE ASSESSMENT POINTS 160 0 0 0 PART VII (To be completed by Federal Agency) Relative Value Of Farmland (From Part V) 100 0 0									
7. Size Of Present Farm Unit Compared To Average 8. Creation Of Nonfarmable Farmland 9. Availability Of Farm Support Services 10. On-Farm Investments 11. Effects Of Conversion On Farm Support Services 12. Compatibility With Existing Agricultural Use TOTAL SITE ASSESSMENT POINTS 160 0 0 PART VII (To be completed by Federal Agency) Relative Value Of Farmland (From Part V) 100 0 0									
8. Creation Of Nonfarmable Farmland 9. Availability Of Farm Support Services 10. On-Farm Investments 11. Effects Of Conversion On Farm Support Services 12. Compatibility With Existing Agricultural Use TOTAL SITE ASSESSMENT POINTS 160 0 0 0 PART VII (To be completed by Federal Agency) Relative Value Of Farmland (From Part V) 100 0 0		Average							
9. Availability Of Farm Support Services 10. On-Farm Investments 11. Effects Of Conversion On Farm Support Services 12. Compatibility With Existing Agricultural Use TOTAL SITE ASSESSMENT POINTS 160 0 0 0 PART VII (To be completed by Federal Agency) Relative Value Of Farmland (From Part V) 100 0 0									
10. On-Farm Investments 11. Effects Of Conversion On Farm Support Services 12. Compatibility With Existing Agricultural Use TOTAL SITE ASSESSMENT POINTS 160 0 0 0 PART VII (To be completed by Federal Agency) Relative Value Of Farmland (From Part V) 100 0 0									
11. Effects Of Conversion On Farm Support Services 12. Compatibility With Existing Agricultural Use TOTAL SITE ASSESSMENT POINTS 160 0 0 0 PART VII (To be completed by Federal Agency) Relative Value Of Farmland (From Part V) 100 0 0 0			1						
12. Compatibility With Existing Agricultural Use 160 0		Sonvicos							
TOTAL SITE ASSESSMENT POINTS 160 0 0 0 0 PART VII (To be completed by Federal Agency)					-		_		
PART VII (To be completed by Federal Agency) Relative Value Of Farmland (From Part V) 100 0 0 0			100	1.	-				
Relative Value Of Farmland (From Part V) 100 0 0 0	TOTAL SITE ASSESSMENT POINTS			0	0	0	0		
	ART VII (To be completed by Federal Agency								
Total Site Assessment (From Part VI above or a local site assessment) 160 0 0 0			100	0	0	0	0		
	Total Site Assessment (From Part VI above or a local site assessment)		160	0	0	0	0		
TOTAL POINTS (Total of above 2 lines) 260 0 0 0	TOTAL POINTS (Total of above 2 lines)		260	0	0	0	0		
	te Selected:	cted: Date Of Selection		Was A Local Site Assessment Used? Yes ☐ No ☐					



Applying Practical Science to Improve Communities August 25, 2011

Mr. Richard Van Holt Freedom of Information Officer U.S. EPA Region 3 1650 Arch Street (3CG10) Philadelphia, PA 19103-2029

RE: Request for Information concerning the Purple Line Hazardous Waste Investigations, Montgomery and Prince George's Counties, MD [CEM Project No.: 10-037.001]

Dear Mr. Van Holt:

We are currently contracted by the Maryland Transit Administration (MTA) to review any available data regarding pollution/contamination incidents that may have occurred in the vicinity of the study area located in Montgomery and Price George's Counties in Maryland

Under the Freedom of Information Act, I would like to request any regulatory records published for this location or the surrounding area in order to search for any information you may have regarding air, land, or water pollution incidents, including:

- Chemical or Petroleum releases
- Underground Storage Tanks (USTs)
- RCRA Generators
- Asbestos or lead paint information

- CERCLA or Superfund haz-waste sites
- Hazardous or Toxic materials/waste spills
- Clean Air Act, RCRA, TSCA, or SARA violations

The properties in question are summarized in the attached table [Attachment 1].

Thank you very much for your time and consideration. I would appreciate your permission to review this information as soon as possible. If the cost associated with this file search would exceed \$25, please contact me. If you have any additional questions, please do not hesitate to contact me at our offices at (410)-893-9016 at any time, or you can email me at kdimartino@cemscience.com.

Best Regards,

Kevin DiMartino

Kein Di Martino

Chesapeake Environmental Management

Project Leader



UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY REGION III

1650 Arch Street Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19103-2029

September 22, 2011

Kevin DiMartino Chesapeake Environmental Management, Inc. 260 Gateway Drive, Suite 21-C Bel Air, MD 21014

> Re: Purple Line Hazardous Waste Investigation Freedom of Information Act Request: 03-FOI-01148-11

Dear Mr. DiMartino:

In response to the above-referenced Freedom of Information Act request dated August 25, 2011 and received on September 7, 2011, as further limited by your phone conversation with Shanna Halpern of my staff wherein you agreed that a Compliance Monitoring and Enforcement Data Summary Report (CME Report) would be sufficient for any sites that had large response the Land and Chemicals Division searched its records and made the following determinations:

The Resource Conservation and Recovery Act Program only found responsive information for the Walter Reed, Forest Glen site. That information, a CME Report, is enclosed.

The Pesticides/Asbestos and the Toxics Programs found no responsive information.

You may appeal this response to the National Freedom of Information Officer, U.S. EPA, Records, FOIA and Privacy Branch, 1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW (2822T), Washington, DC 20460, Fax: (202) 566-2147, E-mail: hq.foia@epa.gov. Only items mailed through the United States Postal Service may be delivered to 1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW. If you are submitting your appeal via hand delivery, courier service or overnight delivery, you must address your correspondence to 1301 Constitution Avenue, N.W., Room 6416J, Washington, DC 20004. Your appeal must be made in writing, and it must be submitted no later than 30 calendar days from the date of this letter. The Agency will not consider appeals received after the 30 calendar day limit. The appeal letter should include the FOI listed above. For quickest possible handling, the appeal letter and its envelope should be marked "Freedom of Information Act Appeal."

If you have any questions, please contact Ms. Halpern of my staff at (215) 814-3396.

Sincerely,

Evelyn Velazquez

Environmental Protection Specialist

Land and Chemicals Division

ce: R. Van Holt (3PA00) Enclosures



September 14, 2011

Mr. Kevin DiMartino
Project Leader
Chesapeake Environmental Management, Inc.
260 Gateway Drive, Suite 21-C
Bel Air, MD 21014

Re: Freedom of Information Act Request (FOIA) No. 03-FOI-01148-11

Dear Mr. DiMartino:

Thank you for your Freedom of Information Act request dated 08/25/11, which was received on 09/07/11.

The Air Protection Division of the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Region III has no records relating to your request pertaining to Purple Line Hazardous Waste Investigation.

You may appeal this response to the National Freedom of Information Officer, U.S. EPA, FOIA and Privacy Branch, 1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. (2822T), Washington, DC 20460 (U.S. Postal Service Only), FAX: (202) 566-2147, E-mail: hq.foia@epa.gov. Only items mailed through the United States Postal Service may be delivered to 1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW. If you are submitting your appeal via hand delivery, courier service or overnight delivery, you must address your correspondence to 1301 Constitution Avenue, N.W., Room 6416J, Washington, DC 20004. Your appeal must be made in writing, and it must be submitted no later than 30 calendar days from the date of this letter. The Agency will not consider appeals received after the 30 calendar day limit. The appeal letter should include the FOI listed above. For quickest possible handling, the appeal letter and its envelope should be marked "Freedom of Information Act Appeal."

Sincerely

Benita Graham, FOIA Coordinator

Air Protection Division



September 27, 2011

SUBJECT: Purple Line Hazardous Waste Investigation

FROM: Helen DuTeau, Chief

Community Involvement and Outreach Branch (3HS52)

TO: Richard Van Holt, FOIA Officer (3PA00)

DATE REQUEST RECEIVED: 09/07/11

REQUEST ID NUMBER: 03-FOI-01148-11

(ESTIMATED) COST

CERCLIS PRINTOUTS OF: Zip Codes 21225, 20783

ERNS PRINTOUTS OF: Zip Codes 21225, 20783, 20815

This information is provided in response to the above Freedom of Information Act request regarding the Purple Line Hazardous Waste Investigation, located in Montgomery County, MD and Price George's County, MD. CERCLIS does not have any information response to the above site location. However, enclosed is a printout of known, alleged, or potential hazardous waste sites listed in the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Information System (CERCLIS) report for zip code areas 21225 and 20783 and Emergency Response Notification System (ERNS) report for zip code areas 21225, 20783, and 20815.

It should be noted that the CERCLIS and ERNS systems contain only those potential hazardous waste sites that have been brought to the attention of the Environmental Protection Agency prior to the last update. We cannot claim that it contains all the potential hazardous waste sites that exist. Therefore, although a certain property or area is not currently listed in CERCLIS and ERNS, this is NOT a guarantee that a hazardous waste problem does not exist.

You may appeal this response to the National Freedom of Information Officer, U.S. EPA, Records, FOIA and Privacy Branch, 1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW (2822T), Washington, DC 20460, Fax: (202) 566-2147, E-mail: hq.foia @epa.gov. Only items mailed through the United States Postal Service may be delivered to 1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW. If you are submitting your appeal via hand delivery, courier service or overnight delivery, you must address your correspondence to 1301 Constitution Avenue, N.W., Room 6416J, Washington, DC 20004. The appeal must be made in writing, and it must be submitted no later than 30 calendar days from the date of this letter. The Agency will not consider appeals received after the 30 calendar day limit. The appeal letter should include the RIN listed above. For quickest possible handling, the appeal letter and its envelope should be marked "Freedom of Information Act Appeal."

If you have any questions, contact Cherese Peters, Environmental Protection Assistant at 215-814-3154.

Customer Service Hotline: 1-800-438-2474



Applying Practical Science to Improve Communities September 19, 2011

Mr. Richard Van Holt Freedom of Information Officer U.S. EPA Region 3 1650 Arch Street (3CG10) Philadelphia, PA 19103-2029

RE: Request for Information concerning the Purple Line Hazardous Waste Investigations, Montgomery and Prince George's Counties, MD [CEM Project No.: 10-037.001]

Dear Mr. Van Holt:

We are currently contracted by the Maryland Transit Administration (MTA) to review any available data regarding pollution/contamination incidents that may have occurred in the vicinity of the study area located in Montgomery and Price George's Counties in Maryland

Under the Freedom of Information Act, I would like to request any regulatory records published for this location or the surrounding area in order to search for any information you may have regarding air, land, or water pollution incidents, including:

- Chemical or Petroleum releases
- Underground Storage Tanks (USTs)
- RCRA Generators
- Asbestos or lead paint information

- CERCLA or Superfund haz-waste sites
- · Hazardous or Toxic materials/waste spills
- Clean Air Act, RCRA, TSCA, or SARA violations

The properties in question are summarized in the attached table [Attachment 1].

Thank you very much for your time and consideration. I would appreciate your permission to review this information as soon as possible. If the cost associated with this file search would exceed \$25, please contact me. If you have any additional questions, please do not hesitate to contact me at our offices at (410)-893-9016 at any time, or you can email me at kdimartino@cemscience.com.

Best Regards,

Kevin DiMartino

Chesapeake Environmental Management

Kein Di Martino

Project Leader



UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY REGION III 1650 Arch Street

Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19103-2029

Kevin DiMartino Chesapeake Environmental Management, Inc. 260 Gateway Drive, Suite 21C Bel Air, MD 21014

RE:

Purple Line Hazardous Waste Investigations in Montgomery

And Prince George's Counties

Freedom of Information Act Request: #03-FOI-01224-11

Dear Mr. DiMartino:

In response to the above-referenced Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) request, dated September 19, 2011 and received September 28, 2011, please be advised that the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA) Program, the Toxics Programs Branch, and the Pesticides/Asbestos Programs Branch, Land and Chemicals Division processed your request and found no information responsive. However, the RCRA Program is enclosing a Compliance Monitoring & Enforcement (CM&E) Report which may be helpful to you. The CM&E Report shows any violations or enforcement actions concerning facilities within the zip code of interest.

Our search for records is based primarily on the information you provide. In order to complete searches for locations in another zip code that are either adjacent to, or within one mile of the above-mentioned property, we will need additional zip codes or property addresses. Kindly submit the additional information in a FOIA request format, to Richard Van Holt, Freedom of Information Act Officer, at the above address.

The information requested on storage tanks, above ground or below ground, is not in the possession of the Land and Chemicals Division, but may be available from the State at the following address:

Maryland Dept. of the Environment 1800 Washington Blvd. Underground Storage Tank Management Baltimore, MD 21230-1719 Phone: (410) 537-3000

You may appeal this response to the National Freedom of Information Officer, U.S. EPA, Records, FOIA and Privacy Branch, 1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW (2822T), Washington, DC 20460, Fax: (202) 566-2147, E-mail: hq.foia@epa.gov. Only items mailed through the United States Postal Service may be delivered to 1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW. If you are submitting your appeal via hand delivery, courier service or overnight delivery, you must address your correspondence to 1301 Constitution Avenue, NW, Room 6416J, Washington, DC 20004. Your appeal must be made in writing, and it must be submitted no later than 30 calendar days from the date of this letter. The Agency will not consider appeals received after the 30 day calendar day limit. The appeal letter should include the FOI listed above. For quickest possible handling, the appeal letter and its envelope should be marked "Freedom of Information Act Appeal."

If you have any questions, feel free to contact Shanna Halpern, a member of my staff, at (215) 814-3396.

Sincerely,

Environmental Protection Specialist

Land and Chemicals Division

cc: Richard Van Holt (3PA00)



October 20, 2011

SUBJECT:

3FOI-1224-11

TO:

Richard VanHolt (3CG00)

Freedom of Information Officer

FROM:

Helen DuTeau, Chief (3HS52) HW

Community Involvement and

Outreach Branch

We wish to advise you that after a search of our CERCLIS database the Purple Line Project, located in Montgomery and Prince George's Counties, Maryland, was not found. Therefore, the Superfund Program Office has no records responsive to this request.

You may appeal this response, to the National Freedom of Information Officer, U. S. EPA, Records, FOIA and Privacy Branch, 1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW (2822T), Washington, DC 20460, Fax (202) 566-2147, E-mail: hq.foia@epa.gov. Only items mailed through the United States Postal Service may be delivered to 1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW. If you are submitting your appeal via hand delivery, courier service or overnight delivery, you must address your correspondence to 1301 Constitution Avenue, NW Room 64161, Washington, DC 20004. The appeal must be made in writing, and it must be submitted no later than 30 calender days form the date of this letter. The Agency will not consider appeals received after the 30 calender day limit. The appeal letter should include the RIN listed above. For quickest possible handling, the appeal letter should be marked "Freedom of Information Act Appeal".

If you have any questions regarding this matter please contact Henrietta Woodard (Environmental Protection Assistant) at 215-814-3164.

Customer Service Hotline: 1-800-438-2474



October 27, 2011

Mr. Kevin DiMartino
Project Leader
Chesapeake Environmental Management, Inc.
260 Gateway Drive, Suite 21-C
Bel Air,MD 21014

Re: Freedom of Information Act Request (FOIA) No. 03-FOI-01224-11

Dear Mr. DiMartino:

Thank you for your Freedom of Information Act request dated 09/19/11, which was received on 09/28/11.

The Air Protection Division of the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Region III has no records relating to your request pertaining to Purple Line Hazardous Waste Investigation.

You may appeal this response to the National Freedom of Information Officer, U.S. EPA, FOIA and Privacy Branch, 1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. (2822T), Washington, DC 20460 (U.S. Postal Service Only), FAX: (202) 566-2147, E-mail: hq.foia@epa.gov. Only items mailed through the United States Postal Service may be delivered to 1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW. If you are submitting your appeal via hand delivery, courier service or overnight delivery, you must address your correspondence to 1301 Constitution Avenue, N.W., Room 6416J, Washington, DC 20004. Your appeal must be made in writing, and it must be submitted no later than 30 calendar days from the date of this letter. The Agency will not consider appeals received after the 30 calendar day limit. The appeal letter should include the FOI listed above. For quickest possible handling, the appeal letter and its envelope should be marked "Freedom of Information Act Appeal."

Sincerely,

Benita Graham, FOIA Coordinator

Air Protection Division



UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY REGION III 1650 Arch Street

October 31, 2011

Kevin DiMartino
Chesappeake Environmental Management, Inc.
General Engineering Consultant Team
100 South Charles Street, Tower 1, 10th Floor
Baltimore, MD 21201

Dear Mr. DiMartino:

This is in response to your Freedom of Information Act request Request Identification No. 03-FOI-01224-11 Purple Line Hazardous Waste Investigation

() Positive Determination (Material Enclosed) Cost (\$0)

(X) Requested information is not known to exist in EPA's Water Protection Division's possession.

You may appeal this response to the National Freedom of Information Officer, U.S. EPA, FOIA and Privacy Branch, 1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. (2822T), Washington, DC 20460 (U.S. Postal Service Only), FAX: (202) 566-2147, E-mail: hq.foia@epa.gov. Only items mailed through the United States Postal Service may be delivered to 1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW. If you are submitting your appeal via hand delivery, courier service or overnight delivery, you must address your correspondence to 1301 Constitution Avenue, N.W., Room 6416J, Washington, DC 20004. Your appeal must be made in writing, and it must be submitted no later than 30 calendar days from the date of this letter. The Agency will not consider appeals received after the 30 calendar day limit. The appeal letter should include the RIN listed above. For quickest possible handling, the appeal letter and its envelope should be marked "Freedom of Information Act Appeal

Sincerely,

Josephine Watson

Water Protection Division

Richard Vanholt: FOIA Officer (3PA00)

Customer Service Hotline: 1-800-438-2474



October 17, 2011

Applying Practical Science to Improve Communities

Mr. Richard Van Holt Freedom of Information Officer U.S. EPA Region 3 1650 Arch Street (3CG10) Philadelphia, PA 19103-2029

RE: Request for Information concerning the Purple Line Hazardous Waste Investigations, Montgomery and Prince George's Counties, MD [CEM Project No.: 10-037.001]

Dear Mr. Van Holt:

We are currently contracted by the Maryland Transit Administration (MTA) to review any available data regarding pollution/contamination incidents that may have occurred in the vicinity of the study area located in Montgomery and Price George's Counties in Maryland

Under the Freedom of Information Act, I would like to request any regulatory records published for this location or the surrounding area in order to search for any information you may have regarding air, land, or water pollution incidents, including:

- Chemical or Petroleum releases
- Underground Storage Tanks (USTs)
- RCRA Generators
- Asbestos or lead paint information

- CERCLA or Superfund haz-waste sites
- Hazardous or Toxic materials/waste spills
- Clean Air Act, RCRA, TSCA, or SARA violations

The properties in question are summarized in the attached table [Attachment 1].

Thank you very much for your time and consideration. I would appreciate your permission to review this information as soon as possible. If the cost associated with this file search would exceed \$25, please contact me. If you have any additional questions, please do not hesitate to contact me at our offices at (410)-893-9016 at any time, or you can email me at kdimartino@cemscience.com.

Best Regards,

Kevin DiMartino

Kein Di Mastro

Chesapeake Environmental Management

Project Leader



NOV 1 0 2011

Kevin DiMartino
Project Leader
Chesapeake Environmental Management, Inc.
260 Gateway Drive, Suite 21-C
Bel Air, MD 21014

RE: Purple Line Hazardous Waste Investigations [CEM Proj.# 10-037.001]

Freedom of Information Act Request: # 03-FOI-00099-12

Dear Mr. DiMartino:

In response to the above-referenced Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) request, dated October 17, 2011 and received November 01, 2011, please be advised that the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA) Program, the Toxics Programs Branch, and the Pesticides/Asbestos Programs Branch, Land and Chemicals Division processed your request and found no information responsive.

The information requested on storage tanks, above ground or below ground, is not in the possession of the Land and Chemicals Division, but may be available from the State at the following address:

Maryland Dept. of the Environment 1800 Washington Blvd. Underground Storage Tank Management Baltimore, MD 21230-1719 Phone: (410) 537-3000

You may appeal this response to the National Freedom of Information Officer, U.S. EPA, Records, FOIA and Privacy Branch, 1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW (2822T), Washington, DC 20460, Fax: (202) 566-2147, E-mail: hq.foia@epa.gov. Only items mailed through the United States Postal Service may be delivered to 1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW. If you are submitting your appeal via hand delivery, courier service or overnight delivery, you must address your correspondence to 1301 Constitution Avenue, NW, Room 6416J, Washington, DC 20004.

Your appeal must be made in writing, and it must be submitted no later than 30 calendar days from the date of this letter. The Agency will not consider appeals received after the 30 day calendar day limit. The appeal letter should include the FOI listed above. For quickest possible handling, the appeal letter and its envelope should be marked "Freedom of Information Act Appeal."

If you have any questions, feel free to contact Shanna Halpern, a member of my staff, at (215) 814-3396.

Sincerely,

Environmental Protection Specialist

Land and Chemicals Division

cc: Richard Van Holt (3PA00)



November 21, 2011

Mr. Kevin DiMartino
Project Leader
Chesapeake Environmental Management, Inc.
260 Gateway Drive, Suite 21-C
Bel Air,MD 21014

Re: Freedom of Information Act Request (FOIA) No. 03-FOI-00099-12

Dear Mr. DiMartino:

Thank you for your Freedom of Information Act request dated 10/17/11, which was received on 11/01/11.

The Air Protection Division of the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Region III has no records relating to your request pertaining to Purple Line Hazardous Waste Investigations.

You may appeal this response to the National Freedom of Information Officer, U.S. EPA, FOIA and Privacy Branch, 1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. (2822T), Washington, DC 20460 (U.S. Postal Service Only), FAX: (202) 566-2147, E-mail: hq.foia@epa.gov. Only items mailed through the United States Postal Service may be delivered to 1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW. If you are submitting your appeal via hand delivery, courier service or overnight delivery, you must address your correspondence to 1301 Constitution Avenue, N.W., Room 6416J, Washington, DC 20004. Your appeal must be made in writing, and it must be submitted no later than 30 calendar days from the date of this letter. The Agency will not consider appeals received after the 30 calendar day limit. The appeal letter should include the FOI listed above. For quickest possible handling, the appeal letter and its envelope should be marked "Freedom of Information Act Appeal."

Sincerely,

Benita Graham, FOIA Coordinator

Air Protection Division



UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY REGION III 1650 Arch Street

December 01, 2011

Kevin DiMarinto Chesapeake Environmental Management, Inc. General Engineering Consultant team 100 South Charles Street, 1 10th Floor Baltimore, MD 21201

Dear Mr. DiMarinto:

This is in response to your Freedom of Information Act request Request Identification No. 03-FOI-00099-12 Purple Line Hazardous Waste Investigations

() Positive Determination (Material Enclosed) Cost (\$0)

(X) Requested information is not known to exist in EPA's Water Protection Division's possession.

You may appeal this response to the National Freedom of Information Officer, U.S. EPA, FOIA and Privacy Branch, 1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. (2822T), Washington, DC 20460 (U.S. Postal Service Only), FAX: (202) 566-2147, E-mail: hq.foia@epa.gov. Only items mailed through the United States Postal Service may be delivered to 1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW. If you are submitting your appeal via hand delivery, courier service or overnight delivery, you must address your correspondence to 1301 Constitution Avenue, N.W., Room 6416J, Washington, DC 20004. Your appeal must be made in writing, and it must be submitted no later than 30 calendar days from the date of this letter. The Agency will not consider appeals received after the 30 calendar day limit. The appeal letter should include the RIN listed above. For quickest possible handling, the appeal letter and its envelope should be marked "Freedom of Information Act Appeal

Sincerely

Josephine Watson

Water Protection Division

'as welm

Richard Vanholt: FOIA Officer (3PA00)

Customer Service Hotline: 1-800-438-2474



December 2, 2011

SUBJECT:

3FOI-0099-12

TO:

Richard VanHolt (3CG00)

Freedom of Information Officer

FROM:

Helen DuTeau, Chief (3HS52) HW

Community Involvement and

Outreach Branch

We wish to advise you that after a search of our CERCLIS database the Purple Lane Hazardous Waste Investigation Project, located in Montgomery and Prince George's Counties, Maryland, was not found. Therefore, the Superfund Program Office has no records responsive to this request.

You may appeal this response, to the National Freedom of Information Officer, U. S. EPA, Records, FOIA and Privacy Branch, 1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW (2822T), Washington, DC 20460, Fax (202) 566-2147, E-mail: hq.foia@epa.gov. Only items mailed through the United States Postal Service may be delivered to 1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW. If you are submitting your appeal via hand delivery, courier service or overnight delivery, you must address your correspondence to 1301 Constitution Avenue, NW Room 64161, Washington, DC 20004. The appeal must be made in writing, and it must be submitted no later than 30 calender days form the date of this letter. The Agency will not consider appeals received after the 30 calender day limit. The appeal letter should include the RIN listed above. For quickest possible handling, the appeal letter should be marked "Freedom of Information Act Appeal".

If you have any questions regarding this matter please contact Henrietta Woodard (Environmental Protection Assistant) at 215-814-3164.



November 14, 2011

Applying Practical Science to Improve Communities

Mr. Richard Van Holt Freedom of Information Officer U.S. EPA Region 3 1650 Arch Street (3CG10) Philadelphia, PA 19103-2029

RE: Request for Information concerning the Purple Line Hazardous Waste Investigations, Montgomery and Prince George's Counties, MD [CEM Project No.: 10-037.001]

Dear Mr. Van Holt:

We are currently contracted by the Maryland Transit Administration (MTA) to review any available data regarding pollution/contamination incidents that may have occurred in the vicinity of the study area located in Montgomery and Price George's Counties in Maryland

Under the Freedom of Information Act, I would like to request any regulatory records published for this location or the surrounding area in order to search for any information you may have regarding air, land, or water pollution incidents, including:

- Chemical or Petroleum releases
- Underground Storage Tanks (USTs)
- RCRA Generators
- Asbestos or lead paint information

- CERCLA or Superfund haz-waste sites
- Hazardous or Toxic materials/waste spills
- Clean Air Act, RCRA, TSCA, or SARA violations

The properties in question are summarized in the attached table [Attachment 1].

Thank you very much for your time and consideration. I would appreciate your permission to review this information as soon as possible. If the cost associated with this file search would exceed \$25, please contact me. If you have any additional questions, please do not hesitate to contact me at our offices at (410)-893-9016 at any time, or you can email me at kdimartino@cemscience.com.

Best Regards,

Kevin DiMartino

Kein Di Martino

Chesapeake Environmental Management

Project Leader



UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY **REGION III** 1650 Arch Street

Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19103-2029

DEC 13 2011

Kevin DiMartino Project Leader Chesapeake Environmental Management, Inc. 260 Gateway Drive, Suite 21-C Bel Air, MD 21014

RE:

Purple Line Hazardous Waste Investigations, Montgomery

and Prince George's Counties, MD

Freedom of Information Act Request: # 03-FOI-00164-12

Dear Mr. DiMartino:

In response to the above-referenced Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) request, dated November 14, 2011 and received November 28, 2011, please be advised that the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA) Program, the Toxics Programs Branch, and the Pesticides/Asbestos Programs Branch, Land and Chemicals Division processed your request and found no information responsive. However, the RCRA Program is enclosing three Compliance Monitoring & Enforcement (CM&E) Reports which may be helpful to you. The CM&E Reports show any violations or enforcement actions concerning facilities within the zip codes of interest.

Our search for records is based primarily on the information you provide. In order to complete searches for locations in another zip code that are either adjacent to, or within one mile of the above-mentioned property, we will need additional zip codes or property addresses. Kindly submit the additional information in a FOIA request format, to Richard Van Holt, Freedom of Information Act Officer, at the above address.

The information requested on storage tanks, above ground or below ground, is not in the possession of the Land and Chemicals Division, but may be available from the State at the following address:

Maryland Dept. of the Environment 1800 Washington Blvd. Underground Storage Tank Management Baltimore, MD 21230-1719 Phone: (410) 537-3000

You may appeal this response to the National Freedom of Information Officer, U.S. EPA, Records, FOIA and Privacy Branch, 1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW (2822T), Washington, DC 20460, Fax: (202) 566-2147, E-mail: hq.foia@epa.gov. Only items mailed through the United States Postal Service may be delivered to 1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW. If you are submitting your appeal via hand delivery, courier service or overnight delivery, you must address your correspondence to 1301 Constitution Avenue, NW, Room 6416J, Washington, DC 20004. Your appeal must be made in writing, and it must be submitted no later than 30 calendar days from the date of this letter. The Agency will not consider appeals received after the 30 day calendar day limit. The appeal letter should include the FOI listed above. For quickest possible handling, the appeal letter and its envelope should be marked "Freedom of Information Act Appeal."

If you have any questions, feel free to contact Shanna Halpern, a member of my staff, at (215) 814-3396.

Sincerely,

Environmental Protection Specialist

Land and Chemicals Division

cc: Richard Van Holt (3PA00)



December 13, 2011

SUBJECT: Purple Line Hazardous Waste Investigations

FROM: Helen DuTeau, Chief

Community Involvement and Outreach Branch (3HS52)

TO: Richard Van Holt, FOIA Officer (3PA00)

DATE REQUEST RECEIVED: 11/28/11

REQUEST ID NUMBER: 03-FOI-00164-12

(ESTIMATED) COST

CERCLIS PRINTOUT OF: Montgomery & Prince George's Counties

This information is provided in response to the above Freedom of Information Act request regarding the Purple Line Hazardous Waste Investigations, located in Montgomery County, Maryland and Prince George's County, Maryland. CERCLIS does not have any information response to the above site location. However, enclosed is a printout of known, alleged, or potential hazardous waste sites listed in the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Information System (CERCLIS) report for Montgomery County and Prince George's County.

It should be noted that the CERCLIS system contains only those potential hazardous waste sites that have been brought to the attention of the Environmental Protection Agency prior to the last update. We cannot claim that it contains all the potential hazardous waste sites that exist. Therefore, although a certain property or area is not currently listed in CERCLIS, this is NOT a guarantee that a hazardous waste problem does not exist.

You may appeal this response to the National Freedom of Information Officer, U.S. EPA, Records, FOIA and Privacy Branch, 1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW (2822T), Washington, DC 20460, Fax: (202) 566-2147, E-mail: hq.foia @epa.gov. Only items mailed through the United States Postal Service may be delivered to 1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW. If you are submitting your appeal via hand delivery, courier service or overnight delivery, you must address your correspondence to 1301 Constitution Avenue, N.W., Room 6416J, Washington, DC 20004. The appeal must be made in writing, and it must be submitted no later than 30 calendar days from the date of this letter. The Agency will not consider appeals received after the 30 calendar day limit. The appeal letter should include the RIN listed above. For quickest possible handling, the appeal letter and its envelope should be marked "Freedom of Information Act Appeal."

If you have any questions, contact Cherese Peters, Environmental Protection Assistant at 215-814-3154.

Enclosure

Customer Service Hotline: 1-800-438-2474



December 7, 2011

Mr. Kevin DiMartino
Project Leader
Chesapeake Environmental Management, Inc.
260 Gateway Drive, Suite 21-C
Bel Air,MD 21014

Re: Freedom of Information Act Request (FOIA) No. 03-FOI-00164-12

Dear Mr. DiMartino:

Thank you for your Freedom of Information Act request dated 11/14/11, which was received on 11/28/11.

The Air Protection Division of the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Region III has no records relating to your request pertaining to Purple Line Hazardous Waste Investigations.

You may appeal this response to the National Freedom of Information Officer, U.S. EPA, FOIA and Privacy Branch, 1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. (2822T), Washington, DC 20460 (U.S. Postal Service Only), FAX: (202) 566-2147, E-mail: hq.foia@epa.gov. Only items mailed through the United States Postal Service may be delivered to 1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW. If you are submitting your appeal via hand delivery, courier service or overnight delivery, you must address your correspondence to 1301 Constitution Avenue, N.W., Room 6416J, Washington, DC 20004. Your appeal must be made in writing, and it must be submitted no later than 30 calendar days from the date of this letter. The Agency will not consider appeals received after the 30 calendar day limit. The appeal letter should include the FOI listed above. For quickest possible handling, the appeal letter and its envelope should be marked "Freedom of Information Act Appeal."

Sincerely,

Benita Graham, FOIA Coordinator

Air Protection Division



UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY REGION III 1650 Arch Street

January 31, 2012

Kevin DiMartino Chesapeake Environmental Management, Inc. 200 Gateway Drive Suite 21C Bel Air, MD 21014

Dear Mr. DiMartino:

This is in response to your Freedom of Information Act request Request Identification No. 0 3-FOI-00164-12 Purple Line Hazardous Waste Investigations

() Positive Determination (Material Enclosed) Cost (\$0)

(X) Requested information is not known to exist in EPA's Water Protection Division's possession.

You may appeal this response to the National Freedom of Information Officer, U.S. EPA, FOIA and Privacy Branch, 1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. (2822T), Washington, DC 20460 (U.S. Postal Service Only), FAX: (202) 566-2147, E-mail: hq.foia@epa.gov. Only items mailed through the United States Postal Service may be delivered to 1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW. If you are submitting your appeal via hand delivery, courier service or overnight delivery, you must address your correspondence to 1301 Constitution Avenue, N.W., Room 6416J, Washington, DC 20004. Your appeal must be made in writing, and it must be submitted no later than 30 calendar days from the date of this letter. The Agency will not consider appeals received after the 30 calendar day limit. The appeal letter should include the RIN listed above. For quickest possble handling, the appeal letter and its envelope should be marked "Freedom of Information Act Appeal

Sincerely

Josephine Watson

Water Protection Division

Richard Vanholt: FOIA Officer (3PA00)

Customer Service Hotline: 1-800-438-2474



Applying Practical Science to Improve Communities December 19, 2011

Mrs. Joane Mueller PIA Coordinator Maryland Department of the Environment 1800 Washington Blvd. Baltimore, MD 21230

RE: Request for Information concerning the Purple Line Hazardous Waste Investigations, Montgomery and Prince George's Counties, MD [CEM Project No.: 10-037.001]

Dear Mrs. Mueller:

We are currently contracted by the Maryland Transit Administration (MTA) to review any available data regarding pollution/contamination incidents that may have occurred in the vicinity of the study area located in Montgomery and Price George's Counties in Maryland.

Under the Public Information Act, I would like to request any regulatory records published for this location or the surrounding area in order to search for any information you may have regarding air, land, or water pollution incidents, including:

- Chemical or Petroleum releases
- Underground Storage Tanks (USTs)
- RCRA Generators
- Asbestos or lead paint information

- CERCLA or Superfund haz-waste sites
- Hazardous or Toxic materials/waste spills
- Clean Air Act, RCRA, TSCA, or SARA violations

The properties in question are summarized in the attached table [Attachment 1].

Thank you very much for your time and consideration. I would appreciate your permission to review this information as soon as possible. If the cost associated with this file search would exceed \$25, please contact me. If you have any additional questions, please do not hesitate to contact me at our offices at (410)-893-9016 at any time, or you can email me at kdimartino@cemscience.com.

Best Regards,

Kevin DiMartino

Kein Di Mastro

Chesapeake Environmental Management

Project Leader



UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY REGION III 1650 Arch Street

Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19103-2029

JAN 17 2012

Kevin DiMartino Project Leader Chesapeake Environmental Management, Inc. 260 Gateway Drive, Suite 21-C Bel Air, MD 21014

RE:

Purple Line Hazardous Waste Investigations, Baltimore, MD

Freedom of Information Act Request: # 03-FOI-00251-12

Dear Mr. DiMartino:

In response to the above-referenced Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) request, dated December 19, 2011 and received December 29, 2011, please be advised that the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA) Program, the Toxics Programs Branch, and the Pesticides/Asbestos Programs Branch, Land and Chemicals Division processed your request and found no information responsive. However, the RCRA Program is enclosing eight Compliance Monitoring & Enforcement (CM&E) Reports which may be helpful to you. The CM&E Reports show any violations or enforcement actions concerning facilities within the zip codes of interest.

Our search for records is based primarily on the information you provide. In order to complete searches for locations in another zip code that are either adjacent to, or within one mile of the above-mentioned property, we will need additional zip codes or property addresses. Kindly submit the additional information in a FOIA request format, to Richard Van Holt, Freedom of Information Act Officer, at the above address.

The information requested on storage tanks, above ground or below ground, is not in the possession of the Land and Chemicals Division, but may be available from the State at the following address:

Maryland Dept. of the Environment 1800 Washington Blvd. Underground Storage Tank Management Baltimore, MD 21230-1719 Phone: (410) 537-3000

You may appeal this response to the National Freedom of Information Officer, U.S. EPA. Records, FOIA and Privacy Branch, 1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW (2822T), Washington, DC 20460, Fax: (202) 566-2147, E-mail: hq.foia@epa.gov. Only items mailed through the United States Postal Service may be delivered to 1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW. If you are submitting your appeal via hand delivery, courier service or overnight delivery, you must address your correspondence to 1301 Constitution Avenue, NW, Room 6416J, Washington, DC 20004. Your appeal must be made in writing, and it must be submitted no later than 30 calendar days from the date of this letter. The Agency will not consider appeals received after the 30 day calendar day limit. The appeal letter should include the FOI listed above. For quickest possible handling, the appeal letter and its envelope should be marked "Freedom of Information Act Appeal."

If you have any questions, feel free to contact Shanna Halpern, a member of my staff, at (215) 814-3396.

Sincerely,

Environmental Protection Specialist

Land and Chemicals Division

cc: Richard Van Holt (3PA00)



UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY REGION III 1650 Arch Street Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19103-2029

January 17, 2012

Mr. Kevin DiMartino
Project Leader
Chesapeake Environmental Management, Inc.
260 Gateway Drive, Suite 21-C
Bel Air,MD 21014

Re: Freedom of Information Act Request (FOIA) No. 03-FOI-00251-12

Dear Mr. DiMartino:

Thank you for your Freedom of Information Act request dated 12/19/11, which was received on 12/29/11.

The Air Protection Division of the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Region III has no records relating to your request pertaining to Purple Line Hazardous Waste Investigations.

You may appeal this response to the National Freedom of Information Officer, U.S. EPA, FOIA and Privacy Branch, 1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. (2822T), Washington, DC 20460 (U.S. Postal Service Only), FAX: (202) 566-2147, E-mail: hq.foia@epa.gov. Only items mailed through the United States Postal Service may be delivered to 1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW. If you are submitting your appeal via hand delivery, courier service or overnight delivery, you must address your correspondence to 1301 Constitution Avenue, N.W., Room 6416J, Washington, DC 20004. Your appeal must be made in writing, and it must be submitted no later than 30 calendar days from the date of this letter. The Agency will not consider appeals received after the 30 calendar day limit. The appeal letter should include the FOI listed above. For quickest possible handling, the appeal letter and its envelope should be marked "Freedom of Information Act Appeal."

Sincerely,

Benita Graham, FOIA Coordinator

Air Protection Division



UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY REGION III 1650 Arch Street Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19103-2029

January 17, 2012

SUBJECT:

3FOI-0251-12

TO:

Richard VanHolt (3CG00)

Freedom of Information Officer

FROM:

Helen DuTeau, Chief (3HS52)

Community Involvement and

Outreach Branch

We wish to advise you that after a search of our CERCLIS database the Purple Lane Properties, located in Region III, were not found. Therefore, the Superfund Program Office has no records responsive to this request.

You may appeal this response, to the National Freedom of Information Officer, U. S. EPA, Records, FOIA and Privacy Branch, 1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW (2822T), Washington, DC 20460, Fax (202) 566-2147, E-mail: hq.foia@epa.gov. Only items mailed through the United States Postal Service may be delivered to 1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW. If you are submitting your appeal via hand delivery, courier service or overnight delivery, you must address your correspondence to 1301 Constitution Avenue, NW Room 64161, Washington, DC 20004. The appeal must be made in writing, and it must be submitted no later than 30 calender days form the date of this letter. The Agency will not consider appeals received after the 30 calender day limit. The appeal letter should include the RIN listed above. For quickest possible handling, the appeal letter should be marked "Freedom of Information Act Appeal".

If you have any questions regarding this matter please contact Henrietta Woodard (Environmental Protection Assistant) at 215-814-3164.

Customer Service Hotline: 1-800-438-2474



UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY REGION III 1650 Arch Street

January 31, 2012

Kevin DiMartino Chesapeake Environmental Management, Inc. 200 Gateway Drive Suite 21C Bel Air, MD 21014

Dear Mr. DiMartino:

This is in response to your Freedom of Information Act request Request Identification No. 0 3-FOI-00251-12 Purple Line Hazardous Waste Investigations

() Positive Determination (Material Enclosed) Cost (\$0)

(X) Requested information is not known to exist in EPA's Water Protection Division's possession.

You may appeal this response to the National Freedom of Information Officer, U.S. EPA, FOIA and Privacy Branch, 1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. (2822T), Washington, DC 20460 (U.S. Postal Service Only), FAX: (202) 566-2147, E-mail: hq.foia@epa.gov. Only items mailed through the United States Postal Service may be delivered to 1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW. If you are submitting your appeal via hand delivery, courier service or overnight delivery, you must address your correspondence to 1301 Constitution Avenue, N.W., Room 6416J, Washington, DC 20004. Your appeal must be made in writing, and it must be submitted no later than 30 calendar days from the date of this letter. The Agency will not consider appeals received after the 30 calendar day limit. The appeal letter should include the RIN listed above. For quickest possible handling, the appeal letter and its envelope should be marked "Freedom of Information Act Appeal

Sincerely

Josephine Watson

Water Protection Division

Richard Vanholt: FOIA Officer (3PA00)

Customer Service Hotline: 1-800-438-2474



Applying Practical Science to Improve Communities January 17, 2012

Mr. Richard Van Holt Freedom of Information Officer U.S. EPA Region 3 1650 Arch Street (3CG10) Philadelphia, PA 19103-2029

RE: Request for Information concerning the Purple Line Hazardous Waste Investigations, Montgomery and Prince George's Counties, MD [CEM Project No.: 10-037.001]

Dear Mr. Van Holt:

We are currently contracted by the Maryland Transit Administration (MTA) to review any available data regarding pollution/contamination incidents that may have occurred in the vicinity of the study area located in Montgomery and Price George's Counties in Maryland

Under the Freedom of Information Act, I would like to request any regulatory records published for this location or the surrounding area in order to search for any information you may have regarding air, land, or water pollution incidents, including:

- Chemical or Petroleum releases
- Underground Storage Tanks (USTs)
- RCRA Generators
- Asbestos or lead paint information

- CERCLA or Superfund haz-waste sites
- Hazardous or Toxic materials/waste spills
- Clean Air Act, RCRA, TSCA, or SARA violations

The properties in question are summarized in the attached table [Attachment 1].

Thank you very much for your time and consideration. I would appreciate your permission to review this information as soon as possible. If the cost associated with this file search would exceed \$25, please contact me. If you have any additional questions, please do not hesitate to contact me at our offices at (410)-893-9016 at any time, or you can email me at kdimartino@cemscience.com.

Best Regards,

Kein Di Mantino

Kevin DiMartino Chesapeake Environmental Management Project Leader



UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY REGION III 1650 Arch Street Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19103-2029

February 2, 2012

Mr. Kevin DiMartino
Project Leader
Chesapeake Environmental Management, Inc.
260 Gateway Drive, Suite 21-C
Bel Air,MD 21014

Re: Freedom of Information Act Request (FOIA) No. 03-FOI-00332-12

Dear Mr. DiMartino:

Thank you for your Freedom of Information Act request dated 01/17/12, which was received on 01/26/12.

The Air Protection Division of the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Region III has no records relating to your request pertaining to Purple Line Hazardous Waste Investigations.

You may appeal this response to the National Freedom of Information Officer, U.S. EPA, FOIA and Privacy Branch, 1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. (2822T), Washington, DC 20460 (U.S. Postal Service Only), FAX: (202) 566-2147, E-mail: hq.foia@epa.gov. Only items mailed through the United States Postal Service may be delivered to 1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW. If you are submitting your appeal via hand delivery, courier service or overnight delivery, you must address your correspondence to 1301 Constitution Avenue, N.W., Room 6416J, Washington, DC 20004. Your appeal must be made in writing, and it must be submitted no later than 30 calendar days from the date of this letter. The Agency will not consider appeals received after the 30 calendar day limit. The appeal letter should include the FOI listed above. For quickest possible handling, the appeal letter and its envelope should be marked "Freedom of Information Act Appeal."

Sincerely

Benita Graham, FOIA Coordinator

Air Protection Division



UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY REGION III

1650 Arch Street Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19103-2029

February 2, 2012

Kevin DiMartino Chesapeake Environmental Management, Inc. 260 Gateway Drive, suite 21C Bel Air, MD 21014

> Re: Purple Line Hazardous Waste Investigations Freedom of Information Act Request: 03-FOI-00332-12

Dear Mr. DiMartino:

In response to the above-referenced Freedom of Information Act request dated January 17, 2012 and received January 26, 2012, the Land and Chemicals Division searched its records and made the following determinations:

The Resource Conservation and Recovery Act Program found information responsive to your request. As agreed in earlier conversations between you and Shanna Halpern of my staff, we are sending you the Compliance Monitroing and Enforcement Data reports for the zip codes listed.

<u>The Pesticides/Asbestos Programs Branch and the Toxics Programs</u> found no information responsive to your request.

You may appeal this response to the National Freedom of Information Officer, U.S. EPA, Records, FOIA and Privacy Branch, 1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW (2822T), Washington, DC 20460, Fax: (202) 566-2147, E-mail: hq.foia@epa.gov. Only items mailed through the United States Postal Service may be delivered to 1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW. If you are submitting your appeal via hand delivery, courier service or overnight delivery, you must address your correspondence to 1301 Constitution Avenue, N.W., Room 6416J, Washington, DC 20004. Your appeal must be made in writing, and it must be submitted no later than 30 calendar days from the date of this letter. The Agency will not consider appeals received after the 30 calendar day limit. The appeal letter should include the RIN listed above. For quickest possible handling, the appeal letter and its envelope should be marked "Freedom of Information Act Appeal."

If you have any questions, feel free to contact Shanna Halpern, a member of my staff at (215) 814-3396.

Sincerely,

Evelyn Velazquez

Environmental Protection Specialist Land and Chemicals Division

Enclosures



UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY REGION III 1650 Arch Street Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19103-2029

February 15, 2012

SUBJECT:

3FOI-0332-12

TO:

Richard VanHolt (3CG00)

Freedom of Information Officer

FROM:

Helen DuTeau, Chief (3HS52) 9

Community Involvement and

Outreach Branch

We wish to advise you that after a search of our CERCLIS database the Purple Lane investigation, located in Montgomery and Prince George's Counties, Maryland, was not found. Therefore, the Superfund Program Office has no records responsive to this request.

You may appeal this response, to the National Freedom of Information Officer, U. S. EPA, Records, FOIA and Privacy Branch, 1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW (2822T), Washington, DC 20460, Fax (202) 566-2147, E-mail: hq.foia@epa.gov. Only items mailed through the United States Postal Service may be delivered to 1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW. If you are submitting your appeal via hand delivery, courier service or overnight delivery, you must address your correspondence to 1301 Constitution Avenue, NW Room 64161, Washington, DC 20004. The appeal must be made in writing, and it must be submitted no later than 30 calender days form the date of this letter. The Agency will not consider appeals received after the 30 calender day limit. The appeal letter should include the RIN listed above. For quickest possible handling, the appeal letter should be marked "Freedom of Information Act Appeal".

If you have any questions regarding this matter please contact Henrietta Woodard (Environmental Protection Assistant) at 215-814-3164.

Customer Service Hotline: 1-800-438-2474



UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY REGION III 1650 Arch Street

February 28, 2012

Kevin DiMartino Chesapeake Environmental Management,Inc. 260 Gateway Drive Suite 21-C Bel Air,MD 21014

Dear Mr DiMartino:

This is in response to your Freedom of Information Act request Request Identification No. 03-FOI-00332-12 Purple Line Hazardous Waste Investigations

() Positive Determination (Material Enclosed) Cost (\$0)

(X) Requested information is not known to exist in EPA's Water Protection Division's possession.

You may appeal this response to the National Freedom of Information Officer, U.S. EPA, FOIA and Privacy Branch, 1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. (2822T), Washington, DC 20460 (U.S. Postal Service Only), FAX: (202) 566-2147, E-mail: hq.foia@epa.gov. Only items mailed through the United States Postal Service may be delivered to 1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW. If you are submitting your appeal via hand delivery, courier service or overnight delivery, you must address your correspondence to 1301 Constitution Avenue, N.W., Room 6416J, Washington, DC 20004. Your appeal must be made in writing, and it must be submitted no later than 30 calendar days from the date of this letter. The Agency will not consider appeals received after the 30 calendar day limit. The appeal letter should include the RIN listed above. For quickest possble handling, the appeal letter and its envelope should be marked "Freedom of Information Act Appeal

Sincerely

Josephine Watson

Water Protection Division

Richard Vanholt: FOIA Officer (3PA00)

Customer Service Hotline: 1-800-438-2474



Applying Practical Science to Improve Communities February 8, 2012

Mr. Richard Van Holt Freedom of Information Officer U.S. EPA Region 3 1650 Arch Street (3CG10) Philadelphia, PA 19103-2029

RE: Request for Information concerning the Purple Line Hazardous Waste Investigations, Montgomery and Prince George's Counties, MD [CEM Project No.: 10-037.001]

Dear Mr. Van Holt:

We are currently contracted by the Maryland Transit Administration (MTA) to review any available data regarding pollution/contamination incidents that may have occurred in the vicinity of the study area located in Montgomery and Price George's Counties in Maryland

Under the Freedom of Information Act, I would like to request any regulatory records published for this location or the surrounding area in order to search for any information you may have regarding air, land, or water pollution incidents, including:

- · Chemical or Petroleum releases
- Underground Storage Tanks (USTs)
- RCRA Generators
- Asbestos or lead paint information

- CERCLA or Superfund haz-waste sites
- · Hazardous or Toxic materials/waste spills
- Clean Air Act, RCRA, TSCA, or SARA violations

The properties in question are summarized in the attached table [Attachment 1].

Thank you very much for your time and consideration. I would appreciate your permission to review this information as soon as possible. If the cost associated with this file search would exceed \$25, please contact me. If you have any additional questions, please do not hesitate to contact me at our offices at (410)-893-9016 at any time, or you can email me at kdimartino@cemscience.com.

Best Regards,

Kevin DiMartino

Kein Di Mastro

Chesapeake Environmental Management

Project Leader



UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY REGION III 1650 Arch Street Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19103-2029

February 15, 2012

SUBJECT:

3FOI-0393-12

TO:

Richard VanHolt (3CG00)

Freedom of Information Officer

FROM:

Helen DuTeau, Chief (3HS52)

Community Involvement and

Outreach Branch

We wish to advise you that after a search of our CERCLIS database the Purple Lane investigation, located in Montgomery and Prince George's Counties, Maryland, was not found. Therefore, the Superfund Program Office has no records responsive to this request.

You may appeal this response, to the National Freedom of Information Officer, U. S. EPA, Records, FOIA and Privacy Branch, 1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW (2822T), Washington, DC 20460, Fax (202) 566-2147, E-mail: hq.foia@epa.gov. Only items mailed through the United States Postal Service may be delivered to 1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW. If you are submitting your appeal via hand delivery, courier service or overnight delivery, you must address your correspondence to 1301 Constitution Avenue, NW Room 64161, Washington, DC 20004. The appeal must be made in writing, and it must be submitted no later than 30 calender days form the date of this letter. The Agency will not consider appeals received after the 30 calender day limit. The appeal letter should include the RIN listed above. For quickest possible handling, the appeal letter should be marked "Freedom of Information Act Appeal".

If you have any questions regarding this matter please contact Henrietta Woodard (Environmental Protection Assistant) at 215-814-3164.

Customer Service Hotline: 1-800-438-2474



UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY REGION III 1650 Arch Street Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19103-2029

February 22, 2012

Mr. Kevin DiMartino Project Leader Chesapeake Environmental Management, Inc. 260 Gateway Drive, Suite 21-C Bel Air,MD 21014

Re: Freedom of Information Act Request (FOIA) No. 03-FOI-00393-12

Dear Mr. DiMartino:

Thank you for your Freedom of Information Act request dated 02/08/12, which was received on 02/15/12.

The Air Protection Division of the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Region III has no records relating to your request pertaining to Purple Line Hazardous Waste Investigations.

You may appeal this response to the National Freedom of Information Officer, U.S. EPA, FOIA and Privacy Branch, 1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. (2822T), Washington, DC 20460 (U.S. Postal Service Only), FAX: (202) 566-2147, E-mail: hq.foia@epa.gov. Only items mailed through the United States Postal Service may be delivered to 1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW. If you are submitting your appeal via hand delivery, courier service or overnight delivery, you must address your correspondence to 1301 Constitution Avenue, N.W., Room 6416J, Washington, DC 20004. Your appeal must be made in writing, and it must be submitted no later than 30 calendar days from the date of this letter. The Agency will not consider appeals received after the 30 calendar day limit. The appeal letter should include the FOI listed above. For quickest possible handling, the appeal letter and its envelope should be marked "Freedom of Information Act Appeal."

Sincerely,

Benita Graham, FOIA Coordinator

Air Protection Division



UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY REGION III

1650 Arch Street Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19103-2029

February 24, 2012

Kevin DiMartino Chesapeake Environmental Management 260 Gateway Drive, suite 21-C Bel Air, MD 21014

Re: Purple Line Hazardous Waste Investigations
Freedom of Information Act Request: 03-FOI-00393-12

Dear Mr. DiMartino:

In response to the above-referenced Freedom of Information Act request dated February 8, 2012 and received February 15, 2012, the Land and Chemicals Division searched its records and:

The Resource Conservation and Recovery Act Program found no information responsive to your request. However as agreed in conversation with Shanna Halpern of my staff, we are sending you the Compliance Monitoring and Enforcement Data Reports for zip codes listed in your request.

The Toxics and Pesticides/Asbestos Programs Branch found no information responsive to your request.

You may appeal this response to the National Freedom of Information Officer, U.S. EPA, Records, FOIA and Privacy Branch, 1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW (2822T), Washington, DC 20460, Fax: (202) 566-2147, E-mail: hq.foia@epa.gov. Only items mailed through the United States Postal Service may be delivered to 1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW. If you are submitting your appeal via hand delivery, courier service or overnight delivery, you must address your correspondence to 1301 Constitution Avenue, N.W., Room 6416J, Washington, DC 20004. Your appeal must be made in writing, and it must be submitted no later than 30 calendar days from the date of this letter. The Agency will not consider appeals received after the 30 calendar day limit. The appeal letter should include the RIN listed above. For quickest possible handling, the appeal letter and its envelope should be marked "Freedom of Information Act Appeal."

If you have any questions, feel free to contact Shanna Halpern, a member of my staff at (215) 814-3396.

Sincerely,

Evelyn Velazquez

Environmental Protection Specialist

Land and Chemicals Division

Enclosures

cc: Richard Van Holt (3PA00)



UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY REGION III 1650 Arch Street

March 12, 2012

Kevin DiMartino, Project Leader Chesapeake Environmental Management,Inc. General Engineering Consultant Ream 100 South Charles Street,Tower 1, 10th Floor Baltimore, MD 21201

Dear Mr DiMartino:

This is in response to your Freedom of Information Act request Request Identification No. 03-FOI-00393-12 Purple Line Hazardous Waste Investigations

() Positive Determination (Material Enclosed)

(X) Requested information is not known to exist in EPA's Water Protection Division's possession.

Cost (\$0)

" Walson

You may appeal this response to the National Freedom of Information Officer, U.S. EPA, FOIA and Privacy Branch, 1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. (2822T), Washington, DC 20460 (U.S. Postal Service Only), FAX: (202) 566-2147, E-mail: hq.foia@epa.gov. Only items mailed through the United States Postal Service may be delivered to 1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW. If you are submitting your appeal via hand delivery, courier service or overnight delivery, yournust address your correspondence to 1301 Constitution Avenue, N.W., Room 6416J, Washington, DC 20004. Your appeal must be made in writing, and it must be submitted no later than 30 calendar days from the date of this letter. The Agency will not consider appeals received after the 30 calendar day limit. The appeal letter should include the RIN listed above. For quickest possble handling, the appeal letter and its envelope should be marked "Freedom of Information Act Appeal

Sincerely

Josephine Watson

Water Protection Division

Richard Vanholt: FOIA Officer (3PA00)

Customer Service Hotline: 1-800-438-2474

with the consumptions of the contract of the c



United States Department of the Interior

U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service Chesapeake Bay Field Office 177 Admiral Cochrane Drive Annapolis, MD 21401 410/573 4575



Online Certification Letter

	Projec	Transit	Line	Purple	roject:
	Projec	Transit	Line	Purple	Project:

Dear Applicant for online certification:

Thank you for choosing to use the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service Chesapeake Bay Field Office online list request certification resource. This letter confirms that you have reviewed the conditions in which this online service can be used. On our website (www.fws.gov/chesapeakebay) are the USGS topographic map areas where no federally proposed or listed endangered or threatened species are known to occur in Maryland, Washington D.C. and Delaware.

You have indicated that your project is located on the following USGS topographic map Washington East and Kensington

Based on this information and in accordance with section 7 of the Endangered Species Act (87 Stat. 884, as amended; 16 U.S.C. 1531 *et seq.*), we certify that except for occasional transient individuals, no federally proposed or listed endangered or threatened species are known to exist within the project area. Therefore, no Biological Assessment or further section 7 consultation with the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service is required. Should project plans change, or if additional information on the distribution of listed or proposed species becomes available, this determination may be reconsidered.

This response relates only to federally protected threatened or endangered species under our jurisdiction. For additional information on threatened or endangered species in Maryland, you should contact the Maryland Wildlife and Heritage Division at (410) 260-8540. For information in Delaware you should contact the Delaware Natural Heritage and Endangered Species Program, at (302) 653-2880. For information in the District of Columbia, you should contact the National Park Service at (202) 535-1739.

The U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service also works with other Federal agencies and states to minimize loss of wetlands, reduce impacts to fish and migratory birds, including bald eagles, and restore habitat for wildlife. Information on these conservation issues and how development projects can avoid affecting these resources can be found on our website (www.fws.gov/chesapeakebay).

We appreciate the opportunity to provide information relative to fish and wildlife issues, and thank you for your interest in these resources. If you have any questions or need further

assistance, please contact Chesapeake Bay Field Office Threatened and Endangered Species program at (410) 573-4531.

Sincerely,

Leopoldo Miranda Field Supervisor



United States Department of the Interior

U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service Chesapeake Bay Field Office 177 Admiral Cochrane Drive Annapolis, MD 21401 410/573 4575



Endangered Species List Review

Today's date	9/30/11	
Name	Bridgette Garner	
	Coastal Resources, Inc.	
street address	25 Old Solomons Island Road	
county	Anne Arundel	
city, state, zip	Annapolis, MD, 21401	
email	bridgetteg@coastal-resources.net	

My project is *not* located on one of the quad maps on the Chesapeake Bay Field Office web site.

Please send the endangered species and critical habitats list review to me at either the address above, or email a response. If additional information is required, please call me.

Project Location:

Street name		Π
City, state, zip	Montgomery and Prince George's Counties, MD	

Proposed Construction/ Refurbishment Activity:

(Example: The proposed project is to build 100 rental units to replace apartments that were razed. This is Phase I of a larger residential development.)

The Maryland Transit Administration is currently preparing a Final Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed Purple Line project located in Montgomery and Prince George's Counties, Maryland (see attached map). The proposed transitway is a 16 mile corridor between Bethesda and New Carrollton, Maryland (See Vicinity Map). The Purple Line will connect Metrorails's Red Line (Bethesda and Silver Spring stations), Green Line (College Park station), and Orange Line (New Carrollton station).

Enclosed are photographs (optional), either a location map or current topographic map, or a site map of the subject property.



United States Department of the Interior



FISH AND WILDLIFE SERVICE

Chesapeake Bay Field Office 177 Admiral Cochrane Drive Annapolis, Maryland 21401 http://www.fws.gov/chesapeakebay

October 27, 2011

Coastal Resources, Inc. 25 Old Solomons Island road Annapolis, MD 21401

RE: MD Transit Purple Line Project Montgomery and Prince Georges Counties MD

Dear Bridgette Gamer:

This responds to your letter, received September 30, 2011, requesting information on the presence of species which are federally listed or proposed for listing as endangered or threatened within the vicinity of the above reference project area. We have reviewed the information you enclosed and are providing comments in accordance with section 7 of the Endangered Species Act (87 Stat. 884, as amended; 16 U.S.C. 1531 et seq.).

Except for occasional transient individuals, no federally proposed or listed endangered or threatened species are known to exist within the project impact area. Therefore, no Biological Assessment or further section 7 Consultation with the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service is required. Should project plans change, or if additional information on the distribution of listed or proposed species becomes available, this determination may be reconsidered.

This response relates only to federally protected threatened or endangered species under our jurisdiction. For information on the presence of other rare species, you should contact Lori Byrne of the Maryland Wildlife and Heritage Division at (410) 260-8573.

Effective August 8, 2007, under the authority of the Endangered Species Act of 1973, as amended, the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (Service) removed (delist) the bald eagle in the lower 48 States of the United States from the Federal List of Endangered and Threatened Wildlife. However, the bald eagle will still be protected by the Bald and Golden Eagle Protection Act, Lacey Act and the Migratory Bird Treaty Act. As a result, starting on August 8, 2007, if your project may cause "disturbance" to the bald eagle, please consult the "National Bald Eagle Management Guidelines" dated May 2007.



If any planned or ongoing activities cannot be conducted in compliance with the National Bald Eagle Management Guidelines (Eagle Management Guidelines), please contact the Chesapeake Bay Ecological Services Field Office at 410-573-4573 for technical assistance. The Eagle Management Guidelines can be found at:

http://www.fws.gov/migratorybirds/issues/BaldEagle/NationalBaldEagleManagementGuid elines.pdf.

In the future, if your project can not avoid disturbance to the bald eagle by complying with the Eagle Management Guidelines, you will be able to apply for a permit that authorizes the take of bald and golden eagles under the Bald and Golden Eagle Protection Act, generally where the take to be authorized is associated with otherwise lawful activities. This proposed permit process will not be available until the Service issues a final rule for the issuance of these take permits under the Bald and Golden Eagle Protection Act.

An additional concern of the Service is wetlands protection. Federal and state partners of the Chesapeake Bay Program have adopted an interim goal of no overall net loss of the Basin's remaining wetlands, and the long term goal of increasing the quality and quantity of the Basin's wetlands resource base. Because of this policy and the functions and values wetlands perform, the Service recommends avoiding wetland impacts. All wetlands within the project area should be identified, and if construction in wetlands is proposed, the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Baltimore District, should be contacted for permit requirements. They can be reached at (410) 962-3670.

We appreciate the opportunity to provide information relative to fish and wildlife issues, and thank you for your interests in these resources. If you have any questions or need further assistance, please contact Devin Ray at (410) 573-4531.

Sincerely,

Leopoldo Miranda

Jan Mi

Supervisor



Martin O'Malley Governor

Anthony G. Brown
Lt. Governor

Richard Eberhart Hall Secretary

Matthew J. Power
Deputy Secretary

August 19, 2011

John Newton, Manager Environmental Planning Division Office of Planning Maryland Transit Administration 6 St. Paul Street Baltimore, MD 21202-1614

Re: Purr

Purple Line Corridor Transit Study

Historic Structures Investigations – Determination of Eligibility Forms

Montgomery and Prince George's Counties, Maryland

Dear Mr. Newton:

Thank you for your recent letter, received by the Maryland Historical Trust (Trust) on 2 August 2011, which provided us with Determination of Eligibility (DOE) Forms produced for the above-referenced undertaking. The Trust, Maryland's State Historic Preservation Office, reviewed the materials as part of our ongoing consultation for this undertaking, pursuant to Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act of 1966, as amended. We offer the following comments and recommendations regarding the historic structures investigations and next steps in the Section 106 consultation process, as presented below and in the attachment to this letter.

Trust's Comments on the DOE Forms: Trust staff reviewed the Determination of Eligibility (DOE) Forms prepared by Parsons Brinkerhoff on behalf of the Maryland Transit Administration (MTA). MTA's submittal of 25 DOE forms represents the first phase of historic structure investigations for the current Purple Line planning study. The feedback presented below and in the attachment should be incorporated into the forthcoming DOEs for the remaining resources within the study area.

Overall, the excellent quality of the DOE forms indicates a great understanding of the region and its suburban property types by the consultants. The properties are thoroughly described, well researched and benefit from the application of existing historic contexts. MTA identified and evaluated all built resources and landscapes more than 40 years of age as of 2010. The 40-year age threshold is intended to encompass all elements of the built environment that will become 50 years old during the period of project planning and construction. Since the ultimate goal of this survey effort is to identify all historic properties, Criteria Consideration G does not need to be applied to properties less than 50 years old in order to establish DOE consensus between our agencies for project planning purposes. We believe that sufficient historical perspective exists to evaluate the National Register eligibility of these properties within the multiple historic contexts established for suburban Washington, D.C. Requiring that properties must be exceptionally important today is contrary to the objective of making eligibility decisions for all properties that will be at least 50 years old by the conclusion of project planning.

Our comments regarding the eligibility of historic properties for listing in the National Register of Historic Places are provided below.

The following property is **eligible** for listing in the National Register of Historic Places:

• Sligo Adventist School (M: 37-33); this property is eligible under National Register Criterion A since it represents the continuing influence of Adventists in the community and under National Register Criterion C as an exceptionally well-preserved example of mid-twentieth century Modern school design.

John Newton
Purple Line Corridor Transit Study
Historic Structures Investigations – Determination of Eligibility Forms
Page 2 of 2

The following properties are **not eligible** for listing in the National Register of Historic Places:

- Auburn Manor Apartments (PG:69-43)
- Campus Gardens Apartments (PG:65-31)
- Chevy Chase Hills (M: 35-166)
- Clean Drinking Farm (M: 35-167)
- Clifton Park Village (M: 37-26)
- Flower Branch Apartments (M: 32-24)
- Forest Hills Apartments (M: 32-22)
- Forest Hills of Sligo Park (M: 32-23)
- Foxhall Apartments (M: 37-27)
- Goodacre-Pine Ridge Apartments (M: 37-28)
- Langley Gardens Apartments (PG:65-33)
- London Terrace (M: 32-25)
- ManorCare Health Services Facility (M: 35-169)
- New Carrollton Woods Apartments (PG:69-45)
- Paddington Square Apartments (M: 36-64)
- Park Wayne Apartments (M: 32-36)
- Parkview Gardens Apartments (PG:69-46)
- Pickwick Village (M: 32-18)
- Round Hill Apartments (M: 36-65)
- Sligo Terrace Apartments (M: 32-27)
- University City Apartments (PG:65-38)
- University Landing Apartments (PG:65-41)
- Wayne Manchester Towers (M: 32-29)
- Wildercroft Terrace Apartments (PG:69-56)

Section 106 – Next Steps: To facilitate our ongoing consultation and ensure the effective resolution of historic preservation review, we request that MTA provide the Trust with an overview of the current undertaking. MTA should describe the Locally Preferred Alternative, delineate the Area of Potential Effects (APE), identify potential consulting parties and complete the cultural resource studies. We recognize that the DOEs discussed above comprise the first of several planned DOE submittals. However, we cannot provide meaningful comments on the adequacy of the overall survey effort until MTA affords the Trust an opportunity to review and comment on the APE for this undertaking.

We look forward to ongoing consultation with MTA and other involved parties to successfully complete the Section 106 review of the Purple Line as project planning progresses. If you have questions or require additional information, please contact Beth Cole (for archeology) at bcole@mdp.state.md.us / 410-514-7631 or Tim Tamburrino (for historic built environment) at ttamburrino@mdp.state.md.us / 410-514-7637.

Thank you for your ongoing cooperation on this undertaking.

Sincerely,

Y. Rodney Little

Director/State Historic Preservation Officer

EJC/201103054

Attachment – MHT's Comments on the Purple Line DOE forms

cc: Henry Ward (PB)

Bob Pillote (Columbia Country Club)

Attachment 1 – MHT's Comments on Purple Line DOE Forms:

Photos

- Please prepare a new DVD/CD disk that addresses the following issues:
 - Disk must be labeled with MIHP number and date;
 - Disk must only contain image files and photo logs;
 - The some image files are incorrectly named. You must use a colon after the county code (PG) in the name instead of an underscore;

Mapping

- Please provide two copies of the quadrangle-based location map for each DOE form;
- Please use a thicker line to delineate the outline of property boundaries.
- Please provide an overall map that illustrates the project's APE and illustrates the location of all known historic properties within the APE.

Forms

- Please include a printed photo log with each DOE form.
- Park Wayne Apartments (M: 32-36) DOE form includes contextual information pertaining to Prince George's County instead of Montgomery County. Please correct the property history section and provide our office with a revised DOE for this resource.
- We greatly appreciate the photographs and descriptions of individual representative buildings within the single-family residential neighborhoods. However, the overall character of the neighborhood would be more successfully portrayed if the DOE forms included more streetscape photographs. For future submittals, please provide additional photographs illustrating the overall neighborhood streetscape.
- In the future, please organize the forms either geographically or by MIHP number.



FILE COPY

Martin O'Malley Governor

Anthony C. Drown Lt. Governor

Maryland Department of Planning Maryland Historical Trust

Richard Eberhart Hall Secretary

> Matthew J. Power Deputy Secretary

March 13, 2012

Michele Destra
Director, Office of Planning and Program Management
U.S. Department of Transportation
Federal Transit Administration
1760 Market Street, Suite 500
Philadelphia, PA 19103-4124

Re: Purple Line Corridor Transit Study

Historic Structures Investigations – Determination of Eligibility Forms

Montgomery and Prince George's Counties, Maryland

Dear Ms. Destra:

Thank you for providing the Maryland Historical Trust (Trust) with Determination of Eligibility (DOE) Forms produced for the above-referenced undertaking. The Trust has reviewed the materials as part of our ongoing consultation for this undertaking, pursuant to Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act of 1966, as amended. We offer the following comments and recommendations regarding the historic structures investigations.

Trust staff reviewed the Determination of Eligibility (DOE) Forms prepared by Parsons Brinkerhoff on behalf of the Maryland Transit Administration (MTA). MTA's submittal of 25 DOE forms represents the second phase of historic structure investigations for the current Purple Line planning study.

Once again, the excellent quality of the DOE forms indicates a great understanding of the region and its suburban property types by the project consultants. The properties are thoroughly described, well researched and benefit from the application of existing historic contexts. Our comments regarding the eligibility of historic properties for listing in the National Register of Historic Places are provided below.

The following properties are eligible for listing in the National Register of Historic Places:

- Preston Place (M: 35-170); this property is eligible under National Register Criteria A and C as an example of post-WWII suburban townhouse development in Maryland. Designed by the architectural firm Bagley & Soulé and developed by the Chevy Chase Land Company, Preston Place is an early and representative use of the townhouse form. The same developer attracted nationwide interest when the Preston Place design was later replicated in Manassas, Virginia at the community known as Georgetown South. Preston Place is one component of the mixed-use Chevy Chase Lake community that was developed over time by the Chevy Chase Land Company. Still owned and managed by the Land Company, the Colonial Revival style buildings and grounds retain a high degree of integrity.
- M-NCPPC Department of Parks and Recreation Headquarters (M: 68-101); this property was previously recommended eligible for listing in the National Register as part of the *Modern Movement in Maryland* survey conducted in 2005. The building is eligible under National Register Criterion C.

John Newton Purple Line Corridor Transit Study Historic Structures Investigations – Determination of Eligibility Forms Page 2 of 2

The following properties are **not eligible** for listing in the National Register of Historic Places:

- Summit Hills Apartments (M. 32-28)
- Yeabower Tract Apartments (M: 32-30)
- Hamlet Place (M: 35-168)
- . Preston Place (M: 35-170) TJT 10/2/2012 REVISION
- Rock Creek Estates (M: 35-171)
- Topaz House (M: 35-172)
- Henderson's Addition to Woodside (M: 36-63)
- Rock Creek Terrace (M: 36-70)
- Long Branch View (M: 37-29)
- New Hampshire Estates (M: 37-30)
- New Hampshire Gardens (M: 37-31)
- Rolling Terrace (M: 37-32)
- Adelphi Manor (M: 65-30)
- Langley Park Apartments (M: 65-34)
- Riggs Hill Condominiums (M: 65-36)
- Tacoma-Langley Crossroads Commercial District (M: 65-37)
- University Gardens Apartments (M: 65-40)
- University Baptist Church (M: 66-70)
- University United Methodist Church (M: 66-71)
- University Hills Apartments (M: 68-108)
- Riverdale Baptist Church (M: 69-12)
- Ascension Lutheran Church (M: 69-42)
- Prince Georgetown Apartments (M: 69-47)
- St. Bernard of Clairvaux (M: 69-52)

It appears that a CD containing the DOE database and digital photographs was not included with this submittal. In order to allow our office to process these inventory forms, please send us the electronic data at your earliest convenience.

We look forward to ongoing consultation with MTA and other involved parties to successfully complete the Section 106 review of the Purple Line as project planning progresses. If you have questions or require additional information, please contact Beth Cole (for archeology) at bcole@mdp.state.md.us / 410-514-7631 or Tim Tamburrino (for historic built environment) at ttamburrino@mdp.state.md.us / 410-514-7637.

Thank you for your ongoing cooperation on this undertaking.

Sincerely,

J. Rodney Little

Director/State Historic Preservation Officer

EJC/201200180

cc: John Newton (MTA)

John Martin (Gannett Flemming) Bob Pillote (Columbia Country Club)



Maryland Department of Planning Maryland Historical Trust

Governor Anthony G. Brown Lt. Governor

Martin O'Malley

Richard Eberhart Hall Secretary

Matthew J. Power
Deputy Secretary

April 3, 2012

Michele Destra
Director, Office of Planning and Program Management
U.S. Department of Transportation
Federal Transit Administration
1760 Market Street, Suite 500
Philadelphia, PA 19103-4124



Re:

Purple Line Corridor Transit Study

Historic Structures Investigations - Determination of Eligibility Forms

Montgomery and Prince George's Counties, Maryland

Dear Ms. Destra:

Thank you for providing the Maryland Historical Trust (Trust) with Determination of Eligibility (DOE) Forms produced for the above-referenced undertaking. The Trust has reviewed the materials as part of our ongoing consultation for this undertaking, pursuant to Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act of 1966, as amended. We offer the following comments and recommendations regarding the historic structures investigations.

Trust staff reviewed the Determination of Eligibility (DOE) Forms prepared by John Milner Associates, Inc. on behalf of the Maryland Transit Administration (MTA). MTA's submittal of 8 DOE forms and 5 Addendum forms represents the third phase of historic structure investigations for the current Purple Line planning study. Our comments regarding the eligibility of historic properties for listing in the National Register of Historic Places (National Register) are provided below.

The following properties are **not eligible** for listing in the National Register:

- Brooks Photographers (M: 35-14-6);
- Community Paint and Hardware (M: 35-14-7); We disagree with the consultant's recommendation that this building is eligible for listing in the National Register. It is the Trust's opinion that this moved building does not possess sufficient integrity to convey significance under any of the National Register Criteria;
- Columbia Forest/Meadowbrook Village Subdivision (M: 35-145);
- Old Masonic Temple (M: 36-17);
- Ertter's Market (M: 36-28);
- North Woodside Subdivision (M: 36-45);
- Lakeland (PG:66-000); We have determined that the Lakeland community is not eligible for listing in the National Register, however, the Lakeland Rosenwald High School (PG:66-14) may be individually eligible. If MTA determines that this property is within the APE for the undertaking, then we request that a separate DOE be prepared for this resource.

We have also accepted the revised National Register boundary of the Engineering and Research Corporation (PG:68-22) and the five Addendum forms prepared to update our MIHP records.

Michele Destra Purple Line Corridor Transit Study Historic Structures Investigations – Determination of Eligibility Forms Page 2 of 2

We look forward to ongoing consultation with MTA and other involved parties to successfully complete the Section106 review of the Purple Line as project planning progresses. If you have questions or require additional information, please contact Beth Cole (for archeology) at bcole@mdp.state.md.us / 410-514-7631 or Tim Tamburrino (for historic built environment) at ttamburrino@mdp.state.md.us / 410-514-7637.

Thank you for your ongoing cooperation on this undertaking.

Sincerely,

J. Rodney Little

Director/State Historic Preservation Officer

JRL/EJC/TJT 201200526/201200753

cc.

John Newton (MTA)

John Martin (Gannett Flemming)
Bob Pillote (Columbia Country Club)



Maryland Department of Planning Maryland Historical Trust

Martin O'Malley Governor

Anthony G. Brown
Lt. Governor

Richard Eberhart Hall Secretary

> Matthew J. Power Deputy Secretary

May 1, 2012

Brigid Hynes-Cherin Regional Administrator U.S. Department of Transportation Federal Transit Administration 1760 Market Street, Suite 500 Philadelphia, PA 19103-4124


Re:

Purple Line Light Rail Study

Archeological Investigations – Phase IB Archeological Survey Report

Montgomery and Prince George's Counties, Maryland

Dear Ms. Hynes-Cherin:



Trust staff reviewed examined the following draft report submitted with your letter: Phase IB Archeological Survey of Light Rail Alignment Areas Associated with the Purple Line Project, Montgomery and Prince George's Counties, Maryland (Proper et al. 2012). Dovetail Cultural Resource Group prepared the draft report on behalf of the Maryland Transit Administration (MTA). The report presents detailed documentation of the survey's goals, methods, results and recommendations. The draft is well written and contains useful illustrations to document the survey efforts. The draft meets the reporting requirements of the Trust's Standards and Guidelines for Archeological Investigations in Maryland (Shaffer and Cole 1994). Attachment 1 lists the Trust's specific comments on the draft itself. We ask FTA/MTA to have its consultant address these issues in the preparation of the final report and look forward to receiving two copies of the final document for our library.

The survey examined 17 areas identified as having archeological potential as part of the planning study - Phase IA Archeological Assessment Survey of the Purple Line Locally Preferred Alternative from Bethesda, Montgomery County to New Carrollton, Prince George's County, Maryland (March 3, 2011). The investigations identified five newly recorded archeological sites within the areas tested The report recommends four sites as ineligible for the National Register of Historic Places and one site that would require further Phase II evaluation, if slated for impact, to determine its National Register eligibility.

Sites 18PR1035 and 18PR1036 consist of a light scatter of historic artifacts dating from the mid-19th – mid 20th c. Shovel testing recovered 25 artifacts from 18PR1035 and 14 artifacts from 18PR1026 comprising architectural remains and domestic materials. The shovel test pits also revealed extensive disturbance of the

Brigid Hynes-Cherin Purple Line Light Rail Study Archeological Investigations – Phase IB Archeological Survey Report May 1, 2012 Page 2 of 4

site areas from utility work, adjacent road and Metro tracks construction, and filling activities. The investigations did not identify any intact features or other cultural deposits at the sites. Site 18PR1035 likely represents the remains of former historic occupation in the area and/or roadside dumping. The property where site 18PR1036 is situated was originally part of the larger Riverdale plantation tract, so it is probable that the site relates to former historic uses of Riverdale. Based on the information presented in the report, the Trust concurs with FTA/MTA that 18PR1035 and 18PR1036 do not meet the criteria for eligibility in the National Register, given the sites' lack of potential to yield important information and loss of integrity.

The remaining three sites are situated in the median of the Baltimore Washington Parkway, owned by the National Park Service (NPS). 18PR1032 consists of a moderate scatter of historic artifacts dating from the late 19th – early 20th c. Thirty eight shovel tests recovered 339 artifacts including architectural, domestic, and personal items. The testing also identified concrete structural remains of a foundation or possible enclosure. The site area corresponds with the location of a structure illustrated on the 1901 quadrangle. We agree that further Phase II investigations of 18PR1032 would be warranted to conclusively determine the site's National Register eligibility, if the site is slated for impact,. We understand that MTA has identified alignment modifications that would successfully avoid disturbance to this site.

Site 18PR1033 consists of a low density scatter of historic artifacts from the late 18th – early 19th c. Testing recovered 8 artifacts, including architectural and domestic items, from 7 shovel test pits. Site 18PR1034 includes 25 artifacts recovered from a single shovel test. The materials consist primarily of glass fragments dating from the early – mid 20th c. and likely represent trash dumping from a former 20th c. dwelling in the vicinity. The investigations did not identify any intact features or other cultural deposits at the sites. The report concludes that 18PR1033 and 18PR1034 do not meet the criteria for eligibility in the National Register given the sites' lack of potential to yield important information and loss of integrity and the Trust concurs with this recommendation. Nevertheless, since the sites are located on federal NPS-owned land, FTA/MTA should afford NPS the opportunity to share their views regarding the resources identified on their property. Please provide the Trust with a copy of any NPS comments received. We may revise our recommendations, if needed, based on any relevant NPS remarks.

The Trust's prior letter (July 8, 2011) on the Phase IA Archeological Assessment study raised questions regarding several previously inventoried archeological sites located within or in close proximity to the LPA. Based on the information presented in the draft Phase I report, we agree that the following archeological sites are located outside the LPA's area of potential effect: 18MO356, 18PR200 and 18PR257. The current LPA includes portions of site 18PR258, the archeological component of the ERCO complex (PG: 68-22). As part of FTA/MTA's architectural identification efforts, the Trust recently reconfirmed that the ERCO complex is eligible for the National Register under Criteria A and C, and concurred with revised boundaries for the eligible property. The archeological component of the resource has lost its integrity, due to new construction and multiple disturbances within the larger site area. Thus, it is our opinion that the archeological site 18PR258 does not contribute to the significance of the architectural resource and is not eligible for the National Register. Further consideration of these four previously inventoried resources is not needed based on the project plans at this time.

Completion of the Phase I archeological survey essentially concludes FTA/MTA's efforts to identify archeological sites that may be affected by the project, given available project information at this time.

Brigid Hynes-Cherin Purple Line Light Rail Study Archeological Investigations – Phase IB Archeological Survey Report May 1, 2012 Page 3 of 4

The project as currently planned appears unlikely to impact any National Register eligible archeological sites. Additional survey may be warranted due to alignment modifications and the inclusion of additional areas needed for ancillary actions (such as environmental site design and mitigation areas, etc.) We await further coordination regarding any modifications to the APE and additional cultural resources investigations needed for those new areas.

We look forward to ongoing consultation with FTA, MTA and other involved parties to successfully complete the Section106 review of the Purple Line as project planning progresses. If you have questions or require additional information, please contact Tim Tamburrino (for historic built environment) at ttamburrino@mdp.state.md.us / 410-514-7637 or me (for archeology) at bcole@mdp.state.md.us / 410-514-7631 or. Thank you for your ongoing cooperation on this undertaking.

Sincerely,

Beth Cole

Administrator, Project Review and Compliance

Seth Cole

EJC/201202249

Attachment 1 – Trust Comments on Draft Phase IB Report

cc: John Newton (MTA)

John Martin (Gannett Flemming)

David Hayes (NPS NCR)

Stephen Potter (NPS NCR)

Jennifer Stabler (M-NCPPC, Prince George's County)

Heather Bouslog (M-NCPPC, Montgomery County)

Charles Hall (MHT)

Becky Morehouse (MHT-JPPM)

Brigid Hynes-Cherin Purple Line Light Rail Study Archeological Investigations – Phase IB Archeological Survey Report May 1, 2012 Page 4 of 4

ATTACHMENT 1 TRUST COMMENTS ON DRAFT REPORT PHASE IB ARCHEOLOGICAL SURVEY OF PURPLE LINE LIGHT RAIL ALIGNMENT

- 1. Please correct all references to the Trust in the text and on the cover to read *Maryland Historical Trust*.
- 2. The clarity of Figure 3 needs improvement, so the exact locations of the LPA and archeologically sensitive survey areas are easily distinguishable on the USGS quadrangle. It may be advisable to produce the figure at a larger scale in sections on multiple pages to enhance its readability.
- 3. The Area B section should discuss archeological site 18MO356, which appears to be located immediately north and outside of the APE, and clarify its relation to the APE.
- 4. The Area H and I sections should add a discussion of the previously inventoried ERCO archeological site (18PR256) recorded in this locale. As described above, it is our opinion that the archeological site is not eligible for the National Register since it does not contribute to the significance of the associated ERCO complex (PG: 68-22).
- 5. The Area P section mentions a small portion of Area P to which access for survey was denied. In light of the overall fieldwork results, and specific potential of this area, the report should address whether or not survey of this area is still warranted. This recommendation, with appropriate justification, should be presented in the concluding chapter.
- 6. The Summary and Recommendations should include a figure that illustrates the avoidance of archeological site 18PR1032, if available, to document that Phase II evaluation of the site is not warranted at this time.
- 7. Appendix B should include the appropriate lot numbers assigned to the non-NPS owned artifacts that will be curated at the Trust's MAC Lab.



Maryland Department of Planning Maryland Historical Trust

Martin O'Malley Governor

Anthony G. Brown Lt. Governor Richard Eberhart Hall Secretary

Matthew J. Power
Deputy Secretary

June 12, 2012

Michele Destra
Director, Office of Planning and Program Management
U.S. Department of Transportation
Federal Transit Administration
1760 Market Street, Suite 500
Philadelphia, PA 19103-4124

Re: Purple Line Corridor Transit Study

Historic Structures Investigations - Determination of Eligibility Forms

Montgomery and Prince George's Counties, Maryland

Dear Ms. Destra:

Thank you for providing the Maryland Historical Trust (Trust) with Determination of Eligibility (DOE) Forms produced for the above-referenced undertaking. The Trust has reviewed the materials as part of our ongoing consultation for this undertaking, pursuant to Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act of 1966, as amended. We offer the following comments and recommendations regarding the historic structures investigations.

Trust staff reviewed the Determination of Eligibility (DOE) Forms prepared by Parsons Brinkerhoff on behalf of the Maryland Transit Administration (MTA). MTA's submittal of 23 DOE forms represents the fourth phase of historic structure investigations for the current Purple Line planning study. Our comments regarding the eligibility of historic properties for listing in the National Register of Historic Places (National Register) are provided below.

The following property is **eligible** for listing in the National Register:

• Martins Woods (PG:72-68), eligible under Criterion C.

The following properties are **not eligible** for listing in the National Register:

- The Barrington Apartments (M: 36-62);
- Sixteenth Street Village (M: 36-66);
- Rosemary Knolls (M: 36-67);
- Rosemary Hills Elementary School (M: 36-68);
- Rosemary Hills (M: 36-69);
- Chatham (PG:65-32):
- Lewisdale (PG:65-35);
- University Gardens (PG:65-39);
- Columbia Apartment (PG:66-26);
- Green Manor (PG:68-105);
- Gretta Addition to Riverdale (PG:68-106):
- University Estates (PG:68-107);
- Ardwick Historic Community (PG:69-23);
- Eastpines (PG:69-44);
- Riverdale Heights (PG:69-48);

Michele Destra Purple Line Corridor Transit Study Historic Structures Investigations – Determination of Eligibility Forms Page 2 of 2

- Riverdale Hills (PG:69-49);
- Riverdale Plaza (PG:69-50);
- Riverdale Woods (PG:69-51);
- West Lanham Estates (PG:69-53);
- West Lanham Hills (PG:69-54);
- Wildercroft Elementary School (PG:69-55);
- Lanham Woods (PG:72-67).

We look forward to ongoing consultation with MTA and other involved parties to successfully complete the Section106 review of the Purple Line as project planning progresses. If you have questions or require additional information, please contact Beth Cole (for archeology) at bcole@mdp.state.md.us / 410-514-7631 or Tim Tamburrino (for historic built environment) at ttamburrino@mdp.state.md.us / 410-514-7637.

Thank you for your ongoing cooperation on this undertaking.

Sincerely,

J. Rodney Little

Director/State Historic Preservation Officer

JRL/EJC/TJT 201201569

cc:

John Newton (MTA)

John Martin (Gannett Flemming) Stephanie Foell (Parsons Brinkerhoff) Bob Pillote (Columbia Country Club)



Maryland Department of Planning Maryland Historical Trust

Martin O'Malley Governor

Anthony G. Brown Lt. Governor Richard Eberhart Hall Secretary

> Matthew J. Power Deputy Secretary

September 13, 2012

Michele Destra
Director, Office of Planning and Program Management
U.S. Department of Transportation
Federal Transit Administration
1760 Market Street, Suite 500
Philadelphia, PA 19103-4124

Re:

Purple Line Corridor Transit Study

Historic Structures Investigations – Determination of Eligibility Forms ("Batch 5")

Montgomery and Prince George's Counties, Maryland

Dear Ms. Destra:

Thank you for providing the Maryland Historical Trust (Trust) with Determination of Eligibility (DOE) Forms produced for the above-referenced undertaking. The Trust has reviewed the materials as part of our ongoing consultation for this undertaking, pursuant to Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act of 1966, as amended. We offer the following comments and recommendations regarding the historic structures investigations.

Trust staff reviewed the Determination of Eligibility (DOE) Forms prepared by John Milner Associates, Inc. on behalf of the Maryland Transit Administration (MTA). MTA's submittal of 10 DOE forms, 145 Short Form DOEs and 3 MIHP Addenda represents the fifth phase of historic structure investigations for the current Purple Line planning study. Our comments regarding the eligibility of historic properties for listing in the National Register of Historic Places (National Register) are provided below.

The following properties are eligible for listing in the National Register:

- Madonna of the Trails Statue (M: 35-14-02);
- First Baptist Church of Silver Spring (M: 36-61);
- Rossborough Inn (PG:66-2);
- College Lawn Station (PG:66-3);
- College Park Volunteer Fire Department Building (PG:66-33), contributes to the Calvert Hills Historic District (PG:66-37);
- dwelling, 4808 Erskine Road (PG:66-37-26), contributes to the Calvert Hills Historic District (PG:66-37);
- dwelling, 4811 Guilford Road (PG:66-37-37), contributes to the Calvert Hills Historic District (PG:66-37); and
- The Forbes House/The Lustron House (PG:66-37-41), contributes to the Calvert Hills Historic District (PG:66-37).

The following properties are <u>not eligible</u> for listing in the National Register:

- Kropps Addition (PG:66-73);
- Wormley House (PG:69-17); and
- We concur that all 145 resources documented with the "Short Form for Ineligible eligible for listing in the National Register.

SEP 17 2012

Michele Destra
Purple Line Corridor Transit Study
Historic Structures Investigations – Determination of Eligibility Forms
Page 2 of 2

We look forward to ongoing consultation with MTA and other involved parties to successfully complete the Section 106 review of the Purple Line as project planning progresses. If you have questions or require additional information, please contact Beth Cole (for archeology) at bcole@mdp.state.md.us / 410-514-7631 or Tim Tamburrino (for historic built environment) at ttamburrino@mdp.state.md.us / 410-514-7637.

Thank you for your ongoing cooperation on this undertaking.

Sincerely,

J. Rodney Little

Director/State Historic Preservation Officer

JRL/EJC/TJT 201202733

Estabeth Hyde

cc:

John Newton (MTA)

John Martin (Gannett Flemming) Bob Pillote (Columbia Country Club)



Maryland Department of Planning Maryland Historical Trust

Martin O'Malley Governor

Anthony G. Brown Lt. Governor Richard Eberhart Hall Secretary

> Matthew J. Power Deputy Secretary

October 23, 2012

Brigid Hynes-Cherin Regional Administrator U.S. Department of Transportation Federal Transit Administration 1760 Market Street, Suite 500 Philadelphia, PA 19103-4124

Re: Purple Line Corridor Transit Study

Historic Structures Investigations – Determination of Eligibility Forms ("Batch 6")

Montgomery and Prince George's Counties, Maryland

Dear Ms. Hynes-Cherin:

Thank you for providing the Maryland Historical Trust (Trust) with Determination of Eligibility (DOE) Forms produced for the above-referenced undertaking. The Trust has reviewed the materials as part of our ongoing consultation for this undertaking, pursuant to Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act of 1966, as amended. We offer the following comments and recommendations regarding the historic structures investigations.

Trust staff reviewed the Determination of Eligibility (DOE) Forms prepared by Dovetail Cultural Resource Group, Inc. on behalf of the Maryland Transit Administration (MTA). MTA's submittal of 20 DOE forms, represents the sixth phase of historic structure investigations for the current Purple Line planning study. Our comments regarding the eligibility of historic properties for listing in the National Register of Historic Places (National Register) are provided below.

The following property is **eligible** for listing in the National Register:

• Bethesda-Chevy Chase High School (M: 35-14-14): Only the 1935 school and 1952 administration building are eligible for listing in the National Register. The later additions do not contribute to the significance of the resource.

The following properties are **not eligible** for listing in the National Register:

- Chevy Chase Section 4-A (M: 35-174);
- Chevy Chase Section 4-D (Edgevale) (M: 35-175);
- Rock Creek Knolls (M: 35-176):
- Chevy Chase Lake Commercial Center (M: 35-177);
- Chevy Chase Lake East Commercial Center (M: 35-178);
- St. Michael's Catholic Church (M: 36-72);
- Pilgrim Church Tract (M: 36-73);
- Cissel-Lee Building (M: 36-74);
- Highland View of Sligo Park, Section 2 and 4 (M: 36-77);
- Leighton's Addition to Woodside (M: 36-78);
- Old Orchard Village (M: 36-79);



Michele Destra
Purple Line Corridor Transit Study
Historic Structures Investigations – Determination of Eligibility Forms
Page 2 of 2

- SECO Theater (M: 36-80);
- Silver Spring National Bank (M: 36-81);
- Robert H. McNeil's Addition to Silver Spring (M: 36-82);
- Section 5 of Sligo Park Hills (M: 36-83);
- Sligo Village (M: 36-84); and
- Eugene A. Smith's Additions to Silver Spring (M: 36-85).

The Trust is not providing comments on the documentation submitted for the following properties:

- House, 601 Woodside Parkway; and
- House, 603 Woodside Parkway.

The two resources noted above are located within the neighborhood known as Eugene A. Smith's Additions to Silver Spring, which was documented as part of this study and determined not eligible for listing in the National Register. The study also recommended the two individual properties listed above as not eligible for the National Register. Therefore, we find no compelling reason for the individual survey of these properties and believe that our comments on their eligibility would be redundant. Please note that we are not accessioning the survey documentation and we are removing the inventory numbers assigned to these two resources since they are already included within the neighborhood known as Eugene A. Smith's Additions to Silver Spring (M: 36-85).

We look forward to ongoing consultation with FTA, MTA and the other involved parties to successfully complete the Section106 review of the Purple Line as project planning progresses. If you have questions or require additional information, please contact Beth Cole (for archeology) at bcole@mdp.state.md.us/410-514-7631 or Tim Tamburrino (for historic built environment) at ttamburrino@mdp.state.md.us/410-514-7637.

Thank you for your ongoing cooperation on this undertaking.

Sincerely,

J. Rodney Little

Director/State Historic Preservation Officer

JRL/EJC/TJT 201203131

cc: John Newton (MTA)

John Martin (Gannett Flemming)
Bob Pillote (Columbia Country Club)



Maryland Department of Planning Maryland Historical Trust

Martin O'Malley Governor

Anthony G. Brown Lt. Governor Richard Eberhart Hall Secretary

> Matthew J. Power Deputy Secretary

November 6, 2012

Brigid Hynes-Cherin Regional Administrator U.S. Department of Transportation Federal Transit Administration 1760 Market Street, Suite 500 Philadelphia, PA 19103-4124

Re:

Purple Line Corridor Transit Study

Historic Structures Investigations - Determination of Eligibility Forms ("Batches 7 and 8")

Montgomery and Prince George's Counties, Maryland

Dear Ms. Hynes-Cherin:

Thank you for providing the Maryland Historical Trust (Trust) with Determination of Eligibility (DOE) Forms produced for the above-referenced undertaking. The Trust has reviewed the materials as part of our ongoing consultation for this undertaking, pursuant to Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act of 1966, as amended. We offer the following comments and recommendations regarding the historic structures investigations.

Trust staff reviewed the Determination of Eligibility (DOE) Forms prepared by Dovetail Cultural Resource Group, Inc. and John Milner Associates, Inc. on behalf of the Maryland Transit Administration (MTA). MTA's submittal of five DOE forms represents the final seventh and eighth phases of historic structure investigations for the current Purple Line planning study. Our comments regarding the eligibility of historic properties for listing in the National Register of Historic Places (National Register) are provided below.

- <u>Columbia Country Club</u> (M: 35-140): The Columbia Country Club was determined eligible for listing in the National Register in 2002. It is the Trust's opinion that the Columbia Country Club remains <u>eligible</u> for listing in the National Register under Criteria A and C. We also concur with the period of significance assigned to the property and we agree with the classification of contributing and non-contributing elements within the resource's historic boundary.
- <u>Silver Spring Park</u> (MIHP No. M: 36-86): We concur that this neighborhood is <u>not eligible</u> for listing in the National Register.
- Rock Creek Park Montgomery County Survey Area (M: 36-87): The Rock Creek Park Montgomery County Survey Area is eligible for listing in the National Register under Criteria A within the context of 20th century suburbanization as an early effort to conserve the natural environment from encroaching development.
- <u>University of Maryland, College Park</u> (PG:66-35): The Trust concurs that the University of Maryland, College Park is <u>eligible</u> for listing in the National Register under Criteria A and C. We

also agree that the period of significance for the district should encompass the structures and landscape associated with the post-WWII expansion of the university. We request a few minor revisions to the DOE form to ensure that the documentation is a useful planning tool for the Purple Line project and future undertakings. We understand that it is beyond the scope of this current undertaking to identify contributing and non-contributing buildings outside of the project's APE. However, please ensure that all buildings within the APE are represented on the list of contributing/non-contributing buildings. For example, the list appears to omit Building 054, which is within the historic boundary and would contribute to the significance of the district. We request that the project team revisit the list of contributing buildings to make certain that no buildings or features are overlooked. Please also provide a more detailed map that includes building numbers in addition to the resource and study area boundaries. Finally, we believe that the McKeldin Mall is a primary character-defining element of the historic campus. Although functions, materials, vegetation and pathways have evolved over time within and around the mall, the open space has served as a focal point of the school and contributes to the significance of the historic district.

• <u>Baltimore-Washington Parkway / Riverdale Road Bridges</u> (PG:69-26): The Trust agrees that the Riverdale Road bridges constructed in 1997 are <u>not eligible</u> for the National Register and do not contribute to the significance of the National Register-listed Baltimore-Washington Parkway, although they were constructed in a manner that is sympathetic to the design and character of the parkway.

We look forward to continuing consultation with FTA, MTA and the other involved parties to successfully complete the Section 106 review of the Purple Line as project planning progresses. If you have questions or require additional information, please contact Beth Cole (for archeology) at bcole@mdp.state.md.us / 410-514-7631 or Tim Tamburrino (for historic built environment) at ttamburrino@mdp.state.md.us / 410-514-7637.

Thank you for your ongoing cooperation on this undertaking.

Sincerely,

J. Rodney Little

Director/State Historic Preservation Officer

JRL/EJC/TJT 201203829, 201204102 and 201204220

cc: John Newton (MTA)

John Martin (Gannett Flemming)
Bob Pillote (Columbia Country Club)
David Hayes (NPS National Capital Region)

Brenda D. Testa (University of Maryland Department of Facilities Planning)



U.S. Department of Transportation Federal Transit Administration

201104281



REGION III Delaware, District of Columbia, Maryland, Pennsylvania, Virginia, West Virginia FTA

TIT EIR

OCT 2 7 2011

J. Rodney Little, State Historic Preservation Officer Director, Maryland Historical Trust Maryland Department of Planning 100 Community Place Crownsville, Maryland 21032-2023

RE: Purple Line Section 106 Initiation

Dear Mr. Little:

The Federal Transit Administration (FTA) in cooperation with the Maryland Transit Administration (MTA) is preparing an Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the Purple Line project (formerly referred to as the Bi-County Transitway). The Purple Line project seeks to provide efficient, reliable, and high capacity transit for east-west travel between Montgomery and Prince Georges Counties in Maryland, herein referenced as "the corridor." The Purple Line would serve transit patrons whose journey is solely within the corridor, as well as those who want to access the existing radial Metrorail system as the Purple Line links with the Red, Green and Orange lines of the WMATA Metrorail system. The Purple Line would also provide a direct link to the Brunswick, Camden, and Penn Lines of the Maryland MARC commuter rail system and to Amtrak's Northeast Corridor service at New Carrollton.

Following consultation with your agency during the preparation of the Purple Line Draft EIS, the Maryland Historic Trust (MHT) deferred in-depth consultation until a Locally Preferred Alternative (LPA) was selected. The Governor has now selected an LPA and development of a Final EIS is underway. As a Federal undertaking, the project is subject to Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act of 1966, as amended, and the associated implementing regulations, 36 CFR Part 800. This letter serves as the official notification from FTA of the initiation of the Section 106 process.

In response to MHT's letter of August 19, 2011 to MTA, several items are provided for your use and action as part of Section 106 consultation:

- Description of the LPA and accompanying graphic (Attachment and Figure 1)
- Area of Potential Effects boundary map and request for MHT concurrence
- List of potential Consulting Parties
- Key milestone project schedule

Description of the Locally Preferred Alternative

The current project studies are focused primarily on the LPA announced by Governor Martin O'Malley on August 4, 2009. The LPA is a 16.3-mile east-west light rail line that would extend from Bethesda to the New Carrollton Metro Station (see attached Figure 1). The LPA would be largely surface-running with one short tunnel section, one aerial section, and several underpasses and overpasses of busy roadways. The Purple Line would operate mainly in dedicated or exclusive lanes, allowing for fast, reliable transit operations. A complete description and map accompany this letter. The Purple Line includes 21 proposed stations:

- Bethesda
- Connecticut Avenue
- Lyttonsville
- Woodside / 16th Street
- Silver Spring Transit Center
- Silver Spring Library (formerly Fenton Street)
- Dale Drive (under study)
- Manchester Place
- Long Branch (formerly Arliss Street)
- Piney Branch Rd /University Blvd (formerly Gilbert Street)

- Takoma-Langley Transit Center
- Riggs Road
- West Campus/Adelphi Road
- Campus Center
- East Campus
- College Park Metro
- River Road
- Riverdale Park
- Riverdale Road/Beacon Heights
- Annapolis Road/Glenridge
- New Carrollton.

Area of Potential Effects Boundary and Concurrence Request

Attached is aerial mapping that illustrates the 500-foot architectural APE boundary along each side of the proposed LPA alignment for identification, investigation, and preliminary recommendations for cultural resources. Within the architectural APE there is an area of soil disturbance where there is potential for archeological impact (labeled the archaeological APE). During the early planning phase of the project the area of soil disturbance was defined as 250 feet from the proposed alignment. As the project moves forward and more detailed engineering is completed, this area will be more specifically delineated as the anticipated limits of disturbance. These boundaries were determined by considering direct and indirect impacts that may occur as a result of the project. Considerations included construction right-of-way, stormwater management facilities, traction power substations, maintenance of traffic, staging areas, storage yards, access roads, and other ancillary facilities needed to complete the project.

We request MHT's concurrence on these boundaries; a signature block is provided at the conclusion of this letter for your convenience. However, please note that as the design progresses, additional refinements may occur that may require the APE to be adjusted in places. Future design refinements, approved by the MTA, will be coordinated with MHT through FTA.

A Phase IA archeological assessment of the project alternatives was submitted to your office by the MTA on June 8, 2011, with comments received via MHT letter dated July 8, 2011. This assessment identified areas of archeological potential within the archaeological APE. Currently,

the MTA is conducting Phase I level archeological investigations of the areas identified as having potential for archeological impacts within this area.

Additionally, an assessment of historic architecture during the Draft EIS identified properties warranting survey and Determination of Eligibility (DOE). The MTA is currently conducting a Phase I level historic architecture survey of all properties within the LPA's architectural APE that meet the age criterion for listing on the National Register of Historic Places. You recently reviewed and provided comments on the first set of 25 DOE forms via your August 19, 2011 letter to the MTA. We are addressing those comments and the MTA is preparing the next set of DOE forms; we will submit draft DOE forms to you in sets as they are completed.

List of Potential Consulting Parties

In addition to the jurisdictions and municipalities along the LPA, the following is a list of agencies and individuals that have either expressed interest in being consulting parties to the Section 106 process or would typically be invited to be consulting parties. The FTA and MTA will coordinate with you to refine this list and develop invitation letters.

- Columbia Country Club
- Falklands Chase
- Friends of Sligo Creek
- Hawkins Lane Historic District
- Hawkins Lane Historic District Local Advisory Panel
- Historic Takoma
- Hyattsville Preservation Association
- Maryland-National Capital Parks and Planning Commission, Montgomery County
- Maryland-National Capital Parks and Planning Commission, Prince George's County
- Montgomery County Historic Preservation Commission
- Montgomery Preservation, Inc.
- National Institutes of Health, Office of Community Liaison
- National Park Service

- North College Park Citizens Association
- Old Town College Park Preservation Association
- Peerless Rockville Historic Preservation, Ltd.
- Prince George's County Historical and Cultural Trust
- Prince George's County Historical Society
- Prince George's Heritage, Inc.
 Anacosha Trails Heritage Area/
 Redevelopment Authority of Prince George's County
- Riverdale Historical Society
- Rockville Historic District Commission
- Silver Spring Historical Society
- University Hills Civic Association
- University of Maryland

any Certified Heritage Areas in the APE or wiring?

Montgomery Country Hentage Area/

Projected Schedule for the Final EIS and Record of Decision

The Purple Line schedule provides for Final EIS studies to continue through Summer 2012, followed by delivery of the Final EIS document by Fall 2012. A Record of Decision on the Final EIS is anticipated by Summer 2013.

We look forward to continuing our work with you on this most important project. If you have questions about the information in this initiation letter, please do not hesitate to contact Tim Lidiak, FTA Environmental Planner (215-656-7084), John Newton, MTA's Environmental Manager (410-767-3769), or John Martin, Purple Line Section 106 Lead (856-802-9930). Because of the size of the project as well as the many details of the LPA alignment, stations, and other facilities, the FTA and MTA would like to offer you a Google Earth "flyover" aerial presentation of the LPA at your convenience this fall. We can also arrange field meetings or tours at any time if they are helpful to you.

Sincerely,

Brigid Hynes-Cherin

Acting Regional Administrator

Trigo Hour Clar

Attachment

cc:

Mike Madden, MTA John Newton, MTA Leslie Roche, PMC Amanda Baxter, GEC Stephen Hawtof, GEC John Martin, GEC

We, MHT, concur with the 500-foot APE for the investigation, identification and recommendations for architectural resources, and the 250-foot APE for archeological impact, along the Locally Preferred Alternative of the Purple Line project.

MH/F SignatureDate

11-17-11

PLEASE ADD THE ANACOSTIA TRAILS HERITAGE AREA/REDEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY OF PRINCE GEORGE'S COUNTY AND THE MONTGOMERY COUNTY HERITAGE AREA/HERITAGE MONTGOMERY TO THE LIST OF POTENTIAL COUSULTING PARTIES.

Co: John Nawton (MTA)

Steve Hawtof (Gunnett Fleming)

John Martin (Gannett Fleming)



MEETING MINUTES

MEETING SUBJECT: Consulting Party Meeting No. 1

MEETING DATE, TIME: 6/11/13 1:00 pm

MEETING LOCATION: State Highway District 3 Office "Auditorium"

ATTENDEES: See attached Attendance Roster

PREPARED BY: John Martin

DISTRIBUTION DATE: 7/17/13

DCN: 2013.06.11.PM.PE.02.CP Mtg.1-

Meeting Initiation/Purpose

The purpose of this meeting is to provide the Consulting Parties an opportunity to provide input on the identified historic properties within the Purple Line Area of Potential Effects.

Discussion

The meeting opened with introductions around the room. There were 3 Consulting Parties represented at the meeting; The Columbia Country Club, NCPC and the Anacostia Trails Heritage Area.

Steve Hawtof provided a brief overview of the Purple Line project.

John Martin presented an overview of Section 106 and where the project is in the process. He then presented all of the identified historic properties within the project APE, some of which had been previously identified, some that underwent boundary or historic significance refinement. A copy of the presentation is attached to the minutes.

Following the presentation, the floor was opened to questions.

Dan Koenig asked about the Madonna of the Trails statue since it appears outside of the APE.

Response: originally inside APE but later refinements place it outside. However, since it had been surveyed and was close, it was left in and MHT was okay with it.

Bob Pillotte (CCC) asked about the Country Club's boundaries (refined) and its status.

Response: The CCC was originally opined eligible in 2002, but at the time the boundary was drawn so as to include the rail line property. The reassessment/refinement included excluding the county property, but including golf course shifts that intrude onto the county's parcel. Also, the contributing features were evaluated and the basis for the historic significance strengthened.

Aaron Marcautch expressed concern over early 20th century building being overlooked because they are less than 70 years of age, but have importance to the local communities.



Response: It was explained that prior to his joining the meeting, it was stated that properties over 40 years of age were included in the evaluation (as opposed to 50, because of the expected project that schedule that could span long enough to require additional survey).

Aaron Marcautch also offered to assist in the next CP meeting logistics.

There was discussion about the attendance and suggestions to follow up on invitation letters with e-mails or phone calls to better ensure awareness of the meeting. In addition, MTA stated that minutes would be distributed to the consulting parties and that the presentation would also be attached.



Purple Line GEC Section 106 Consulting Party Meeting SHA District 3 Office *AUDITORIUM* 9300 Kenilworth Avenue Greenbelt, MD 20770 June 11, 2013 – 1:00 pm

Sign In Sheet

Name	Company	Phone Number	E-mail Address	
John W. Martin	Purple Line GEC	(856) 802-9930 x113	jmartin@gfnet.com	
Kerri Barile	Purple Line GEC	540-899-9170	kbarile@dovetailcrg.com	
Steve Hawtof	Purple Line GEC	443-348-2017	shawtof@gfnet.com	
Amanda Baxter	Purple Line GEC	703-293-7437	abaxter@wrallp.com	
Harriet Levine	Purple Line GEC	(410) 837-5840	Harriet.levine@jacobs.com	
Mike Madden	МТА		mmadden@mta.maryland.gov	
Dan Koenig	FTA	(202) 219-3528	Daniel.Koenig@dot.gov	
Adam Stephenson	FTA-HQ	(202) 366-5183	Adam.Stephenson@dot.gov	
Amy Zaref (by phone)	FTA		Amy.Zaref.crf@dot.gov	
Beth Cole	MHT - SHPO		Bcole@mdp.state.md.us	
Tim Tamburrino	MHT - SHPO		ttamburrino@mdp.state.md.us	
Michael Weil	NCPC	202.482.7253	Michael.Weil@ncpc.gov	
Bob Pillote	Columbia Country Club	301-984-4790	bpillote@aol.com	
Aaron Marcautch	Anacostia Trails Heritage Area Inc	301-887-0777	aaron@anacostiatrails.org	



MEETING MINUTES

MEETING SUBJECT: Historic Preservation Consultation Pursuant to Section 106

MEETING DATE, TIME: 8/8/2013

MEETING LOCATION: Maryland Department of Transportation Regional Office

ATTENDEES: See attached Attendance Roster
PREPARED BY: Caleb Parks, Purple Line Team

DISTRIBUTION DATE: 8/15/13

Meeting Initiation/Purpose

In accordance with Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act of 1966, consulting parties, including regulatory agencies, appropriate stakeholders, and interested public are provided with an opportunity to consult with the FTA and MTA to provide comments related to historic preservation issues that will be considered as part of the Purple Line project. The purpose of this second Consulting Parties meeting was to review historic properties in the project's Area of Potential Effects (APE); discuss how project effects are evaluated; review the preliminary effects assessment for identified historic properties; and discuss potential mitigation measures.

Discussion

- 1) Welcome and Introductions. Monica Meade, Purple Line Team, initiated the meeting followed by a brief round of introductions among the meeting attendees.
- 2) Project Overview. Following the welcome and introduction, Michael Madden, Purple Line Project Manager, provided an overview of the Purple Line Light Rail project along its planned sixteen-mile alignment. He described how the Purple Line would be integrated into the built environment that characterizes the Washington, DC metropolitan area, specifically focusing on interesting project elements, station locations, and roadway configurations.
 - a) Mr. Madden also mentioned that Maryland Governor Martin O'Malley recently announced that funding for the project would be sought as a public-private partnership (P3) for an anticipated 30-year term, through which the MTA would maintain ownership and would be responsible for upholding commitments made during the planning process.
 - b) Mr. Madden emphasized that the urban setting of the project has resulted in the involvement of many stakeholders and ongoing coordination among these parties has remained a key element of project planning.
- 3) Section 106 Overview. Stephanie Foell, Purple Line Architectural Historian, described the Section 106 process and its relationship to the Purple Line. The Area of Potential Effect (APE) for historic properties, which included architectural and archeological resources, was a 500-foot buffer on either side of the project alignment.
 - a) Within the APE, Ms. Foell stated that there had been 256 assessments conducted, of which twenty-three historic properties under Section 106 were identified (twenty-two architectural sites and one archeological site).



- b) Mr. Madden requested that Ms. Foell clarify how the Section 106 process is related to the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) efforts currently underway. She described that Section 106 evaluations had been done in parallel to the Final Environmental Impact Statement (FEIS) that is anticipated to be signed by the FTA in September.
- c) Ms. Foell then explained Section 106 key activities and milestones, as well as the remaining schedule for the Section 106 process, which includes the completion of an Assessment of Effects Report as well as a Programmatic Agreement. In order to have a signed Record of Decision (ROD) for the FEIS, a Programmatic Agreement must be executed. This is anticipated to occur by mid-October 2013. She also encouraged consulting parties to actively participate and provide comments as part of the Section 106 process.
- 4) Review of Historic Properties. The 23 historic properties identified within the project APE are as follows:
 - Bethesda-Chevy Chase High School (No Effect)
 - Columbia Country Club (No Adverse Effect)
 - Preston Place (No Adverse Effect)
 - Rock Creek Park Montgomery County Survey Area (No Adverse Effect)
 - Metropolitan Branch, Baltimore & Ohio Railroad (Adverse Effect)
 - Talbot Avenue Bridge (Adverse Effect)
 - Woodside Historic District (No Effect)
 - The Falkland Apartments (Adverse Effect)
 - Old Silver Spring Post Office (No Effect)
 - First Baptist Church of Silver Spring (No Adverse Effect)
 - Montgomery Blair High School (No Adverse Effect)
 - Sligo Creek Parkway (No Adverse Effect)
 - Sligo Adventist School (No Effect)
 - University of Maryland, College Park (No Adverse Effect)
 - Rossborough Inn (No Adverse Effect)
 - Old Town College Park Historic District (No Effect)
 - College Park Airport (No Adverse Effect)
 - College Lawn Station (No Effect)
 - Calvert Hills District (No Effect)
 - M-NCPPC Department of Parks and Recreation Regional Headquarters (No Effect)
 - Baltimore-Washington Parkway (Gladys Noon Spellman Parkway) (No Adverse Effect)
 - Area K Domestic Site (No Effect)
 - Martins Woods (No Effect)
- 5) Preliminary Effects Assessment. After going over all of the Section 106 properties that had been identified within the Purple Line APE, Ms. Foell moved to address the preliminary effects determination for historic properties.
 - a) Of the 23 properties within the project APE, ten were determined to have no effect.
 - b) Ten properties were determined to have no adverse effect (physical destruction or damage to all or part of historic property; change in character of a historic property; and/or introduction of visual, atmospheric, or audible elements that diminish the significant features of a historic property).



- Specific discussion focused on the Columbia Country Club, the University of Maryland, and the Baltimore-Washington Parkway; the project team has worked to minimize effects to these properties where possible, as required by Section 106.
- Mr. Madden and Ms. Foell discussed the changes that would occur at the Columbia Country Club with
 project renderings to provide visual reference. The MTA will shift the alignment slightly to the north
 to avoid the tees and greens on the south side of the alignment. Bob Pillote, of the Club's Board of
 Governors, clarified that some green and hole reconfigurations on the north side of the alignment
 would be required. The coordination between the Country Club and MTA was noted.
- Ms. Foell explained how the Purple Line would be incorporated into the University of Maryland. The
 relocation of the 'M Circle' was described but it was noted that the "M" is not historic. The
 coordination between the University and MTA was noted.
- Ms. Meade, with some input provided by Steve Hawtof, gave an overview of the changes that would
 result from the reconstruction of the Baltimore Washington-Parkway bridges over Riverdale Road.
 Kate Birmingham, of the National Park Service, was in approval that the bridges' stone facing would be
 preserved. There was a discussion of the construction methodology which had been developed to
 avoid impacts to the parkway and the archeological site. The coordination between the National Park
 Service and MTA was noted.
- c) Finally, the three adverse property impacts determined in the preliminary effects assessment were discussed. These adverse effects are a result of proposed demolitions.
 - Talbot Avenue Bridge this bridge will be removed by the project.
 - Metropolitan Branch The Talbot Avenue Bridge is a contributing element to this resource, so its removal results in an adverse effect to the
 - Falklands Apartments- the removal of several units off two of the buildings would be an adverse
 effect.
- 6) Preliminary Proposed Mitigation. Because of the anticipated adverse effect determination for the project, mitigation would be required. Several preliminary mitigation concepts were introduced. Specifically these included the items discussed below:
 - Prior to outlining the preliminary mitigation measures that are proposed, Beth Cole, of the Maryland Historic Trust, requested that even for properties not determined to be affected in the Preliminary Effects Assessment, ongoing coordination occur throughout the entire process of design and construction. Coordination would also be required for offsite properties for environmental mitigation such as wetlands or reforestation, etc.
 - Henry Ward, archeologist for the Purple Line PMC, said that historic property reconnaissance would take place once a wetland mitigation roster was available.
 - a) Historic American Buildings Survey/Historic American Engineering Record documentation for the properties proposed for demolition.
 - b) Web-based mapping with documentation, photographs, and educational information on all historic properties within the APE
 - c) Development of an interpretive plan that could include historically themed signage or incorporation of historic images at stations.
 - Aaron Marcautch, from the Anacostia Trails Heritage Area, suggested that updated signage and the
 development of an interpretive plan for the Anacostia Trail would be appreciated as a result of the



Purple Line. He gave an example of the InterCounty Connector, which provided way finding signs to the interstate along the trail and suggested that perhaps the Purple Line could provide bicycle and/or pedestrian way finding signage.

- 7) Next Steps. Ms. Foell then invited questions and discussion and highlighted the next steps of the Section 106 process. These steps included the following:
 - a) FTA will finalize the project's effects assessment and submit an effects report to MHT for concurrence in mid-August 2013. All consulting parties will be able to review the report and any comments will be considered.
 - b) A third Section 106 consulting parties meeting will occur in late September 2013.
 - c) Mitigation for the Purple Line's adverse effect determination will be finalized and included in the project's Programmatic Agreement.
 - d) Signatories will sign and execute the Programmatic Agreement by mid-October 2013.
 - Ms. Cole clarified that agency comments on the Programmatic Agreement should go through the MTA and be distributed among the stakeholder groups.
 - Ms. Cole also asked for clarification on the schedule and Ms. Foell said that consulting parties should expect to receive the effects report followed by a Draft Programmatic Agreement.
 - Ms. Kelly Fanizzo of the Advisory Council on Historic Preservation applauded the team for the
 information presented during the meeting and stated that the council will formally respond to the
 consultation invitation provided by FTA.

The next consulting parties meeting will be held in late September 2013.



SUMMARY OF ACTION ITEMS

Action Item#	Description	Assigned To	Due Date	Status
1.				
2.				
3.				
4.				
5.				
6.				
7.				
8.				
9.				

These minutes reflect the author's understanding of the discussions at the meeting. The minutes shall initially be considered as draft and open to comments for a period of 5 business days after the date of initial issuance. If no comments are received within five days, these minutes shall be considered final and will be issued as such within 2 business days of the initial comment period. (Remove this note from final version of the meeting minutes)

Attachments:	
Attendance Roster	r

Distribution: Attendees PL GEC Core Team



Purple Line

Section 106 Consulting Parties Meeting #2
Maryland Department of Transportation Regional Office
4351 Garden City Drive, Suite 305
New Carrollton, Maryland 20785
Thursday, August 8, 2013 • 2:00 PM – 4:00 PM

ATTENDANCE ROSTER

Name	Company	Phone Number	E-mail Address	
Michael Madden	PL-Maryland Transit Administration	443-451-3718	mmadden@mta.maryland.gov	
Stephanie S. Foell	PL Team – Architectural Historian	443-765-3755	foell@pbworld.com	
Monica Meade	PL Team - Planning	443-451-3712	meade@pbworld.com	
Henry Ward	PL Team –Archeologist	410-336-8879	wardhe@pbworld.com	
Steve Hawtof	PL Team - Environmental	443-348-2017	shawtof@gfnet.com	
Beth Cole	Maryland Historic Trust	410-514-7631	bcole@mdp.state.md.us	
Tim Tamburrino	Maryland Historic Trust	410-514-7637	ttamburrino@mdp.state.md.us	
Carlo Colella	University of Maryland	301-405-2987	ccolella@umd.edu	
Bob Pillote	Columbia Country Club	301-984-4790	bpillote@aol.com	
Kate Birmingham	National Park Service – National Capital Parks-East	202-692-6038	katherine_birmingham@nps.gov	
Adam Stephenson	Federal Transit Administration	202-366-5183	adam.stephenson@dot.gov	
Amy Zaref (phone)	Federal Transit Administration	(801) 998-8581	amy.zaref@dot.gov	
Anita Neal-Powell (phone)	Lincoln Park Historical Foundation	301-251-2749	lincolnparkhis@aol.com	
Aaron Marcautch	Anacostia Trails Heritage Area Inc	301-887-0777	aaron@anacostiatrails.org	
Kelly Fanizzo	Advisory Council on Historic Preservation	202-606-8507	kfanizzo@achp.gov	